Outside the Box: Canadian Results of the OECD PISA 2022 Study

The Performance of Canadian 15-Year-Olds in Creative Thinking







Outside the Box: Canadian Results of the OECD PISA 2022 Study

The Performance of Canadian 15-Year-Olds in Creative Thinking

Authors

Robin Liu Hopson, Independent Consultant Yitian Tao, Council of Ministers of Education, Canada Vanja Elez, Council of Ministers of Education, Canada





The Council of Ministers of Education, Canada (CMEC) was established in 1967 by education ministers from various provinces and territories. Its primary purpose is to serve as a platform for discussing mutual interests, coordinating educational initiatives, and advocating for the provinces and territories in dealings with national educational organizations, the federal government, foreign governments, and international organizations. CMEC functions as the collective voice for education in Canada, facilitating collective efforts among provinces and territories to achieve common objectives across a wide spectrum of activities at the elementary, secondary, and postsecondary levels.

Through the CMEC Secretariat, the Council serves as the central organization where ministries and departments of education collaborate on activities, projects, and initiatives of interest to all provinces and territories. One such collaborative effort involves the development and implementation of pan-Canadian assessment, which is grounded in contemporary research and best practices for assessing student achievement in core subjects.

Note of appreciation

The Council of Ministers of Education, Canada (CMEC) would like to thank the students, teachers, and administrators whose participation in the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA[™]) ensured its success. The quality of your efforts has deepened our understanding of the knowledge and skills among youth aged 15 in creative thinking, which has ultimately shed light on educational policies and practices across Canada. We are especially grateful for your contribution to this study in the turbulent context of the COVID-19 pandemic.

PISA and OECD/PISA are trademarks of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

Council of Ministers of Education, Canada 95 St. Clair West, Suite 1106 Toronto, Ontario M4V 1N6

Telephone: (416) 962-8100 E-mail: cmec@cmec.ca © 2024 Council of Ministers of Education, Canada

ISBN 978-0-88987-530-2

Ce rapport est également disponible en français.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
The Programme for International Student Assessment	1
What is creative thinking?	2
The importance of creative thinking	
The creative thinking assessment framework	4
The four domains of creative thinking	5
The competency model of creative thinking	6
Description of the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment	7
Component 1: Cognitive test in creative thinking	7
Component 2: Background questionnaires	9
Objectives and organization of this report	10
Chapter 1: Canadian Students' Performance in Creative Thinking in an International Con	text11
Proficiency levels in the PISA creative thinking assessment	12
Achievement in creative thinking	14
Achievement in creative thinking by proficiency level	14
Achievement in creative thinking by average score	
Equity in Canada	20
Correlation between creative thinking and PISA core domains	
Achievement in creative thinking by language of the school system	
Achievement by proficiency level and language of the school system	23
Achievement by average score and language of the school system	24
Achievement in creative thinking by gender	
Achievement by proficiency level and gender	26
Achievement by average score and gender	
Achievement in creative thinking and student characteristics	
Immigrant status	
Achievement by language spoken at home	
Achievement by socioeconomic status	
Summary	
Chapter 2: A Profile of Students and Their Attitudes toward Creative Thinking	
PISA creative thinking background questionnaires	
Index of creative self-efficacy	
Index of openness to intellect	
Index of student participation in creative activities at school	
Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking	
Index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking	
Summary	58

Conclusion	60
Beyond Canada	60
, Within Canada	61
References	62
Appendix A	64
Appendix B: PISA 2022 Data Tables	65

List of Figures

Introduction		1
Figure I.1.	Pan-Canadian global competencies	4
Figure I.2	Internal resources, external factors, and types of creative engagement	5
Figure I.3	Competency model for the PISA test of creative thinking	6
Figure I.4	General coding process for open-ended tasks to generate diverse ideas	8
Figure I.5	General coding process for open-ended tasks to generate creative ideas or evaluate and improve ideas	8
Figure I.6	PISA 2022 student questionnaire coverage of student beliefs, attitudes, and expectations related to creative thinking	.10
Chapter 1: Can	adian Students' Performance in Creative Thinking in an International Context	.11
Figure 1.1	Percentage of students at each proficiency level in creative thinking	.15
Figure 1.2	Achievement scores in creative thinking	.20
Figure 1.3	Differences between high and low achievers in creative thinking	.21
Figure 1.4	Relative performance in creative thinking based on performance in core domains	.22
Figure 1.5	Percentage of Canadian students below Level 3 and at Levels 5 and 6 in creative thinking, by language of the school system	.23
Figure 1.6	Average scores in creative thinking in Canada, by language of the school system	.25
Figure 1.7	Percentage of Canadian students who performed below Level 3 and at Levels 5 and 6 in	
0	creative thinking, by gender	.26
Figure 1.8	Achievement gap between Canadian girls and boys in creative thinking, by proficiency level	.27
Figure 1.9	Average creative thinking scores in Canada, by immigrant status	.29
Figure 1.10	Percentage of students in Canada at or above Level 3 and at Levels 5 and 6 in creative	
U	thinking, by immigrant status	.30
Figure 1.11	Achievement gap in creative thinking between socioeconomically advantaged and disadvantaged students	21
		.51
Chapter 2: A Pi	rofile of Students and Their Attitudes toward Creative Thinking	.34
Figure 2.1	Percentage of Canadian students by their self-reported confidence levels about engaging	
	in tasks related to creative thinking	.36
Figure 2.2	Average scores on the index of creative self-efficacy	.38
Figure 2.3	Difference in creative thinking performance between students in the top quarter and the	
	bottom quarter of the index of creative self-efficacy	.40
Figure 2.4	Percentage of Canadian students by their agreement levels with statements regarding their openness to intellect	.41
Figure 2.5	Average scores on the index of openness to intellect	.42
Figure 2.6	Relationship between creative thinking performance and a one-unit change in the index of	
	openness to intellect (after accounting for gender and student socioeconomic profile)	.44
Figure 2.7	Percentage of Canadian students by their participation in creative activities available in	
	their school	.45

Figure 2.8	Average scores on the index of student participation in creative activities at school	47
Figure 2.9	Relationship between creative thinking performance and a one-unit change in the index	
	of student participation in creative activities at school (after accounting for gender and	
	student socioeconomic profile)	49
Figure 2.10	Percentage of Canadian students by their agreement with statements about how creative	
	thinking is fostered and supported in their school and class environments	50
Figure 2.11	Percentage of students by agreement with the statement "My teachers value students'	
	creativity"	51
Figure 2.12	Average scores on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking	52
Figure 2.13	Percentage of Canadian students by their agreement levels with statements about how	
	creativity is supported by their peers and family	54
Figure 2.14	Average scores on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative	
	thinking	56
Figure 2.15	Relationship between creative thinking performance and a one-unit change in the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking (after accounting for gender	
	and student socioeconomic profile)	58

List of Tables

Chapter 1: Canadian Students' Performance in Creative Thinking in an International Context ...11

Table 1.1	PISA 2022 creative thinking proficiency levels – summary description	12		
Table 1.2	Achievement scores in creative thinking	17		
Table 1.3	Correlation of creative thinking performance with performance in the PISA core domains	22		
Table 1.4	Summary of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by language of the school system			
Table 1.5	Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by language of the school system	24		
Table 1.6	Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for achievement scores in creative thinking, by language of the school system	25		
Table 1.7	Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by gender	27		
Table 1.8	Comparison of Canadian, provincial, and OECD achievement scores in creative thinking, by gender	28		
Chapter 2: A Profil	e of Students and Their Attitudes toward Creative Thinking	34		
Table 2.1	Relationship between Canadian students' self-reported confidence levels about engaging in tasks related to creative thinking and creative thinking scores	37		
Table 2.2	Differences in scores on the index of creative self-efficacy, by sociodemographic characteristics	39		
Table 2.3	Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about their openness to intellect and creative thinking scores	42		
Table 2.4	Differences in scores on the index of openness to intellect, by sociodemographic characteristics	43		
Table 2.5	Relationship between Canadian students' participation in creative activities in their school and creative thinking scores	46		
Table 2.6	Differences in scores on the index of student participation in creative activities at school, by sociodemographic characteristics	48		
Table 2.7	Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about how creative thinking is fostered and supported in their school and class environments and creative thinking scores	52		
Table 2.8	Differences in scores on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, by sociodemographic characteristics	53		
Table 2.9	Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about how creativity is supported by their peers and family and creative thinking scores	55		
Table 2.10	Differences in scores on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking, by sociodemographic characteristics	57		
Appendix B: PISA 2	2022 Data Tables	65		
Table B.1.1a	Percentage of students at each proficiency level: CREATIVE THINKING	65		
Table B.1.1b	Percentage of students who performed below Level 3, at Level 3 or above, and at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING	67		

Table B.1.2	Average scores and confidence intervals: CREATIVE THINKING	. 69
Table B.1.3	Variation in student performance between percentiles: CREATIVE THINKING	. 71
Table B.1.4	Correlation of performance in creative thinking with performance in mathematics, reading, and science	73
Table B.1.5	Relative performance: CREATIVE THINKING	.75
Table B.1.6a	Percentage of students at each proficiency level in anglophone and francophone	
	school systems: CREATIVE THINKING	77
Table B.1.6b	Percentage of students in anglophone and francophone school systems who	
	performed below Level 3. at Level 3 or above, and at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE	
	THINKING	78
Table B.1.7	Average scores by language of the school system: CREATIVE THINKING	. 79
Table B.1.8a	Percentage of students at each proficiency level by gender: CREATIVE THINKING	. 80
Table B.1.8b	Percentage of boys and girls who performed below Level 3, at Level 3 or above, and	
	at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING	81
Table B.1.9	Average scores by gender: CREATIVE THINKING	82
Table B.1.10	Average scores by immigrant status: CREATIVE THINKING	. 83
Table B.1.11	Percentage of students by immigrant status who performed at Level 3 or above and	
	at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING	. 84
Table B.1.12	Average scores by language spoken at home: CREATIVE THINKING	. 86
Table B.1.13	Percentage of students by language spoken at home who performed at Level 3 or	
	above and at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING	. 87
Table B.1.14	Average scores by index of economic, social, and cultural status (ESCS): CREATIVE	
	THINKING	88
Table B.2.1a	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Students' ratings of how confident they felt about having	
	to do a range of tasks reflective of creative thinking skills	. 90
Table B.2.1aa	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Coming up with creative ideas for school projects	. 91
Table B.2.1ab	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Being creative	. 91
Table B.2.1ac	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Telling creative stories	. 92
Table B.2.1ad	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Expressing your ideas creatively	. 92
Table B.2.1ae	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Making creative drawings	. 93
Table B.2.1af	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	~~
	CREATIVE THINKING — Thinking of many good ideas for science experiments	. 93
lable B.2.1ag	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	~ 4
	CREATIVE THINKING — Inventing new things	. 94
Table B.2.1ah	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy:	~ 4
	CREATIVE THINKING — Thinking of many ideas for solving disagreements with people	94
Table B.2.1al	Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-emcacy:	05
Table D 2 1a:	CREATIVE THINKING — Addressing social problems like pollution	. 95
таріе в.2.тај	CREATIVE THINKING Coming up with many good ideas for balaing accels in accel	ᅂ
Tabla D 2 1h	Index of creative self officacy by sociodemographic characteristics — Index of	. 90
IONIC D.2.10	creative self-efficacy	96
	creative sen entracy	. 50

Table B.2.1c	Index of creative self-efficacy and performance in creative thinking	97
Table B.2.2a	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Students' ratings of their agreement with statements	
	regarding their own views on their openness to intellect	98
Table B.2.2aa	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — Doing something creative satisfies me	98
Table B.2.2ab	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I am very creative	99
Table B.2.2ac	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I like creating stories	99
Table B.2.2ad	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I like games that challenge my creativity	100
Table B.2.2ae	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I enjoy projects that require creative solutions	100
Table B.2.2af	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I enjoy thinking about new ways to solve problems	101
Table B.2.2ag	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I enjoy solving complex problems	101
Table B.2.2ah	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I like school work that is challenging	102
Table B.2.2ai	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I can suggest several solutions to problems	102
Table B.2.2aj	Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect:	
	CREATIVE THINKING — I enjoy learning new things	103
Table B.2.2b	Index of students' openness to intellect by sociodemographic characteristics —	
	Index of students' openness to intellect	104
Table B.2.2c	Index of students' openness to intellect and performance in creative thinking	105
Table B.2.3a	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Students' ratings of how often they	
	participated in creative activities that were available in their school	.106
Table B.2.3aa	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Art classes/activities (e.g., painting,	
	drawing)	106
Table B.2.3ab	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Creative writing classes/activities	107
Table B.2.3ac	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Music classes/activities (e.g., chorus,	
	band)	107
Table B.2.3ad	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Debate club	108
Table B 2 3ae	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Dramatics, theatre class/activities	108
Table B 2 3af	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	200
	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Publications (e.g. newspaper yearbooks	
	literary magazine)	109
Table R 2 3ag	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative	100
Table D.2.Jug	activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Science club	109
		100

Table B.2.3ah	Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING — Computer programming classes/	110
Table B.2.3b	Index of student participation in creative activities at school by sociodemographic characteristics	111
Table B.2.3c	Index of student participation in creative activities at school and performance in creative thinking	112
Table B.2.4a	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — Students' ratings of their agreement with statements about the degree to which creative thinking is fostered and supported in their school and class environment	. 113
Table B.2.4aa	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My teachers give me enough time to come up with creative solutions on assignments	. 113
Table B.2.4ab	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My teachers value students' creativity	. 114
Table B.2.4ac	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — The activities we do in my classes help me think about new ways to solve problems	. 114
Table B.2.4ad	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions for a problem	115
Table B.2.4ae	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My teachers encourage me to come up with original answers	115
Table B.2.4af	Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — At school, I am given a chance to express my ideas	. 116
Table B.2.4b	Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking by sociodemographic characteristics — Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking	. 117
Table B.2.4c	Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking and students' performance in creative thinking	118
Table B.2.5a	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — Students' ratings of their agreement with statements about the degree to which creative thinking is fostered and supported by their peer and family environments	110
Table B.2.5aa	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My friends are open to new ideas	119
Table B.2.5ab	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My friends and I give one another feedback about our ideas	120
Table B.2.5ac	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My friends and I encourage each other to come up with new ideas	. 120
Table B.2.5ad	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — My family encourages me to try new things	121

Table B.2.5ae	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — At home, I am encouraged to	
	use my imagination	. 121
Table B.2.5af	Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING — Discussions I have at home help me come up with new ideas	. 122
Table B.2.5b	Index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking by sociodemographic characteristics	. 123
Table B.2.5c	Index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking and performance in creative thinking	. 124

Introduction

The ability to create has always been a propelling force in the advancement of humankind. Everything from culture to technology, society, the economy, and, above all, our survival has depended on humans' capacity for creative thinking and innovation in response to challenges.

Today, rapid advances in technology and dramatic changes in environmental, economic, and social conditions around the world make it difficult to predict what the future will look like for younger generations. As we look ahead to a future that has more questions than answers, amidst a rapidly changing landscape, it is crucial to consider how education systems are supporting the development of creative thinking skills among generations of young people.

Around the world, education systems are tasked with the responsibility of equipping students with the skills, competencies, and knowledge they need to be successful in the future. To ensure the effectiveness of these systems, it is important to periodically measure, assess, and evaluate students' performance across a range of core domains. For this reason, member countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), along with partner countries and economies,¹ developed the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA[™]).

The Programme for International Student Assessment

PISA is a collaborative effort among member countries of the OECD. The assessment is designed with two overarching objectives: to provide policy-oriented international indicators of the skills and knowledge of 15-year-old students; and to deliver insights about a range of factors that contribute to successful students, schools, education systems, and learning environments (OECD, 2023b). PISA focuses on the capabilities of students as they near the end of compulsory education. Overall, it measures skills that are generally recognized as key outcomes of the educational process and that are believed to be prerequisites for efficient learning throughout life and for full participation in society. The assessment does not focus on whether students can reproduce knowledge but rather on their ability to use their knowledge and skills to meet real-life challenges. In Canada, PISA is carried out through a partnership between Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) and the Council of Ministers of Education, Canada (CMEC).

Since 2000, PISA has brought significant attention to international assessments and related studies by generating data to inform the public and to enhance policy-makers' ability to formulate decisions based on evidence, set measurable benchmarks, and monitor changes over time.² Canadian provinces have used information gathered from PISA, along with other sources of information such as the Pan-Canadian Assessment Program (PCAP), other international assessments, and their own provincial assessment programs, to inform various education-related initiatives.

PISA reports on mathematical, reading, and scientific literacy. Each cycle focuses on one of these three core domains, which is known as the major domain in that cycle. The major domain in 2022 was mathematics, as it was in 2003 and 2012. Around 690,000 students from 81 countries participated in the assessment of mathematics, reading, and science in PISA 2022. Typically, between 5,000 and 10,000 15-year-old students

In this report, the word "countries" will be used to denote countries and economies.

² PISA has been administered every three years since 2000. The eighth cycle of PISA was scheduled to be administered in 2021. However, due to the global COVID-19 pandemic, the eighth cycle was rescheduled to 2022. Beginning in 2029, PISA will be switching to a four-year cycle (OECD, 2023d).

from at least 150 schools are tested in each country. In Canada, approximately 23,000 students from over 850 schools across the 10 provinces participated in PISA 2022.³ An overview of PISA and more information on the core domain results for Canadian students in the 2022 cycle can be found in the main report, *Measuring Up: Canadian Results of the OECD PISA 2022 Study* — *The Performance of Canadian 15-Year-Olds in Mathematics, Reading, and Science* (Elez et al., 2023).

In addition to the three core domains, students' proficiency in a different innovative domain is assessed in each cycle. In PISA 2022, the innovative domain was creative thinking — that is, students' ability to generate diverse and creative ideas, as well as to evaluate and improve their ideas and those of others. The innovative domain in 2018 was global competence, while in 2015 it was collaborative problem solving. Of the 81 countries that participated in PISA 2022 for the three core domains (mathematics, reading, and science), 64 took part in the creative thinking assessment; of these, 28 are OECD member countries.⁴ In Canada, all 10 provinces participated in the creative thinking assessment.

Note on PISA 2022

It should be noted that the PISA 2022 cycle was administered in schools during the time of the global pandemic. Many schools and students around the world were impacted by COVID-19–related restrictions, school closures, disruptions to learning environments, and changes in attendance and student learning modes.

In Canada and in certain other participating countries, these circumstances had impacts on school and student participation rates. Given that it did not meet all PISA technical standards, Canada was required to conduct a non-response bias analysis (NRBA) at the school and student levels for certain provinces. Based on the NRBA, the PISA international consortium judged that the Canadian data overall were of suitable quality to be included fully in the PISA data sets. However, the results for Canada overall, as well as for Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Alberta, and British Columbia are to be treated with caution because of a possible non-response bias at the student level, and are annotated accordingly in all international regional analyses and national reporting. More details on response and exclusion rates and the NRBA in Canada are provided in Appendix A of the 2022 PISA Canadian report (Elez et al., 2023). The Reader's Guide section of volume 1 of the 2022 PISA international report (OECD, 2023b) also contains further information on response and exclusion rates, and NRBAs at the international level.

What is creative thinking?

Broadly speaking, researchers understand creativity as the interaction between knowledge, skills, thinking process, and environment, leading to an output that is both useful and novel relative to its social context (OECD, 2023a). This balance between utility and novelty is important to underscore because creativity is not random; rather, it is characterized by a combination of its uniqueness alongside its capacity to respond and be applied to a specific environment or situation.

Creativity is often categorized as "big-C" or "little-c." Big-C creativity "is associated with intellectual or technological breakthroughs or literary or artistic masterpieces" that generate much attention or praise from society (OECD, 2024, p. 47). In contrast, little-c creativity is associated with everyday acts of innovation, such as cooking a meal with an atypical combination of ingredients (Kaufman & Beghetto, 2009). Since "big-C" creativity is rare and difficult to achieve, the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment focuses on evaluating

³ No data were collected in the three territories or in First Nations schools. Further information on sampling procedures and response rates for Canada can be found in the main PISA report (Elez et al., 2023).

⁴ The following 28 OECD countries participated in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Israel, Italy, Korea, Latvia, Lithuania, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, and Spain.

"little-c" creativity among 15-year-old students in an effort to examine students' ability to generate new ideas that demonstrate both originality and relevance and, where necessary, to evaluate and improve on their own ideas.

In PISA 2022, "creative thinking" is defined as "the competence to engage productively in the generation, evaluation and improvement of ideas that can result in original and effective solutions, advances in knowledge and impactful expressions of imagination" (OECD, 2024, p. 47).

Crucially, little-c creativity "can be developed through practice and honed through education" (OECD, 2024, p. 47).

The importance of creative thinking

Education systems understand that, although mathematical, reading, and scientific literacy develop foundational knowledge, global competencies such as communication, collaboration, critical thinking, problem solving, and creativity are essential for young people if they are to respond successfully to new and complex environmental, economic, and social challenges. In fact, recent research on the future of jobs found that creativity is the second most important skill for workers, outranked only by analytical thinking (World Economic Forum, 2023).

In 2016, ministers of education from across Canada endorsed six broad global competencies to be fostered among students to help them prepare for the future (see Figure I.1). Included in this set is the competency of "innovation, creativity, and entrepreneurship," which is recognized for its capacity to "turn ideas into action to meet the needs of a community" (CMEC, 2018, p. 7). The conception of an international assessment tool for creative thinking not only provides countries and policy-makers with insights on the progress of their education systems, but it also contributes to a broader conversation about the intrinsic value of creative thinking and how its development can be better supported (OECD, 2023a).

Figure I.1

Pan-Canadian global competencies



Source: CMEC (2018, p. 2).

The creative thinking assessment framework

Creative thinking can be understood as an interconnected web of various types of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment framework identifies six "internal resources" for fostering creative thinking in students: cognitive skills; domain readiness; openness to experience and intellect; collaboration; goal orientation and creative self-beliefs; and task motivation (OECD, 2023a). In addition to these internal resources are three "external factors": cultural norms and expectations; educational approaches; and school and classroom climate. The third part of the creative thinking framework is "creative engagement." This refers to the ways in which creative thinking is exercised or demonstrated by students in the classroom, through their creative problem solving, knowledge creation, and creative expression abilities. As depicted in Figure I.2, all three of these overarching elements are connected to one another. Building on this framework, the PISA 2022 assessment of creative thinking aims not to identify exceptionally creative individuals but instead to describe, in as much detail as possible, the extent to which students can think creatively when forming and communicating ideas (OECD, 2023a).

Figure I.2



Internal resources, external factors, and types of creative engagement

The four domains of creative thinking

While there are many domains in which creative thinking can be assessed, PISA 2022 focuses on the following four distinct areas, as most appropriate for 15-year-olds engaging in creative thinking:

- *Written expression:* Students express imaginative ideas using written language through tasks such as creating captions for an illustration, coming up with a slogan for a product, or constructively improving someone else's written work.
- *Visual expression:* Students use digital design tools to complete visual design tasks such as creating a poster or flyer for an event or improving someone else's visual work.
- *Social problem solving:* Students come up with solutions for interpersonal and social issues or propose original ways to improve an existing solution, such as proposing ideas on how to save water, then strategizing diverse communication methods to share these water-saving activity ideas with different groups of people.
- *Scientific problem solving:* Students solve problems by generating new ideas, designing experiments or hypotheses, or coming up with new methods or inventions in a scientific context (e.g., inventing a product to address an issue, formulating a theory to explain a phenomenon, or making inferences about a dataset).

Source: OECD (2023a, p. 145).

Additional constraints that influenced the choice of these four domains were the length of the test (60 minutes for the innovative domain); the age of the target population (the domains selected had to be familiar to most 15-year-old students across countries and reflect realistic ways in which students could demonstrate creative thinking in a test environment); and the testing platform technology (a computer desktop with no internet connection or touchscreen). Despite these constraints, the four assessment domains for creative thinking provide "reasonable and sufficiently diverse" coverage of the types of creative thinking activities common among 15-year-olds across the world (OECD, 2023a, p. 152).

The competency model of creative thinking

In PISA 2022, creative thinking is measured based on a competency model that assesses the following three facets or ideation processes (see Figure I.3):

- *Generating diverse ideas:* The capacity to think flexibly by developing ideas that are distinctively different from one another. The focus is on the uniqueness of each idea among multiple ideas rather than the quality of ideas.
- *Generating creative ideas:* The capacity to develop ideas that are uncommon, or infrequent, relative to the responses of other students completing the same task. The ideas need to be both unique and appropriate, meaning that they are useful with respect to the situational context.
- *Evaluating and improving ideas:* The capacity to modify someone else's work in a way that maintains the content but offers an original improvement or advancement.

Within these three processes, "ideas" can be expressed in different formats, such as a poem, a research hypothesis, or a drawing.



Source: OECD (2023a, p. 150).

Each of the processes is assessed differently. For the facet of generating diverse ideas, students are not evaluated based on the quantity of their ideas but rather on how different their ideas are from one another. Within the process of generating creative ideas, originality is measured by frequency — for example, how common is a student's response compared to those of other students who completed the same task? Lastly, with respect to evaluating and improving ideas, responses are assessed by whether they improve an existing idea in a unique way (OECD, 2022).

Description of the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment

The PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment is composed of two parts: a cognitive test and background questionnaires. Although the assessment included questionnaires for students, parents,⁵ teachers, and school principals, the parent and teacher questionnaires were offered as international options. In Canada, only the student and school questionnaires were administered; in some other countries, the optional parent and teacher questionnaires were also administered.

Component 1: Cognitive test in creative thinking

As part of the PISA assessment, students are asked to complete a cognitive test consisting of two 60-minute sections. In PISA 2022, typically 94 percent of participating students within each country were assigned test forms containing 60 minutes of mathematics items and 60 minutes of either reading, science, or creative thinking items (OECD, 2024, p. 24).

The creative thinking test item pool consisted of a total of 18 units with 32 open-ended items distributed across the four domains (written and visual expression, social and scientific problem solving) as well as the three facets (generating diverse and creative ideas and evaluating/improving ideas). To minimize the impact of varying individual experiences, the test was composed of everyday tasks that did not require specific technical knowledge. For example, one unit might focus on the topic of creating a poster for a science fair event with a space theme. Within each unit, there was a common stimulus, with open-ended tasks measuring a combination of the four domains of creative thinking as well as the three facets. In the science fair example, the respective facets might be assessed by students' ability to generate unique event names, design an innovative poster, and improve an existing poster in an original way. Information on sample units and items from the PISA 2022 creative thinking cognitive test is available in Appendix A.

Given the indefinite number of correct responses in the cognitive test, the approach to scoring follows a two- or three-step process, where a response can be given no, partial, or full credit. This approach has also been used for open-ended items in other PISA domains. The two-step process is used for tasks measuring the process of generating diverse ideas (Figure I.4). The three-step process is used for tasks measuring the processes of generating creative ideas and evaluating or improving ideas (Figure I.5). Full credit is assigned to a response only when it demonstrates both appropriateness and originality, and partial credit is assigned when only appropriateness is shown (OECD, 2022).

⁵ In this report, "parents" refers to parents or guardians.



Source: OECD (2023a, p. 154).

Figure I.5





Step 2



Source: OECD (2023a, p. 155).

These coding processes produce a student's score for the creative thinking cognitive test. In PISA 2022, students' scores in creative thinking are reported using a scale with a range from 0 to 60 points. This two-digit scale is notably smaller than what is used in the PISA mathematics, reading, and science assessments, where mean

scores are around 500 points. This smaller scale reflects a lower measurement precision due to the nature of this innovative domain, where — in contrast to a definite correct answer to a math question, for example — the tasks are open-ended. The size of the scale is also a result of the smaller number of items in the creative thinking assessment (OECD, 2024).

It is worth noting that a "large" difference in students' scores is defined as 3 score points (which "is approximately equivalent to one-quarter of the OECD standard deviation in creative thinking performance"), and a "small" difference is defined as 1 score point ("which corresponds to just under one-tenth of the OECD standard deviation in creative thinking performance") (OECD, 2024, p. 80). More information about statistical significance and how these creative thinking scores correlate to proficiency levels is provided in Chapter 1.

Component 2: Background questionnaires

Every cycle of PISA collects self-reported information from students, teachers, parents, and school principals, using questionnaires with Likert-scale responses (e.g., possible answers ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree). As previously mentioned, in Canada, background questionnaires were administered only to students and school principals. The PISA 2022 questionnaires gathered information about various factors affecting creative thinking (OECD, 2022):

Individual factors (student questionnaire only)

- *Curiosity and exploration:* Scaled response questions allowed respondents to self-report on personality traits such as openness to new experiences.
- *Beliefs about creativity:* Students used a scale to express their beliefs about creativity in general, such as whether they believe it is a learned or innate trait.
- *Creative self-efficacy:* Students self-reported on their confidence in their own creativity in general as well as in specific domains.

Environmental factors (student and school questionnaires)

- *School environment:* The student questionnaire collected information about student-teacher interactions; both the school and student questionnaires (and all four questionnaires in some countries) collected information about the general school environment to provide insights on the role of extrinsic motivation.
- *Creative activities in school:* Students were asked about their participation in activities both inside and outside school. School principals (and teachers in some countries) provided information about activities that took place as part of the curriculum as well as during extra-curricular time.

In addition to these individual and environmental factors, PISA 2022 asked students about their attitudes as well as their goals and expectations for the future as a way of measuring their motivation levels, which may be related to their creative thinking performance. Figure I.6 presents the four constructs covered in this part of the student questionnaire: students' beliefs about creativity, attitudes toward creative thinking, social-emotional characteristics, and expectations for the future.



Source: OECD (2024, p. 158).

Objectives and organization of this report

The purpose of this report is to provide a high-level description of the results, by proficiency levels and average scores, from the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment for Canada and the provinces. This report also examines student performance by demographic variables and in the context of data from the student questionnaire. Results are compared at the pan-Canadian, provincial, and OECD levels. This report complements the PISA 2022 international report on creative thinking (OECD, 2024).

Chapter 1 provides information on the overall performance (proficiency levels and average scores) of 15-yearold students in Canada overall and across provinces in the PISA 2022 assessment of creative thinking. It also examines performance by the language of the school system, gender, immigrant status, language spoken at home, and socioeconomic status. This chapter also places the performance of students in an international context. In addition, it explores the extent to which students' performance in the core domains in PISA (mathematics, reading, and science) is associated with their performance in creative thinking.

Chapter 2 examines results from the student background questionnaire, analyzing students' self-reported behaviour and attitudes related to creative thinking, such as creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, participation in creative activities offered in their school, and how creativity is supported and fostered in their school, class, peer, and family environments. These findings are analyzed in connection to students' creative thinking performance as well in relation to sociodemographic characteristics such as language of school system, gender, immigrant status, and socioeconomic status.

The Conclusion presents a discussion of key findings and underscores the pressing need for education systems to better foster creative thinking skills among new generations of students.

Finally, the appendices provide information on sample items as well as data tables focused on achievement results and contextual information.

Chapter 1

Canadian Students' Performance in Creative Thinking in an International Context

"Creativity is seeing what others see and thinking what no one else ever thought."

– Albert Einstein

This chapter presents results of the PISA 2022 assessment in the innovative domain of creative thinking. Canadian students' performance is generally presented by province and as an overall Canadian average; where relevant, the OECD average is also provided.⁶

In the first section, the performance of 15-year-old students across Canada on the creative thinking test is compared to the OECD average by proficiency level, average score, and variation in performance. The next section explores the theme of equity in Canada by comparing the scores of high- and low-achieving students in creative thinking. Then, students' performance in creative thinking is compared with their performance in the PISA core domains, as it can be expected that students who perform well in creative thinking are likely to perform well in other subject areas (OECD, 2024).

Creative thinking results are also presented by language of the school system in Canada (i.e., English or French). PISA samples are representative of both majority and minority official language groups in the eight provinces that had sufficient data for valid statistical comparisons. Owing to the small sample size, results for students enrolled in French-language schools in Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island are not provided separately; however, they are included in the calculations for the overall average scores in those provinces.

The final sections of this chapter examine differences in creative thinking proficiency levels and achievement scores by gender and then by key background characteristics. Results are examined by students' immigrant status, language spoken at home, and socioeconomic status, as earlier assessments have shown that students' success can be influenced by their individual and family characteristics.

Key findings

- Canada performed well in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment. The proportion of 15-year-old Canadian students who performed at Level 3 (the baseline level of proficiency in creative thinking) or above was 89 percent, compared to the OECD average of 78 percent.
- Out of a total of 60 points, the average score in Canada for the creative thinking assessment was 38 points, which is 5 points above the OECD average of 33 points. At the provincial level, average scores ranged from 34 points in Newfoundland and Labrador to 40 points in Alberta.
- In Canada, 45 percent of students were high achievers (students performing at Levels 5 and 6) in creative thinking, compared to the OECD average of 27 percent. At the provincial level, the proportion of high achievers ranged from 31 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador to 52 percent in Alberta.

⁶ In this report, the OECD averages presented are calculated based on data, where available, from the 28 OECD member countries that participated in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment.

- There was a greater proportion of high achievers in anglophone school systems (47 percent) compared to francophone school systems (38 percent) on average across Canada.
- Girls outperformed boys in Canada overall as well as across all provinces except Prince Edward Island, where there was no difference in the average scores of boys and girls.
- In Canada, 92 percent of second-generation immigrant students achieved scores in creative thinking at the baseline level of proficiency (Level 3) or above, compared to 89 percent of non-immigrant students and 86 percent of first-generation immigrant students.
- With respect to language spoken at home, Canadian students who spoke French at home had an average score of 37 points, while students speaking English at home and students speaking another language at home both had an average score of 38 points.
- The achievement gap between socioeconomically advantaged students and socioeconomically disadvantaged students was smaller in Canada (7 points) than on average across OECD countries (10 points).

Proficiency levels in the PISA creative thinking assessment

The PISA creative thinking assessment proficiency scale is organized into seven levels. Table 1.1 provides detailed descriptions of the skills required to complete the tasks at Levels 1 to 6. The seventh level is composed of students who scored below Level 1, which is the lowest level of creative thinking skills.⁷ Level 6 corresponds to the highest level of creative thinking skills. While in the PISA assessments of mathematics, reading, and science, Level 2 is considered the baseline level of proficiency that students need in order to participate fully in modern society, Level 3 is used as the baseline level of proficiency in the domain of creative thinking (OECD, 2024).

In addition to describing the six proficiency levels, Table 1.1 also shows the lower score limit for each level as well as the average percentage of students across OECD countries and in Canada overall who are able to perform tasks at a given level and the one(s) above it — it is assumed that students classified at a given proficiency level can perform most of the tasks at that level as well as the tasks at the preceding level or levels (Appendix B.1.1a–b).

Table 1.1				
		PISA ZUZZ Creativ	e thinking proficiency levels – summary description	
Level	Lower score limit	Percentage of students able to perform tasks at this level or above	Characteristics of tasks	
6	48	8.9% across OECD countries and 21.4% in Canada	 At Level 6, students can: productively engage in creative idea generation, generating both original and diverse ideas for a wide range of expressive and problem-solving tasks, including those in more complex, abstract, and unfamiliar contexts identify weaknesses in existing solutions to social or scientific problems, including those that are in less familiar contexts, and build on this understanding to suggest original and innovative ways to improve solutions generate several appropriate solution ideas for complex social and scientific problems that require more specific knowledge of the domain context and that have a more restricted solution space create and improve more abstract visual designs, combining visual elements and representations in unexpected ways and conveying an original interpretation or iteration of an existing representation for expressive tasks 	

Canadian overall data for this seventh level are not depicted because there were too few observations to be published.

Table 1.1 (cont'd)

PISA 2022 creative thinking proficiency levels – summary description			
Level	Lower score limit	Percentage of students able to perform tasks at this level or above	Characteristics of tasks
5	41	27.0% across OECD countries and 44.8% in Canada	 At Level 5, students can: productively engage in creative idea generation, generating both original and diverse ideas for a range of expressive and problem-solving tasks think of several qualitatively different ways to express their imagination and to address familiar social and scientific problems make several different idea associations, considering different interpretations and perspectives on the same issue or stimulus use their imagination to create original written outputs that make unconventional associations between ideas or that add atypical details to elaborate creatively on common themes for both simple and more abstract written expression tasks create original visual outputs that combine elements in an unusual or unexpected way for open visual design tasks generate unconventional solution ideas that integrate innovative approaches in familiar social, and sometimes scientific, problem contexts, including when tasked to iterate on and improve an existing solution idea in more open, familiar problem contexts
4	32	53.7% across OECD countries and 70.4% in Canada	 At Level 4, students can: productively engage in idea generation across a range of expressive and problem-solving tasks generate original and diverse ideas for simple tasks in more familiar domain contexts generate an appropriate idea for most types of idea generation tasks, including more complex or unfamiliar problem-solving tasks and tasks in a scientific context build on others' ideas for solutions in social and scientific contexts, although they tend to provide an obvious or common iteration with respect to their peers generate their own original ideas in written expression tasks and sometimes when iterating on others' ideas express their imagination in unexpected ways, making unconventional idea associations between elements of the stimulus and their written output, or they can add atypical details to elaborate creatively on more common ideas often suggest two or three qualitatively different ideas in open written expression and social problem contexts, but are less successful in more complex or constrained social and scientific problem contexts
3	23	78.3% across OECD countries and 88.8% in Canada	 Level 3 is considered the baseline level of creative thinking proficiency. At Level 3, students can: generate one or several appropriate ideas for simple to moderately complex expressive and problem-solving tasks, including extended written ideas that require them to engage and express their imagination and coherently build upon others' ideas typically suggest ideas that rely on obvious idea associations or common themes with respect to their peers, but they begin to demonstrate the ability to generate original solutions for familiar, everyday problems with a social focus suggest solution ideas that not many other students think of or add an innovative or different twist to more conventional solution ideas
2	15	93.1% across OECD countries and 97.3% in Canada	 At Level 2, students can: generate appropriate ideas for simple visual and written expression tasks as well as those that focus on solving familiar, everyday social problems develop simple written ideas in the form of longer captions or short dialogues typically suggest ideas that rely on obvious idea associations for expressive tasks or that refer to existing solutions for problems in social problem–solving tasks generate more than one appropriate idea for some written expression and social problem–solving tasks, but these ideas are not qualitatively different from one another

Table 1.1 (cont'd)

	PISA 2022 creative thinking proficiency levels – summary description			
Level	Lower score limit	Percentage of students able to perform tasks at this level or above	Characteristics of tasks	
1	6	99.6% across OECD countries and 99.9% in Canada	 At Level 1, students can: generate very simple visual designs using isolated shapes or existing visual elements, and in some cases very short written outputs (e.g., a few words), that require them to engage their imagination rely on obvious themes or idea associations as the basis for their response and struggle to generate more than one appropriate idea even for very open and simple imagination tasks typically generate simple visual or written outputs with few details that reflect only a minimal level of engagement with the task 	

Adapted from OECD (2024, p. 70).

Note: Results for Canada and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Achievement in creative thinking

The results of student performance on the PISA 2022 creative thinking test are presented in this report in two ways: as the percentage of students attaining each proficiency level and as average scores. Results are presented for Canada overall and by province; when appropriate, the OECD average (i.e., the average across the 28 participating OECD countries) is also provided.

Achievement in creative thinking by proficiency level

In the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment, 89 percent of Canadian students and 78 percent of students on average across OECD countries performed at or above Level 3, which is considered by OECD to be the baseline level of proficiency for creative thinking. Inversely, 11 percent of Canadian students did not reach Level 3, which is considerably less than the OECD average of 22 percent. Only two countries — Singapore and Latvia — had a significantly higher proportion of students performing at Level 3 or above than Canada (Appendix B.1.1b).

Within Canada, the percentage of students at or above the baseline level of performance ranged from 82 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador to 91 percent in Ontario. Inversely, the percentage of low achievers (those achieving below Level 3) ranged from 9 percent in Ontario to 18 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador (Figure 1.1, Appendix B.1.1b).

Figure 1.1



Percentage of students at each proficiency level in creative thinking

U Too unreliable to be published.

Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

At the higher end of the PISA creative thinking proficiency scale, 45 percent of Canadian students performed at Levels 5 and 6, compared to 27 percent performing at these levels on average across OECD countries (Figure 1.1, Appendix B.1.1b). Only Singapore (58 percent) had a larger proportion of students performing at Levels 5 and 6 compared to Canada (Appendix B.1.1b). At the provincial level, at least 45 percent of students in Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia were high performers (reaching Levels 5 and 6) in the creative thinking assessment. The proportion of high-performing students varied across provinces, ranging from 31 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador to 52 percent in Alberta (Figure 1.1, Appendix B.1.1b).

Achievement in creative thinking by average score

For the 2022 creative thinking assessment, the OECD average score was 33 points, with a standard deviation of 11 points. This means that, in this assessment, approximately 68 percent of all students in OECD countries scored between 22 and 44 on the creative thinking scale (i.e., within one standard deviation of the average) (OECD, 2024).

International studies such as PISA summarize student performance by comparing the relative standing of countries based on their average test scores. This approach can be misleading, because there is a margin of error associated with each score (see the box below). When interpreting average performances between countries and provinces, only those differences that are statistically significant should be considered. Thus, unless otherwise stated, only statistically significant differences are noted in this report.

A note on statistical comparisons

The purpose of PISA is to report results on the skills of 15-year-old students. Therefore, a random sample of 15-year-old students was selected to participate in the assessment. The averages (for mean scores and proficiency-levels proportions) were computed from the scores of these random samples of students from each country, and not from the overall population of 15-year-old students in each country. Consequently, it cannot be said with certainty that a sample average has the same value as the population average that would have been obtained had all 15-year-old students been assessed.

Additionally, a degree of error is associated with the scores describing student performance, as these scores are estimated based on student responses to test items. A statistic called the standard error is used to express the degree of uncertainty associated with sampling error and measurement error. The standard error can be used to construct a confidence interval, which provides a means of making inferences about the population averages and proportions in a manner that reflects the uncertainty associated with sample estimates. A 95 percent confidence interval is used in this report and represents a range of plus or minus about two standard errors around the sample average. Using this confidence interval, it can be inferred that the population mean or proportion would lie within the confidence interval in 95 out of 100 replications of the measurement, using different samples randomly drawn from the same population.

When comparing scores among countries, provinces, or population subgroups, the degree of error in each average should be considered in order to determine if averages are significantly different from each other. Standard errors and confidence intervals may be used as the basis for performing these comparative statistical tests. Such tests can identify, with a known probability, whether actual differences are likely to be observed in the populations being compared. For example, when an observed difference is significant at the .05 level, it implies that the probability is less than .05 that the observed difference could have occurred because of sampling or measurement error. When comparing countries and/or provinces, extensive use is made of this type of statistical test to reduce the likelihood that differences due to sampling or measurement errors will be interpreted as real.

A test of significance (t-test) was conducted in order to determine whether differences were statistically significant. In the case of multiple t-tests, no corrections were made to reduce the false positive, or Type-I error rate. Unless otherwise stated, only statistically significant differences at the .05 level are noted in this report, for proportions of students at proficiency levels and for mean scores.

The four countries with the highest average scores in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment were Singapore (41 points), Korea (38 points), Canada (38 points), and Australia (37 points). As shown in Table 1.2, Canada was outperformed only by Singapore. Students in Canada performed as well as students in Korea and Australia (Appendix B.1.2).

Table 1.2

Achievement scores in creative thinking					
Country, province, or OECD average	Average score	95% confidence interval	Countries or provinces whose mean score is not significantly different from the comparison country, province, or OECD average		
Singapore	41.0	40.6–41.3	Alberta		
Alberta	39.6	38.1–41.0	Singapore, Ontario, Korea, British Columbia		
Ontario	39.1	38.4–39.8	Alberta, Korea, British Columbia		
Korea	38.1	37.3–38.8	Alberta, Ontario, British Columbia, Canada, Australia, Prince Edward Island		
British Columbia	38.0	36.6–39.3	Alberta, Ontario, Korea, Canada, Australia, Quebec, Prince Edward Island		
Canada	37.9	37.5–38.4	Korea, British Columbia, Australia, Prince Edward Island		
Australia	37.3	36.8–37.8	Korea, British Columbia, Canada, Quebec, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island		
Quebec	36.5	35.5–37.5	British Columbia, Australia, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
New Zealand	36.4	35.9–37.0	Quebec, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Estonia	35.9	35.3–36.4	Quebec, New Zealand, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Finland	35.8	35.2–36.4	Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Manitoba	35.7	34.6–36.9	Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Nova Scotia	35.7	34.0–37.4	Australia, Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador, Portugal		
Denmark	35.5	35.0–36.0	Quebec, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Prince Edward Island	35.5	32.0–39.0	Korea, British Columbia , Canada , Australia, Quebec , New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba , Nova Scotia , Denmark, Saskatchewan , Latvia, Belgium, No Brunswick , Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador , Portugal, Lithuania, Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands Israel		
Saskatchewan	35.2	34.0–36.3	Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Latvia	35.1	34.5–35.6	Finland, Manitoba , Nova Scotia , Denmark, Prince Edward Island , Saskatchewan , Belgium, New Brunswick , Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Belgium	34.9	34.4–35.4	Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, New Brunswick, Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador		
New Brunswick	34.6	32.4–36.7	Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador, Portugal, Lithuania, Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands		
Poland	34.4	33.9–35.0	Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Portugal		
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.1	31.6–36.6	Quebec, New Zealand, Estonia, Finland, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Denmark, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Latvia, Belgium, New Brunswick, Poland Portugal, Lithuania, Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel, Macao (China), Hong Kong (China)		
Portugal	33.9	33.3–34.5	Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Poland, Newfoundland and Labrador		
Lithuania	32.9	32.3–33.4	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Spain, OECD average, Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel		

Table 1.2 (cont'd)

Achievement scores in creative thinking					
Country, province, or OECD average	Average score	95% confidence interval	Countries or provinces whose mean score is not significantly different from the comparison country, province, or OECD average		
Spain	32.8	32.3–33.2	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania, OECD average, Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel		
OECD average	32.7	32.6–32.8	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania Spain, Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel		
Czech Republic	32.6	32.1–33.2	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania Spain, OECD average, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel		
Chinese Taipei	32.6	31.9–33.4	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania Spain, OECD average, Czech Republic, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel		
Germany	32.5	31.7–33.3	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuan Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, France, Netherlands, Israel, Hong Kong (China)		
France	32.4	31.8–33.0	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuani Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, Netherlands, Israel, Hong Kong (China)		
Netherlands	32.4	31.5–33.3	Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania Spain, <i>OECD average</i> , Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Israel, Macao (China), Hong Kong (China), Italy		
Israel	32.3	31.5–33.0	Prince Edward Island, Newfoundland and Labrador, Lithuania, Spain, OECD average, Czech Republic, Chinese Taipei, Germany, France, Netherlands, Maca (China), Hong Kong (China), Italy		
Macao (China)	31.6	31.2–32.0	Newfoundland and Labrador, Netherlands, Israel, Hong Kong (China), Italy, Malta, Hungary		
Hong Kong (China)	31.6	30.9–32.3	Newfoundland and Labrador, Germany, France, Netherlands, Israel, Macao (China), Italy, Malta, Hungary, Chile		
Italy	31.4	30.8–32.0	Netherlands, Israel, Macao (China), Hong Kong (China), Malta, Hungary, Chile		
Malta	31.3	30.9–31.8	Macao (China), Hong Kong (China), Italy, Hungary, Chile		
Hungary	30.9	30.3–31.6	Macao (China), Hong Kong (China), Italy, Malta, Chile, Croatia, Iceland		
Chile	30.7	30.0–31.3	Hong Kong (China), Italy, Malta, Hungary, Croatia, Iceland, Slovenia		
Croatia	30.5	29.8–31.1	Hungary, Chile, Iceland, Slovenia		
Iceland	30.5	30.0–30.9	Hungary, Chile, Croatia, Slovenia		
Slovenia	30.0	29.5–30.4	Chile, Croatia, Iceland, Slovak Republic		
Slovak Republic	29.2	28.4–30.0	Slovenia, Mexico, Serbia, Uruguay, United Arab Emirates		
Mexico	29.0	28.4–29.6	Slovak Republic, Serbia, Uruguay, United Arab Emirates		
Serbia	28.7	28.0-29.4	Slovak Republic, Mexico, Uruguay, United Arab Emirates		
Uruguay	28.6	28.0-29.3	Slovak Republic, Mexico, Serbia, United Arab Emirates		
United Arab Emirates	28.4	28.1–28.7	Slovak Republic, Mexico, Serbia, Uruguay		
Qatar	27.7	27.2–28.1	Costa Rica, Greece, Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)		
Costa Rica	27.5	26.9–28.1	Qatar, Greece, Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)		
Greece	27.0	26.3–27.7	Qatar, Costa Rica, Ukrainian regions (18 of 27), Romania		
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	26.9	25.7–28.1	Qatar, Costa Rica, Greece, Romania, Colombia, Jamaica		
Romania	26.2	25.3–27.2	Greece, Ukrainian regions (18 of 27), Colombia, Jamaica, Malaysia		
Colombia	25.6	24.6-26.5	Ukrainian regions (18 of 27), Romania, Jamaica, Malaysia, Mongolia		
Jamaica	25.5	24.5-26.6	Ukrainian regions (18 of 27), Romania, Colombia, Malaysia, Mongolia		
Malaysia	25.1	24.4–25.9	Romania, Colombia, Jamaica, Mongolia		
Mongolia	24.9	24.3-25.5	Colombia, Jamaica, Malaysia		
Moldova	23.9	23.3-24.6	Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panama		

Table 1.2 (cont'd)

Achievement scores in creative thinking					
Country, province, or OECD average	Average score	95% confidence interval	Countries or provinces whose mean score is not significantly different from the comparison country, province, or OECD average		
Kazakhstan	23.8	23.3–24.4	Moldova, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panama, El Salvador		
Brunei Darussalam	23.7	23.4–24.1	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panama, El Salvador		
Cyprus	23.7	23.3–24.1	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panam Salvador		
Peru	23.5	22.8–24.1	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Pan El Salvador, Baku (Azerbaijan)		
Brazil	23.3	22.7–23.9	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Saudi Arabia, Panama, El Salvador, Baku (Azerbaijan)		
Saudi Arabia	23.3	22.7–23.9	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Panama, El Salvador, Baku (Azerbaijan)		
Panama	23.2	22.5–23.9	Moldova, Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Salvador, Baku (Azerbaijan)		
El Salvador	23.0	22.3–23.7	Kazakhstan, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panama, Baku (Azerbaijan)		
Baku (Azerbaijan)	22.8	22.2–23.4	Peru, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, Panama, El Salvador		
Thailand	20.9	20.2–21.7	Bulgaria, Jordan		
Bulgaria	20.7	20.0–21.5	Thailand, Jordan		
Jordan	20.2	19.5–20.9	Thailand, Bulgaria		
North Macedonia	19.1	18.7–19.6	Indonesia, Palestinian Authority		
Indonesia	19.0	18.2–19.7	North Macedonia, Palestinian Authority		
Palestinian Authority	18.5	17.8–19.1	North Macedonia, Indonesia		
Dominican Republic	15.5	15.0–16.0	Могоссо		
Morocco	15.5	14.3–16.6	Dominican Republic, Uzbekistan, Philippines		
Uzbekistan	14.5	14.0-15.0	Morocco, Philippines		
Philippines	14.2	13.2–15.2	Morocco, Uzbekistan, Albania		
Albania	13.1	12.5–13.6	Philippines		

Note: OECD countries appear in italics. The OECD average was 32.7 points, with a standard error of 0.1. See OECD (2023b) for a note regarding Cyprus. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Above the Canadian average At the Canadian average Below the Canadian average



Across Canada, students in seven provinces (Nova Scotia, Quebec, Ontario, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Alberta, and British Columbia) achieved average scores above the OECD average. Students in the remaining three provinces achieved average scores that were at the OECD average. Figure 1.2 illustrates the average achievement scores in the creative thinking assessment across provinces, along with the OECD and Canadian averages. Students in Alberta and Ontario achieved scores that were above the Canadian average, while students in Prince Edward Island and British Columbia achieved scores that were at the Canadian average. Students in the remaining six provinces achieved scores below the Canadian average (Figure 1.2, Appendix B.1.2).

Figure 1.2

Achievement scores in creative thinking



Note: Darker shades denote significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details). Error bars represent 95 percent confidence intervals.

Equity in Canada

Another way of studying differences in achievement is to look at the distribution of scores within a population. The difference between the mean scores of students in the 90th percentile and those in the 10th percentile is often used as a proxy for equity in educational outcomes. Such an analysis examines the relative distribution of scores or the gap that exists between students with the highest and lowest levels of performance within each country or province. Figure 1.3 shows the difference in average scores between the lowest achievers (those in the 10th percentile) and highest achievers (those in the 90th percentile) in creative thinking. For Canada overall, those in the highest decile scored 29 points higher than those in the lowest decile, which is similar to the OECD average (Appendix B.1.3).

At the provincial level, the smallest gap (i.e., greater equity) is 28 points, found in Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, Ontario, and Manitoba, while the largest gap (i.e., less equity) is 30 points, in New Brunswick, Quebec, Alberta, and British Columbia. It is worth noting that, although high-achieving countries tend to have larger gaps than those in low-achieving countries, high achievement does not necessarily come at the cost of equity. Singapore is the top-performing country in creative thinking, but it has a relatively low variation between the top and bottom percentiles (25 points). The country with the smallest gap is Latvia (22 points) (Appendix B.1.3).

Figure 1.3

Differences between high and low achievers in creative thinking



Difference between the 90th and 10th percentiles

Note: Results are ordered from the smallest to the largest difference between the 90th and 10th percentiles. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Correlation between creative thinking and PISA core domains

Although, in PISA, all the tasks are designed with the intention not to substantially overlap across domains, a positive relationship between students' scores in creative thinking and those in the core PISA domains of mathematics, reading, and science can be observed. Assessing the correlation between creative thinking and the core domains provides an opportunity to understand how achievement in these domains can influence performance in creative thinking.

In Canada, the correlation between performance in creative thinking and the core domains was 0.56 in mathematics, 0.55 in reading, and 0.54 in science, all of which are lower than the OECD averages of 0.67, 0.66, and 0.66, respectively (Appendix B.1.4). In other words, the relationship between creative thinking performance and core domain performance is stronger on average across OECD countries than across Canada. This finding supports the argument that the creative thinking assessment succeeds in measuring a subset of skills that are distinct from those measured in the core domain assessments (OECD, 2024). Table 1.3 illustrates how correlations between creative thinking and the core domains are consistently lower than those exclusively among the core domains (i.e., between mathematics and science, mathematics and reading, and reading and science). This finding prompts interesting questions about the interrelatedness of creative thinking and the core domains.

Table 1.3

Correlation of creative thinking performance with performance in the PISA core domains							
		Canada		OECD average			
	Mathematics	Reading	Science	Mathematics	Reading	Science	
Mathematics			0.81			0.87	
Reading	0.76		0.73	0.80		0.80	
Creative thinking	0.56	0.55	0.54	0.67	0.66	0.66	

Note: Results for Canada and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Given that performance in creative thinking is positively correlated with performance in the three core domains of mathematics, reading, and science, it is useful to examine the distinctive aspects of creative thinking. In this context, "relative performance" refers to students' performance in creative thinking after accounting for their mathematics, reading, or science performance; essentially, it describes how well students performed in creative thinking compared to other students with similar mathematics, reading, or science scores (OECD, 2024, p. 86).

Figure 1.4 shows the relative performance in creative thinking based on students' scores in mathematics, reading, and science. Canadian students scored higher than expected in creative thinking based on their scores in the three core domains. For example, students scored 5 points higher in creative thinking than expected based on their mathematics scores, and 4 points higher than expected based on their scores in both reading and science. These score-point differences were higher in Canada overall compared to the OECD average of 2 points across all three domains. At the provincial level, the biggest difference in relative performance in creative thinking was based on scores in mathematics and ranged from 2 points in Quebec to 6 points in Ontario and Alberta (Appendix B.1.5).



Note: Results for Canada and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).
Achievement in creative thinking by language of the school system

In eight Canadian provinces (Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia), samples were representative of both majority and minority official language groups and allow separate reporting of results by language of the school system.⁸

Achievement by proficiency level and language of the school system

Figure 1.5 shows the proportions of high achievers (students performing at Levels 5 and 6) and low achievers (below Level 3) in creative thinking for Canada overall by language of the school system in which students were enrolled.⁹ On average across Canada, anglophone school systems had a greater proportion of high achievers and a smaller proportion of low achievers (47 and 10 percent, respectively) compared to francophone school systems (38 and 14 percent, respectively) (Appendix B.1.6b).

Figure 1.5

Percentage of Canadian students below Level 3 and at Levels 5 and 6 in creative thinking, by language of the school system



Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

In Canada overall and in Ontario, a greater proportion of students in anglophone systems reached Level 3 (the baseline level of proficiency) or above compared to students in francophone systems. The opposite was true for British Columbia, where a greater proportion of students in the francophone system achieved Level 3 or above compared to their anglophone counterparts. In the remaining six provinces, equity was observed between the language groups (Table 1.4, Appendix B.1.6b).

⁸ With respect to the two official languages in Canada, English is the majority language outside of Quebec — 75 percent of Canadians report having English as their first official language. In Quebec, French is the majority language — 82 percent of people in Quebec report having French as their first official language (Statistics Canada, 2022).

⁹ Within anglophone school systems, students in French Immersion programs completed the creative thinking assessment test in the language of instruction (French or English).

Table 1.4

Summary of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by language of the school system

Higher* percentage in anglophone schools	Higher* percentage in francophone schools	No significant difference between school systems
Canada, Ontario	British Columbia	Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Quebec, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta

* Denotes significant difference.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada and most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan) should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When Canadian and provincial results at or above Level 3 are compared for English-language schools, a higher proportion of students in Ontario (91 percent) achieved these levels than students in Canada as a whole (89 percent). Students in Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, Quebec, Manitoba, Alberta, and British Columbia achieved these levels at a rate similar to the Canadian anglophone average, and students in the remaining provinces achieved Level 3 or above at a rate lower than the Canadian average. With respect to French-language schools, students in British Columbia (98 percent) achieved Level 3 or above at a higher rate than the Canadian average (86 percent), while those in the remaining provinces for which results are reported achieved these levels at a rate similar to the Canadian average (Table 1.5, Appendix B.1.6b).

Table 1.5

Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by language of the school system

Anglophone school systems					
Higher* percentage than Canada	The same percentage as Canada	Lower* percentage than Canada			
Ontario	Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, Quebec, Manitoba, Alberta, British Columbia	Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Saskatchewan			
	Francophone school systems				
Higher* percentage than Canada	The same percentage as Canada	Lower* percentage than Canada			
British Columbia	Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta				

* Denotes significant difference.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada and most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan) should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Achievement by average score and language of the school system

When scores are examined by language of the school system, students in anglophone school systems in Canada overall achieved higher average scores (38 points) compared to students in francophone school systems (36 points) (Figure 1.6, Appendix B.1.7).

Average scores in creative thinking in Canada, by language of the school system

Figure 1.6



Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details). Error bars represent 95 percent confidence intervals.

Table 1.6 shows how provincial achievement scores in creative thinking compare to the Canadian averages for both anglophone and francophone school systems. In anglophone systems, Ontario students scored above the Canadian English average, while the scores of students in Prince Edward Island, Quebec, Alberta, and British Columbia were at the Canadian English average. The scores for students in the remaining provinces were below the Canadian English average. In French-language schools, Quebec students scored above the Canadian French average, while students in Manitoba scored below the average. Students in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Ontario, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Colombia scored at the Canadian French average (Appendix B.1.7).

	Table 1.6				
Comparison of Can	Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for achievement scores in creative thinking, by language of the school system				
		Anglophone school system			
Canadian English average	Above* the Canadian English average	At the Canadian English average	Below* the Canadian English average		
38	Ontario (39)	Prince Edward Island (35), Quebec (38), Alberta (40), British Columbia (38)	Newfoundland and Labrador (34), Nova Scotia (36), New Brunswick (35), Manitoba (36), Saskatchewan (35)		
		Francophone school system			
Canadian French average	Above* the Canadian French average	At the Canadian French average	Below* the Canadian French average		
36	Quebec (36)	Nova Scotia (36), New Brunswick (34), Ontario (35), Saskatchewan (34), Alberta (35), British Columbia (34)	Manitoba (33)		

* Denotes significant difference.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada and most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan) should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Achievement in creative thinking by gender

Girls outperformed boys in all but 3 of the 64 countries participating in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment (OECD, 2024). The same trend was seen in 9 provinces as well as in Canada overall. The gender gap favoured girls in all provinces except Prince Edward Island, where there was no difference in the achievement of boys and girls (Appendix B.1.9).

Achievement by proficiency level and gender

In Canada overall and in nine provinces, a greater proportion of girls than boys were high achievers (reaching Levels 5 and 6), with differences ranging from 7 percentage points in Quebec to 15 percentage points in Newfoundland and Labrador (Appendix B.1.8b). No difference between the proportion of girls and boys performing at Levels 5 and 6 was observed in Prince Edward Island. At the same time, a greater proportion of boys than girls were low achievers (below Level 3) in Canada overall (Figure 1.7) and in all but two provinces: in Alberta, a comparable proportion of girls and boys scored below Level 3, while in Prince Edward Island, the data for girls achieving at this level were too unreliable to be published (Figure 1.8, Appendix B.1.8a–b).



Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

A higher proportion of girls than boys achieved Level 3 (baseline proficiency) and above in Canada overall and in all provinces except Alberta and Prince Edward Island, where the difference was not significant (Figure 1.8, Appendix B.1.8b). In Canada overall, 91 percent of girls reached Level 3 or above, compared to 86 percent of boys.

Figure 1.8





Note: "Achievement gap" signifies the difference between the proportion of girls and the proportion of boys performing at each proficiency level. Darker shades denote significant difference between girls and boys (G - B). Results for Canada and most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan) should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

ON

MB

Levels 5 or 6

SK

QC

NB

Level 3 or above

In most provinces, the percentages of girls and boys achieving at or above Level 3 were similar to the percentages in Canada as a whole. Differences were found in Ontario, where a higher proportion of girls as well as boys performed at or above the baseline proficiency compared to the Canadian average. In Newfoundland and Labrador and New Brunswick, the proportion of boys performing at or above Level 3 was lower than the Canadian average (Table 1.7, Appendix B.1.8b).

	Table 1.7				
Comparison of Ca	Comparison of Canadian and provincial results for percentage of students achieving at or above Level 3 in creative thinking, by gender				
	Girls				
Higher* percentage than Canada	The same percentage as Canada	Lower* percentage than Canada			
Ontario	Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Quebec, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia				
	Воуѕ				
Higher* percentage than Canada	The same percentage as Canada	Lower* percentage than Canada			
Ontario	Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, Quebec, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia	Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick			

* Denotes significant difference.

Average achievement gap

6

4

2

0

NL

ΡE

NS

Note: Results for Canada and most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan) should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

CAN

BC

AB

Achievement by average score and gender

In Canada overall and on average across OECD countries, girls had higher scores than boys in creative thinking. In Canada, girls achieved an average score of 39 points, while boys achieved an average score of 37 points; across OECD, the average scores were 34 points for girls and 31 points for boys (Appendix B.1.9).

Table 1.8 presents a comparison of Canadian, provincial, and OECD average achievement scores in creative thinking for girls and boys. Both girls and boys in Ontario and Alberta scored above the respective Canadian averages. Both girls and boys in Prince Edward Island and British Columbia had achievement scores similar to the Canadian averages; in addition, in Nova Scotia, girls had scores similar to the Canadian average for girls. In all other provinces, and within OECD, both genders scored below the respective Canadian averages. (Appendix B.1.9).

Та	ble	e 1	8

Comparison of Canadian, provincial, and OECD achievement scores in creative thinking, by gender

		Girls	
Canadian average for girls	Above* the Canadian average for girls	At the Canadian average for girls	Below* the Canadian average for girls
39	Ontario (40), Alberta (41)	Prince Edward Island (37), Nova Scotia (37), British Columbia (39)	Newfoundland and Labrador (36), New Brunswick (36), Quebec (38), Manitoba (37), Saskatchewan (37), OECD average (34)
		Boys	
Canadian average for boys	Above* the Canadian average for boys	At the Canadian average for boys	Below* the Canadian average for boys
37	Ontario (38), Alberta (38)	Prince Edward Island (34), British Columbia (37)	Newfoundland and Labrador (32), Nova Scotia (34), New Brunswick (33), Quebec (35), Manitoba (34), Saskatchewan (34), OECD average (31)

* Denotes significant difference.

Note: Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Achievement in creative thinking and student characteristics

Immigrant status

PISA uses three categories to classify students in relation to immigrant status (OECD, 2019, p. 179):

- **Non-immigrant** students have at least one parent who was born in the country in which the assessment was administered, regardless of whether the student himself or herself was born in that country.
- **Second-generation immigrant** students were born in the country in which the assessment was administered but have foreign-born parents.
- First-generation immigrant students are foreign-born students whose parents are also foreign-born.

In PISA 2022, 34 percent of Canadian students self-identified as having an immigrant background, with the highest proportions in Ontario (42 percent) and Alberta (40 percent) (Elez et al., 2023). Historically, non-immigrant students have achieved higher test scores than immigrant students in the majority of countries participating in PISA (OECD, 2023b).¹⁰ This trend was reflected in OECD averages in the creative thinking assessment, where students with an immigrant background scored over 4 points lower than non-immigrant students (29 points and 33 points, respectively) (Appendix B.1.10). In contrast, in Canada, there was no

⁹ This analysis excludes countries where less than 5 percent of students are immigrants.

significant difference between the average scores of immigrant students (39 points) and non-immigrant students (38 points). At the provincial level, the same trend was observed in most provinces, with the exceptions of Nova Scotia and Quebec (Appendix B.1.10).

While the difference between immigrant and non-immigrant students' scores in creative thinking is not significant at the pan-Canadian level, the differences become more pronounced when the immigrant group is split between first- and second-generation immigrants. As shown in Figure 1.9, second-generation immigrant students achieved an average score of 40 points, which is higher than first-generation and non-immigrant students, whose scores (37 and 38 points, respectively) were similar to each other. At the provincial level, there was no difference between the scores of non-immigrant students compared to first- or second-generation immigrant students in most provinces. Differences were observed only in Quebec, where both first- and second-generation immigrant students achieved a lower score than non-immigrant students, as well as in Ontario and British Columbia, where second-generation immigrant students achieved a higher score than non-immigrant students (Appendix B.1.10).



Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details). Error bars represent 95 percent confidence intervals.

In Canada overall, 92 percent of second-generation immigrant students reached the baseline level of proficiency (Level 3) or above, which is significantly higher than the percentage of both non-immigrant students (89 percent) and first-generation immigrant students (86 percent). Among the provinces, this trend was observed only in Ontario. In all other provinces, there was no significant difference in the proportion of second-generation immigrant students reaching Level 3 or above compared to both non-immigrant and first-generation immigrant students (Appendix B.1.11).

Among high achievers (students reaching Levels 5 and 6), there was a gap in Canada overall of 10 percentage points between second- and first-generation immigrant students and 8 percentage points between second-generation and non-immigrant students (Appendix B.1.11). The only province in which the proportion of second-generation students at Levels 5 and 6 was larger than that of first-generation and non-immigrant students was Ontario. In Quebec, a greater proportion of non-immigrant students than first-generation immigrant students were high achievers. No differences among immigrant groups were evident in the other provinces.

Figure 1.10

Percentage of students in Canada at or above Level 3 and at Levels 5 and 6 in creative thinking, by immigrant status



Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Achievement by language spoken at home

In Canada, 64 percent of students participating in PISA 2022 reported in the student questionnaire that they spoke English at home, 17 percent reported that they spoke French at home, and 19 percent reported that they spoke a language other than English or French at home (Elez et al., 2023, p. 43). In the creative thinking assessment, there were no significant differences in the average scores of students in Canada overall when comparing students who reported that they spoke one of the two official languages (i.e., English or French) at home and those who reported that they spoke a language other than English or French at home. However, students who spoke English at home outperformed those who spoke French at home: francophone students had an average score of 37 points, while anglophone students had an average score of 38 points (Appendix B.1.12).

When student achievement was analyzed by the language spoken in the home, no differences were found in the proportions of students in Canada overall who performed at the baseline level of proficiency (Level 3) or above or those who were high achievers (performing at Levels 5 and 6) in creative thinking. At the provincial level, students in Quebec who spoke French at home reached both Level 3 or above and Levels 5 and 6 in higher proportions than students who spoke a language other than French or English at home. The only other difference among the provinces was in Manitoba, where a greater percentage of students who spoke English at home were high achievers, compared to their peers who spoke French at home (37 percent and 23 percent, respectively) (Appendix B.1.13).

Achievement by socioeconomic status

Socioeconomic status (SES), which comprises both cultural and economic factors, has often been represented by a complex cluster of variables that include parents' occupations, parents' educational attainment, learning resources in the home, and how parents communicate the value of education to their children, among other variables (Crowe, 2013; Chevalier et al., 2013).

In PISA, SES is measured using the index of economic, social, and cultural status (ESCS). This index was constructed from the following variables, based on students' responses to the PISA 2022 student questionnaire: the highest occupational status of students' parents; the highest educational level attained by students' parents; and a number of home possessions that can be used as proxies for material wealth, including the number of books and other educational resources available in the home (OECD, 2019). It is important to underscore that "the link between socio-economic status and student achievement is neither absolute nor automatic, and should not be overstated" (OECD, 2016, p. 63).

A higher score on the ESCS index signifies higher average socioeconomic status. In PISA 2022, the ESCS index for Canada overall was 0.38, ranging from a high of 0.43 in British Columbia to a low of 0.18 in Manitoba (Elez et al., 2023, p. 37). For the purposes of reporting on student achievement in relation to the ESCS index, students in the top 25 percent (top quarter) of the index were defined as socioeconomically advantaged students, whereas those in the bottom 25 percent (bottom quarter) were defined as socioeconomically disadvantaged students (OECD, 2017).

Figure 1.11 presents the achievement gap in scores between socioeconomically advantaged and disadvantaged students among the provinces and in Canada overall, along with the OECD average. In Canada, socioeconomically advantaged students scored on average 7 points higher than students who were socioeconomically disadvantaged. This difference is lower than the OECD average: in participating OECD countries, on average, socioeconomically advantaged students scored 10 points higher than students who were socioeconomically disadvantaged. Differences were positive and statistically significant across all provinces as well as across all participating countries.



Achievement gap in creative thinking between socioeconomically advantaged and disadvantaged students

Note: Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

About 7 percent of the variation in creative thinking scores in Canada overall can be attributed to differences in socioeconomic status, compared to the OECD average of 12 percent. Provincially, the variation in overall creative thinking scores explained by socioeconomic status ranged from 5 percent in British Columbia to 8 percent in Prince Edward Island (Appendix B.1.14).

Summary

Canada performed well in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment, with 89 percent of Canadian students performing at Level 3 (the level considered by OECD to be the baseline level of proficiency) or above. Of the 64 participating countries, only 2 (Singapore and Latvia) had a greater proportion of students achieving at or above this level. In Canada, 21 percent of students reached the highest level of performance (Level 6), compared to the OECD average of 9 percent. The percentage of high achievers in creative thinking (students performing at Levels 5 and 6) was 45 percent in Canada overall, compared to the OECD average of 27 percent. Provincially, the proportion of high achievers ranged from 31 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador to 52 percent in Alberta. In terms of achievement scores, Canada ranked second among all participating countries, with an average of 38 points, tying with Korea (38) and Australia (37) and surpassed only by Singapore (41).

This chapter explored equity in Canada by looking at the difference between the mean scores of the lowest achievers (those in the 10th percentile) and the highest achievers (those in the 90th percentile). In Canada overall and on average across OECD countries, students in the highest decile scored 29 points higher than those in the lowest decile.

The correlation between performance in creative thinking and in the PISA core domains was lower in Canada overall compared to the OECD average. In other words, while performance in creative thinking was positively correlated with performance in the three core domains of mathematics, reading, and science, this correlation was not as strong in Canada as it was on average across OECD countries. Canadian students scored higher than expected in the creative thinking assessment based on their performance in mathematics, reading, and science.

This chapter explored performance in creative thinking in relation to a number of characteristics: language of the school system, gender, immigrant status, language spoken at home, and socioeconomic status.

Regarding language of the school system, there was a larger proportion of high achievers (students reaching Levels 5 and 6) and a smaller proportion of low achievers (students below Level 3) in creative thinking in anglophone school systems compared to francophone school systems in Canada overall. Students in anglophone systems also achieved a higher average score in creative thinking than students in francophone systems (38 points and 36 points, respectively).

Girls outperformed boys in creative thinking in all but 3 of the 64 participating countries, including Canada, and in all provinces except Prince Edward Island. On average in Canada, 91 percent of girls achieved Level 3 or above compared to 86 percent of boys. Girls also achieved a higher average score compared to boys in Canada overall (39 points and 37 points, respectively).

In OECD countries, on average, students with an immigrant background scored lower in creative thinking than students with a non-immigrant background, while in Canada there was no significant difference between the average scores of immigrant students (39 points) and non-immigrant students (38 points). However, in Canada overall, second-generation immigrant students achieved higher average scores than both non-immigrant and first-generation immigrant students. The proportions of second-generation immigrant students who achieved Level 3 or above and who achieved Levels 5 and 6 in creative thinking were also higher in Canada overall compared to the proportions of first-generation immigrant students reaching these

levels. On the other hand, in most provinces there was no difference in performance in relation to immigrant status.

No significant difference in scores was found in Canada overall between students who spoke one of the two official languages (i.e., English and French) at home and students who spoke another language at home. However, students who spoke English at home had higher average scores than those who spoke French at home. No significant difference was found among the language groups in the proportion of students achieving Level 3 or above in Canada.

Consistent with the results for the three core domains of PISA (mathematics, reading, and science), students from advantaged socioeconomic backgrounds (i.e., those in the top quarter of the PISA ESCS index) outperformed their disadvantaged peers (i.e., those in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index) in the creative thinking test. Differences were positive and statistically significant across all provinces as well as across all participating countries.

Chapter 2

A Profile of Students and Their Attitudes toward Creative Thinking

"Creativity is inventing, experimenting, growing, taking risks, breaking rules, making mistakes, and having fun."

– Mary Lou Cook

PISA creative thinking background questionnaires

In addition to the creative thinking cognitive test, the PISA 2022 assessment included background questionnaires to collect self-reported information from students, teachers, parents, and school principals about a range of beliefs and behaviours that researchers have identified as being important to creativity. In Canada, only the student and school principal questionnaires were administered. This chapter will focus on the findings from the student questionnaire. Exploring the responses to the student questionnaires can provide valuable insights for researchers, policy-makers, and educators about potential approaches to supporting and fostering creative thinking skills among students.

This chapter explores Canadian 15-year-old students' self-reported beliefs and attitudes regarding creativity and how these opinions relate to their achievement scores in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment. A word of caution is warranted about drawing conclusions about associations between students' attitudes and their achievement in creative thinking. It is important to note that these associations may be indirect, mediated by other important factors; or they may be spurious and reflect associations with a third, confounding, factor that influences the degree of proficiency in creative thinking and students' attitudes toward creativity.

Results are examined provincially, at the pan-Canadian level, and as averages across the 28 OECD member countries that both participated in the creative thinking student cognitive test and responded to the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire.¹¹

While the student questionnaire collected information on a range of attitudes, beliefs, and behaviours, this chapter focuses on the following five indices:

- creative self-efficacy (i.e., students' confidence levels about their ability to do tasks that involve creative thinking);
- openness to intellect (i.e., students' views on their own creativity and feelings about engaging in tasks involving creativity);
- student participation in creative activities at school;
- pedagogies encouraging creative thinking; and
- peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking.

These five indices were selected to provide an overarching summary of Canadian students' self-reported attitudes, beliefs, and behaviours regarding creative thinking and how these relate to their performance in the PISA 2022

¹¹ More OECD member countries responded to the student background questionnaire than participated in the creative thinking cognitive test (34 compared to 28, respectively). The OECD average in this report includes results from only the 28 OECD member countries that completed both components of the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment: the cognitive test and the student background questionnaire.

creative thinking assessment. Information on additional indices is explored further in the OECD international report on the PISA creative thinking assessment (OECD, 2024).

Key findings

- Canadian students had higher scores than the OECD average in all five of the indices examined in this chapter.
- In Canada, as well as across OECD countries, higher scores in the indices for creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking are positively associated with creative thinking performance, after accounting for gender and student socioeconomic profile.
- While there is variation among provinces, girls and socioeconomically advantaged students (students in the top quarter of the economic, social, and cultural status [ESCS] index) had higher scores than boys and socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index) in Canada in the indices for creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, participation in creative activities at their school, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking.
- Canadian students who reported never or almost never participating in creative activities at school had a higher average score in the creative thinking test compared to students who reported participating in creative activities at their school more often as well as those students who reported that these creative activities were not available at their school.
- More than three-quarters of Canadian students agreed or strongly agreed with statements affirming that creative thinking is fostered and supported by their peer and family environments.

Index of creative self-efficacy

"Self-efficacy" can be described as the human ability to be efficient in one's actions as a result of one's confidence (Karwowski et al., 2013). Consequently, creative self-efficacy can be defined as a person's "beliefs that they have the capacity to be creative" (Royston & Reiter-Palmon, 2019, abstract). To measure their creative self-efficacy, the PISA 2022 student questionnaire asked students to self-report on how confident they felt about having to do a range of tasks reflective of creative thinking skills, such as "coming up with creative ideas for school projects" or "inventing new things" (see Figure 2.1 for the full list of statements).

In Canada, 78 percent of students self-reported feeling confident or very confident about "being creative," compared to 72 percent across OECD countries. At the provincial level, students reporting these levels of confidence ranged from 69 percent in Prince Edward Island to 83 percent in Quebec. Overall, Canadian students also reported high confidence levels with respect to "coming up with many good ideas for helping people in need," with 74 percent feeling confident or very confident, compared to the OECD average of 70 percent. On the other hand, only 56 percent of Canadian students self-reported feeling confident or very confident about "inventing new things," compared to the OECD average of 58 percent. At the provincial level, the proportion of students feeling confident or very confident about "inventing new things" ranged from 49 percent in Prince Edward Island to 67 percent in Quebec (Appendix B.2.1a).

Percentage of Canadian students by their self-reported confidence levels about engaging in tasks related to creative thinking



Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

A positive relationship exists between students' confidence about doing most tasks related to creative thinking and their performance in the creative thinking assessment. Across OECD countries, students who reported feeling confident about doing tasks that involve creative thinking skills achieved scores from 2 to 5 points higher on the creative thinking test than students who reported feeling not at all confident about such tasks. The only exception was for "making creative drawings," where there was no difference in the scores for these two groups (Appendix B.2.1a). The same trends were also evident in Canada, with the additional exception of "inventing new things," where there was no difference in creative thinking scores between students who reported feeling confident and not at all confident (Table 2.1). At a provincial level, the same findings were observed in all provinces, with one exception: in Alberta, students who reported feeling not at all confident outperformed students who reported feeling confident about "making creative drawings" (42 points compared to 39 points, respectively) (Appendix B.2.1ae).

Table 2.1

Relationship between Canadian students' self-reported confidence levels about engaging in tasks related to	
creative thinking and creative thinking scores	

	Not at all confident	Not very confident	Confident	Very confident
Coming up with creative ideas for school projects	34*	38*	40	41
Being creative	36*	39	39	40
Telling creative stories	35*	39	39	41*
Expressing your ideas creatively	37*	38	39	40
Making creative drawings	39	39	38	40*
Thinking of many good ideas for science experiments	37*	39	39	40
Inventing new things	38	40	39	39
Thinking of many ideas for solving disagreements with people	34*	38*	39	41*
Addressing social problems like pollution	35*	39	39	40
Coming up with many good ideas for helping people in need	35*	39	39	40*

* Denotes a significant difference compared to the "Confident" category.

Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

As shown in Figure 2.2, students in Canada overall had higher levels of creative self-efficacy, as measured by the index of creative self-efficacy, than the OECD average. Quebec is the only province that had a creative self-efficacy index score higher than the Canadian average (0.32 compared to 0.15, respectively), while two provinces (New Brunswick and British Columbia) scored at the Canadian average. The remaining seven provinces had lower index scores than Canada overall (Appendix B.2.1b).

Average scores on the index of creative self-efficacy



Note : Darker shade denotes significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When creative self-efficacy index scores are explored by various sociodemographic factors, consistent trends are observed on average in Canada with regard to language of the school system, gender, immigrant status, and socioeconomic status. In five provinces (Nova Scotia, Quebec, Ontario, Saskatchewan, and Alberta) and Canada overall, students in French-language schools had higher creative self-efficacy index scores than their counterparts in English-language school systems. In every province, in Canada overall, as well as on average across OECD countries, socioeconomically advantaged students (those in the top quarter of the ESCS index) had higher levels of creative self-efficacy compared to socioeconomically disadvantaged students (those in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index). In Newfoundland and Labrador, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, British Columbia, in Canada overall, and on average across OECD countries, girls had higher levels of creative self-efficacy than immigrant students; the same trend was evident in Canada overall (Table 2.2, Appendix B.2.1b).

Table 2.2

Differences in scores on the index of creative sen-encacy, by sociodemographic characteristics					
	Anglophone - francophone students	Girls - boys	Immigrant - non-immigrant students	Top - bottom quarter of ESCS	
Newfoundland and Labrador		0.16*	0.05	0.47*	
Prince Edward Island		0.22	-0.17	0.48*	
Nova Scotia	-0.23*	0.02	0.14	0.28*	
New Brunswick	-0.11	0.08	0.05	0.49*	
Quebec	-0.29*	0.18*	-0.11*	0.30*	
Ontario	-0.15*	0.11*	0.00	0.39*	
Manitoba	-0.16	0.16*	0.08	0.40*	
Saskatchewan	-0.35*	0.23*	-0.02	0.49*	
Alberta	-0.41*	0.07	-0.06	0.36*	
British Columbia		0.18*	-0.02	0.33*	
Canada	-0.25*	0.13*	-0.04*	0.37*	
OECD average		0.05*	0.00	0.36*	

Differences in scores on the index of creative self-efficacy, by sociodemographic characteristics

-- Not available.

* Denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Figure 2.3 shows the difference in creative thinking performance between students in the top quarter (i.e., highest confidence levels) and those in the bottom quarter (i.e., lowest levels of confidence) of the creative self-efficacy index. Canadian students who reported feeling more confident with completing creative thinking tasks scored 3 points higher than students who reported low levels of confidence, which is a smaller achievement gap than the OECD average of 4 points (Appendix B.2.1c).

Difference in creative thinking performance between students in the top quarter and the bottom quarter of the index of creative self-efficacy



Note: Darker shade denotes significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Index of openness to intellect

"Openness to intellect" is the dimension of human personality that allows an individual to use reasoning while engaging with abstract information (Kaufman et al., 2016). This dimension is often associated with creativity and imagination, as individuals who display high levels of openness to intellect are more likely than those with low levels to seek, identify, understand, and use information (DeYoung et al., 2014).

In the student questionnaire, students were asked about the extent of their agreement with the statements listed in Figure 2.4 about their own views on their openness to intellect, mostly in the context of tasks involving creativity. In Canada overall, 86 percent of students agreed or strongly agreed with the statement "I enjoy learning new things," while only 51 percent agree or strongly agree with the statement "I like school work that is challenging" (Figure 2.4, Appendix B.2.2a).



Percentage of Canadian students by their agreement levels with statements regarding their openness to intellect

Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

In Canada, a positive relationship exists between high levels of students' self-reported openness to intellect and their creative thinking performance. Students who agreed with each of the ten statements regarding their openness to intellect outperformed students who strongly disagreed with the statements, with a range from 2 to 7 points, depending on the particular statement (Table 2.3, Appendix B.2.2a). For example, at the pan-Canadian level, a difference of 7 points was observed between students who agreed and those who strongly disagreed with the statement "I enjoy learning new things" (39 and 32 points, respectively). A significant difference in scores can also be seen in relation to this statement in four provinces (Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan) as well as on average across OECD countries (Appendix B.2.2aj).

Table 2.3

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	
Doing something creative satisfies me.	34*	38*	39	41*	
I am very creative.	36*	39	39	40*	
I like creating stories.	37*	38	39	41*	
I like games that challenge my creativity.	34*	37*	39	40	
I enjoy projects that require creative solutions.	35*	38	39	41*	
I enjoy thinking about new ways to solve problems.	34*	39	39	41*	
I enjoy solving complex problems.	35*	38	39	42*	
I like school work that is challenging.	36*	39	40	41	
I can suggest several solutions to problems.	34*	38*	40	41	
I enjoy learning new things	32*	37*	39	40*	

Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about their openness to intellect and creative thinking scores

* Denotes a significant difference compared to the "Agree" category.

Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

From an international perspective, students in Canada had relatively high levels of openness to intellect, with an average index score of 0.11, compared to the OECD average of 0.00 (Figure 2.5). Within Canada, there were notable variations between provinces: index scores ranged from -0.11 in Newfoundland and Labrador to 0.16 in Quebec (Appendix B.2.2b).



Note: Darker shade denotes significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When openness to intellect index scores are examined by sociodemographic characteristics, consistent trends can be observed in Canada overall and on average across OECD countries. Girls, immigrant students, and socioeconomically advantaged students (those in the top quarter of the ESCS index) had higher levels of openness to intellect than their respective counterparts on average across Canada and OECD countries (Table 2.4).

At the provincial level, there was no difference between boys and girls in index scores for students' openness to intellect. On the other hand, in all ten provinces, socioeconomically advantaged students had higher openness to intellect index values than socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index). At the pan-Canadian level, students in French-language school systems had higher levels of openness to intellect than students in English-language school systems. This finding was also observed in four provinces: Nova Scotia, Quebec, Saskatchewan, and Alberta. Immigrant students had higher levels of openness to intellect than non-immigrant students in four provinces (Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and British Columbia) (Table 2.4, Appendix B.2.2b).

Table 2.4						
Differences in scores on the index of openness to intellect, by sociodemographic characteristics						
	Anglophone - francophone students	Girls - boys	Immigrant - non- immigrant students	Top - bottom quarter of ESCS		
Newfoundland and Labrador		0.06	0.09	0.41*		
Prince Edward Island		0.23	-0.17	0.51*		
Nova Scotia	-0.22*	0.00	0.12	0.40*		
New Brunswick	0.09	-0.02	0.19	0.41*		
Quebec	-0.12*	0.05	-0.04	0.39*		
Ontario	-0.02	0.02	0.13*	0.31*		
Manitoba	-0.09	0.01	0.18*	0.21*		
Saskatchewan	-0.51*	0.05	0.12*	0.31*		
Alberta		0.06	0.07	0.47*		
British Columbia		0.07	0.17*	0.37*		
Canada	-0.06*	0.04*	0.09*	0.37*		
OECD average		0.02*	0.05*	0.36*		

-- Not available.

* Denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Figure 2.6 shows how a one-unit change in students' openness to intellect index score (i.e., a change of 1 point on the index score) is related to their creative thinking performance, after accounting for gender and student socioeconomic profile. Across Canada and OECD countries on average, a positive relationship was found between students' openness to intellect and their creative thinking performance. A one-unit increase in the index of openness to intellect was associated with a 1.1-point increase in creative thinking achievement in Canada and a 1.3-point increase in OECD countries on average. This positive relationship was found in all provinces except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Alberta, where the difference was not statistically significant. Provincially, the changes ranged from a 0.8-point increase in the creative thinking scores in New Brunswick and Quebec to a 1.8-point increase in Newfoundland and Labrador (Figure 2.6, Appendix B.2.2c).





Note : Darker shade denotes significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Index of student participation in creative activities at school

The student questionnaire asked students about their participation levels in eight different creative activities at their school, such as music classes/activities and debate clubs (see Figure 2.7). In Canada, 28 percent of students reported participating in art classes/activities at school from about once or twice a week to every day (Figure 2.7). At the provincial level, participation at that level of frequency ranged from 18 percent in Prince Edward Island to 41 percent in Nova Scotia. Students reported that a debate club (14 percent), a science club (13 percent), and computer programming classes/activities (13 percent) were not offered in their schools. Provincially, the proportion of students who reported that a debate club was not available in their school ranged from 9 percent of students in Ontario to 25 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador and Quebec (Appendix B.2.3a).





Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

What is the relationship between students' participation in creative activities at school and their creative thinking performance? Canadian students who reported never or almost never participating in creative activities at school scored higher in the creative thinking cognitive test compared to students who reported participating in these activities from about once or twice per week to every day (Table 2.5, Appendix B.2.3a). For the most part, a similar trend was observed on average across OECD countries. It is important to note that this trend does not take into consideration student characteristics and their performance in other domains; it may therefore be related to the characteristics of students who frequently participate in creative activities at school. After accounting for students' and schools' characteristics, as well as students' mathematics and reading performance, no strong association was found between participation in creative activities at school and creative thinking performance in the majority of participating countries (OECD, 2024, p. 196).

Table 2.5

	Never or almost never	From about once or twice a year to about once or twice a month	From about once or twice a week to every day	Activity not available		
Art classes/activities (e.g., painting, drawing)	40	38*	39*	35*		
Creative writing classes/activities	39	39	38*	39		
Music classes/activities (e.g., chorus, band)	40	37*	39*	36*		
Debate club	40	37*	35*	39		
Dramatics, theatre class/activities	40	38*	38*	36*		
Publications (e.g., newspaper, yearbooks, literary magazine)	40	38*	35*	38*		
Science club	40	37*	36*	39		
Computer programming classes/activities	39	38*	38*	35*		

Relationship between Canadian students' participation in creative activities in their school and creative thinking scores

* Denotes significant difference compared to the average score in the "Never or almost never" category.

Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

As shown in Figure 2.8, Canadian students had higher scores than the OECD average on the index of student participation in creative activities at school (0.02 and -0.02, respectively). Results varied among the provinces, with Nova Scotia (0.14) and Manitoba (0.12) reporting higher levels of student participation in creative activities at their school than the Canadian average. Students in two provinces, Prince Edward Island (-0.27) and New Brunswick (-0.05), reported lower levels of student participation in such activities compared to the Canadian average (Figure 2.8, Appendix B.2.3b).



Average scores on the index of student participation in creative activities at school

Note: Darker shade denotes significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When index scores for students' participation in creative activities at school are examined with regard to sociodemographic characteristics, results for Canada and OECD were similar for only one variable: immigrant students had higher index scores than non-immigrant students (0.08 in Canada and 0.15 on average across OECD countries). This finding was also observed in three provinces, New Brunswick (0.35), Ontario (0.12), and British Columbia (0.12). While girls and socioeconomically advantaged students (students in the top quarter of the ESCS index) had higher index scores for participation in creative activities at school than boys and socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index) in Canada, the opposite was true across OECD countries on average (Table 2.6, Appendix B.2.3b).

At the provincial level, girls had higher index scores than boys for participation in creative activities at school in Prince Edward Island (0.35), Saskatchewan (0.12), Alberta (0.15), and British Columbia (0.16). In five provinces (Prince Edward Island, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and British Columbia), socioeconomically advantaged students had higher index scores for participation in creative activities at school than socioeconomically disadvantaged students. The opposite was true in Newfoundland and Labrador, where socioeconomically disadvantaged students had higher index scores for participation in these activities than socioeconomically advantaged students (Table 2.6, Appendix B.2.3b).

In Canada overall, no difference was found between anglophone and francophone students in index scores for student participation in creative activities at school. However, in two provinces (New Brunswick and Quebec), students in English-language school systems had higher scores on this index than students in French-language school systems, while the opposite was true in Alberta (Table 2.6, Appendix B.2.3b).

Table 2.6

by sociodemographic characteristics						
	Anglophone - francophone students	Girls - boys	Immigrant - non- immigrant students	Top - bottom quarter of ESCS		
Newfoundland and Labrador		0.04	0.11	-0.44*		
Prince Edward Island		0.35*	0.20	0.33*		
Nova Scotia	0.17	0.04	0.11	0.12		
New Brunswick	0.32*	0.09	0.35*	-0.16		
Quebec	0.20*	-0.02	0.01	-0.05		
Ontario	-0.04	0.03	0.12*	0.18*		
Manitoba	-0.12	0.07	0.06	0.13*		
Saskatchewan	-0.16	0.12*	0.11	0.16*		
Alberta	-0.25*	0.15*	0.06	0.08		
British Columbia		0.16*	0.12*	0.15*		
Canada	0.05	0.06*	0.08*	0.10*		
OECD average		-0.07*	0.15*	-0.03*		

Differences in scores on the index of student participation in creative activities at school, by sociodemographic characteristics

-- Not available.

* Denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

The association between student participation in creative activities at school and creative thinking performance is presented in Figure 2.9. After accounting for gender and student socioeconomic profile, a one-unit change in the index of student participation in creative activities at school was related to a negative change in their creative thinking performance, on average across OECD countries (-1.2) and in Canada overall (-0.7). At the provincial level, a negative association was observed in two provinces, Ontario (-0.6) and Alberta (-1.3), while in the remaining provinces the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 2.9, Appendix B.2.3c).





Note: Darker shade denotes a significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking

As education systems around the world prepare young generations for a future filled with unprecedented changes in technological, environmental, social, and economic conditions, it is important to explore how soft skills, such as creative thinking, are being supported in classrooms and schools. After all, there is ample evidence that children's pedagogical environment as well as their relationships with teachers can have an impactful role in promoting creativity (Davies et al., 2013).

The index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking is based on students' levels of agreement with the six statements about how their creative thinking is supported in their school and classroom (see Figure 2.10). In Canada, approximately three-quarters of students agreed or strongly agreed with the following statements: "My teachers encourage me to come up with original answers"; "At school, I am given a chance to express my ideas"; and "My teachers value students' creativity." In comparison, the OECD averages were 64 percent, 70 percent, and 71 percent, respectively. At the provincial level, the proportion of students who agreed or strongly agreed with these statements varied. For example, the proportion who agreed or strongly agreed that "My teachers encourage me to come up with original answers" ranged from 62 percent in Quebec to 80 percent in Alberta and Saskatchewan (Appendix B.2.4a).

At the same time, at the pan-Canadian level, close to one-third of Canadian students disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statements "My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions

for a problem" and "The activities we do in my classes help me think about new ways to solve problems" (Figure 2.10). At the provincial level, the proportion of students who disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statement "My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions for a problem" ranged from 28 percent in Saskatchewan to 40 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island (Appendix B.2.4ad).



Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Figure 2.11 presents students' levels of agreement with the statement "My teachers value students' creativity" at the provincial, pan-Canadian, and OECD levels. In Canada, 75 percent of students agreed or strongly agreed with this statement, compared to the OECD average of 71 percent. At the provincial level, agreement or strong agreement with this statement ranged from 63 percent in Quebec to 83 percent in Saskatchewan (Appendix B.2.4a).



Percentage of students by agreement with the statement "My teachers value students' creativity"

‡ Denotes fewer than 30 observations.

Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

At the pan-Canadian level, students who agreed with the statements about how creativity was supported in their class and school environments performed better in the creative thinking assessment than those who strongly disagreed with these statements, with one exception: there was no difference in the scores of these two groups in relation to the statement "My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions for a problem" (Table 2.7). The only province where there was a difference in creative thinking scores between students who agreed and those who strongly disagreed with this statement on mathematics assignments was Ontario, where there was a difference of 3 score points favouring students who agreed with this statement (Appendix B.2.4a).

Table 2.7

Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about how creative thinking is fostered and supported in their school and class environments and creative thinking scores

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
My teachers give me enough time to come up with creative solutions on assignments.	36*	39	39	39
My teachers value students' creativity.	36*	39	39	40
The activities we do in my classes help me think about new ways to solve problems.	36*	39	39	39
My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions for a problem.	38	40	39	39
My teachers encourage me to come up with original answers.	36*	39	39	40
At school, I am given a chance to express my ideas.	36*	38*	39	40

* Denotes a significant difference compared to the "Agree" category.

Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

At the pan-Canadian level, students had higher scores on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking compared to the OECD average (0.23 and 0.01, respectively). Three provinces had higher index scores than the Canada average: Ontario (0.28), Manitoba (0.31), and Saskatchewan (0.31). Three provinces had index scores at the Canadian average: New Brunswick (0.17), Alberta (0.29), and British Colombia (0.24). The remaining provinces had index scores lower than the Canada average (Figure 2.12, Appendix B.2.4b).



Note: Darker shade denotes a significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When results are explored in relation to sociodemographic characteristics, trends in Canada overall and OECD are similar to each other. In Canada overall and on average across OECD countries, boys, immigrant students, and socioeconomically advantaged students (students in the top quarter of the ESCS index) had higher scores on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking than did girls, non-immigrant students, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index) (Table 2.8, Appendix B.2.4b).

With respect to the provinces, there was no difference between boys and girls in scores on this index in any province except Ontario. Immigrant students outperformed non-immigrant students on this index in six provinces: New Brunswick, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia. Prince Edward Island and Quebec are the only two provinces where socioeconomically advantaged students had higher scores on this index than did socioeconomically disadvantaged students (Appendix B.2.4b).

At the pan-Canadian level, students in English-language school systems had higher scores than their peers in French-language systems on this index (Table 2.8). Alberta is the only province where students in French-language schools had higher scores on this index than those of students in English-language schools (Appendix B.2.4b).

Table 2.8					
Differences in scores on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, by sociodemographic characteristics					
	Anglophone - francophone students	Girls - boys	Immigrant - non- immigrant students	Top - bottom quarter of ESCS	
Newfoundland and Labrador		-0.01	0.10	0.05	
Prince Edward Island		0.14	0.33	0.53*	
Nova Scotia	0.01	-0.05	0.27	0.10	
New Brunswick	-0.10	-0.11	0.29*	0.06	
Quebec	0.00	-0.05	-0.03	0.18*	
Ontario	0.00	-0.09*	0.12*	0.05	
Manitoba	-0.03	-0.05	0.31*	-0.03	
Saskatchewan	-0.06	0.10	0.22*	0.10	
Alberta	-0.23*	-0.04	0.21*	0.16	
British Columbia		-0.08	0.12*	0.14	
Canada	0.15*	-0.06*	0.14*	0.11*	
OECD average		-0.06*	0.04*	0.02*	

-- Not available.

* Denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking

In the background questionnaire, students were asked about their level of agreement with six statements about the degree to which creative thinking was fostered and supported by their peer and family environment (see Figure 2.13). In Canada, 88 percent of students agreed or strongly agreed with the statements "My family encourages me to try new things"; "My friends and I give one another feedback about our ideas"; and "My friends are open to new ideas" (Figure 2.13). The corresponding OECD averages were 83 percent, 85 percent, and 85 percent, respectively (Appendix B.2.5a). At the provincial level, the proportion of students who agreed or strongly agreed with the statement "My family encourages me to try new things" ranged from 86 percent in Saskatchewan and Quebec to 89 percent in four other provinces (Nova Scotia, Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia) (Appendix B.2.5ad).



Note: Percentages may not add up at 100 due to rounding. Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Table 2.9 presents the relationship between students' self-reported feelings about how their creativity is supported by their peers and family and their creative thinking performance. In Canada as well as on average across OECD countries, students who agreed with each of the six statements had higher scores on the creative thinking cognitive test than students who strongly disagreed with these statements. The differences in scores for Canada overall range from 2 points to 7 points, depending on the particular statement. At the pan-Canadian level, students who agreed with the statement "Discussions I have at home help me come up with new ideas" scored on average 2 points higher than students who strongly disagreed with this statement, although, at the provincial level, this trend is found only in British Columbia. In Canada overall, students who agreed with the statement "My friends are open to new ideas" scored on average 7 points higher than students, and overally disagreed with this statement. This trend is evident in all provinces except Newfoundland and Labrador,

Prince Edward Island, and Nova Scotia, with a difference in scores as high as 10 points, in Alberta (Table 2.9, Appendix B.2.5a).

Table 2.9

Relationship between Canadian students' agreement with statements about how creativity is supported by their peers and family and creative thinking scores

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
My friends are open to new ideas.	32*	38*	39	40*
My friends and I give one another feedback about our ideas.	33*	37*	39	40*
My friends and I encourage each other to come up with new ideas.	34*	38	39	40*
My family encourages me to try new things.	34*	37*	39	40*
At home, I am encouraged to use my imagination.	36*	39	39	40*
Discussions I have at home help me come up with new ideas.	37*	39	39	40*

* Denotes a significant difference compared to the "Agree" category.

Note: Results for Canada should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Canada had a higher score than the OECD average on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking (0.15 and 0.01, respectively). There was variation in scores among the provinces: the index score ranged from 0.01 in in Newfoundland and Labrador to 0.23 in Quebec. Quebec was the only province that had an index score above the Canadian average. Four provinces had index scores at the Canadian average: Prince Edward Island (0.10), New Brunswick (0.19), Ontario (0.17), and Alberta (0.13). The remaining five provinces had lower index scores than the Canadian average) (Figure 2.14, Appendix B.2.5b).



Average scores on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking

Note: Darker shade denotes a significant difference compared to Canada. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

When results for the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking are examined by sociodemographic characteristics, some trends can be observed. Students in French-language schools had higher scores on this index than students in English-language schools in four provinces (Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Quebec, and Alberta) and Canada overall. Girls and socioeconomically advantaged students (students in the top quarter of the ECSC index) scored higher than boys and socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index) in all provinces, in Canada overall, and in OECD countries on average. While there was no difference in average scores between immigrant and non-immigrant students in Canada overall, immigrant students had higher scores in three provinces: Nova Scotia (0.33), Manitoba (0.13), and Saskatchewan (0.16) (Table 2.10, Appendix B.2.5b).

Table 2.10

	Anglophone - francophone students	Girls - boys	Immigrant - non- immigrant students	Top - bottom quarter of ESCS
Newfoundland and Labrador		0.36*	-0.01	0.54*
Prince Edward Island		0.45*	-0.07	0.49*
Nova Scotia	-0.24*	0.20*	0.33*	0.45*
New Brunswick	-0.14*	0.21*	0.14	0.50*
Quebec	-0.13*	0.30*	-0.07	0.37*
Ontario	-0.04	0.18*	0.06	0.30*
Manitoba	-0.09	0.18*	0.13*	0.46*
Saskatchewan	-0.15	0.11*	0.16*	0.30*
Alberta	-0.20*	0.13*	0.04	0.31*
British Columbia		0.18*	0.05	0.26*
Canada	-0.12*	0.20*	0.04	0.34*
OECD average		0.19*	-0.08*	0.35*

Differences in scores on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking, by sociodemographic characteristics

-- Not available.

* Denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, only results for English-language schools are available for these provinces. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Figure 2.15 presents the relationship between this index and creative thinking assessment scores, after accounting for gender and student socioeconomic profile. A one-unit change in the index score is linked to a 0.8-point increase in students' creative thinking performance in Canada and a 0.7-point increase in OECD countries on average. Variation is evident across provinces, with Newfoundland and Labrador and Alberta presenting the strongest relationship (1.2 and 1.3 points, respectively) (Figure 2.15, Appendix B.2.5c).





Note: Darker shade denotes a significant difference within a province, Canada, or OECD. Results for Canada, most Canadian provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), and certain countries should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Summary

This chapter has examined the results of the student questionnaire component of the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment, which collected information on a range of student attitudes, beliefs, and behaviours. The results were analyzed across five indices: creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, student participation in creative activities at school, pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking. Each of these indices was analyzed in relation to students' performance in the creative thinking cognitive test as well as to sociodemographic characteristics such as gender, language of school system, immigrant identity, and socioeconomic status as measured by the ESCS index.

Overall, Canadian students had higher levels of creative self-efficacy compared to the OECD average. Students in French-language schools, girls, non-immigrant students, and socioeconomically advantaged students (students in the top quarter of the ESCS index) had higher levels of creative self-efficacy in comparison to their respective counterparts.

Results demonstrated a generally positive relationship between higher levels of creative self-efficacy and students' performance in the creative thinking cognitive test. For example, in Canada overall, students who reported feeling confident about "coming up with creative ideas for school projects" scored 6 points higher than their peers who reported feeling not at all confident about this task.

On average, Canadian students also had a higher level of openness to intellect compared to the OECD average, though there is variation in index scores among the provinces. Canadian students in French-language school
systems, girls, and socioeconomically advantaged students had higher levels of openness to intellect than their respective counterparts. Immigrant students had higher levels of openness to intellect than non-immigrant students in Canada overall, as well as on average across OECD countries.

There is a generally positive relationship between students' openness to intellect and their creative thinking performance. Students who agreed with the statements reflecting an openness to intellect scored higher than students who strongly disagreed with the statements, with scores ranging from 2 to 7 points higher, depending on the statement.

With respect to Canadian students' participation in creative activities at school, levels were highest for art classes/activities, with 28 percent of students in Canada overall participating in art activities from about once or twice a week to every day. Provincially, participation in art classes/activities at this rate ranged from 18 percent in Prince Edward Island to 41 percent in Nova Scotia. In Canada overall, girls, immigrant students, and socioeconomically advantaged students had higher scores in the index of participation in creative activities at school than boys, non-immigrant students, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students (students in the bottom quarter of the ESCS index).

Across Canada as well as on average across the participating OECD countries, students who reported never or almost never participating in creative activities at their school scored higher on the creative thinking cognitive test compared to students who reported participating in creative activities at their school from about once or twice per week to every day. However, after accounting for students' and schools' characteristics, as well as students' mathematics and reading performance, no strong association was found between participation in creative activities at school and creative thinking performance.

On average, at least two-thirds of Canadian students agreed or strongly agreed that creativity was supported and fostered in their school and class environments. The scores for the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking were higher in Canada overall compared to the OECD average. Students in English-language school systems, boys, immigrant students, and socioeconomically advantaged students had higher scores for this index than their counterparts on average across Canada.

Students in Canada who agreed with statements indicating that creativity was supported and fostered in their school and class environments generally had higher scores in the creative thinking assessment than those who strongly disagreed with such statements.

In Canada, three-quarters or more of students agreed or strongly agreed with statements affirming that they received support from their peers and family to engage in creative thinking, a proportion that was higher than the OECD average. Quebec was the only province to have a score on the index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking higher than the Canadian average, while four provinces had lower index scores than the Canadian average. At the pan-Canadian level, students in French-language schools, girls, and socioeconomically advantaged students had higher scores on this index than students in English-language school systems, boys, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students.

Canadian students who agreed with statements about peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking scored higher in the creative thinking cognitive test than their peers who disagreed with these statements.

Conclusion

"The best way to get people to think outside the box is not to create the box in the first place."

- Martin Cooper

The PISA inaugural assessment of students' skills, attitudes, and behaviours related to creative thinking has provided valuable insights at the international, country, and provincial levels. This report examined students' performance on the cognitive portion of the PISA 2022 assessment of creative thinking and has analyzed these results in relation to students' self-reported skills and attitudes, as well as the environments in which they live and learn, as reported in the student questionnaire. Chapter 1 examined students' creative thinking performance, with reference to proficiency levels, achievement scores, and a range of student characteristics. Chapter 2 analyzed these scores in relation to five indices (creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, participation in creative activities in school, pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking) in addition to selected sociodemographic characteristics.

Beyond Canada

On an international scale, Canada performed well in the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment. Canadian students achieved an average score of 38 points in creative thinking, and were outperformed only by students in Singapore. Overall, 89 percent of Canadian students performed at Level 3 (the baseline level of proficiency for creative thinking) or above, which is higher than the OECD average of 78 percent. Only two countries, Singapore and Latvia, had a higher proportion of students performing at Level 3 or above compared to Canada. With respect to the proportion of students performing at the highest proficiency levels (Levels 5 and 6), Canada ranked second among all countries, surpassed only by Singapore.

In each of the five indices derived from the student questionnaire that are analyzed in this report, Canadian students consistently had higher index scores than the OECD average. Compared to their international peers, students in Canada had higher scores on the indices of creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, participation in creative activities at school, pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking.

In Canada overall, socioeconomically advantaged students had higher scores on all five indices compared to their socioeconomically disadvantaged counterparts. Students in French-language schools had higher scores than their anglophone peers on three indices (creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking), while students in English-language schools had higher scores than their francophone counterparts on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking. Girls had higher scores than boys on four indices (creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, participation in creative activities at school, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking), while boys scored higher than girls on the index of pedagogies encouraging creative tas school, and pedagogies encouraging creative thinking. Finally, immigrant students had higher scores than non-immigrant students on three indices (openness to intellect, participation in creative activities at school, and pedagogies encouraging creative thinking), while non-immigrant students had higher scores than immigrant students on the index of creative self-efficacy. These trends tended to be consistent with those reflected in the respective OECD averages for these indices, with a few exceptions.

Within Canada

As a complement to the PISA 2022 international report on creative thinking, this report focused primarily on Canadian students' skills, attitudes, and behaviours related to creativity. Overall, findings from the creative thinking assessment demonstrate high levels of achievement among Canadian students. In the cognitive test, students in seven provinces achieved average scores above the OECD average, while students in the remaining three provinces achieved average scores that were at the OECD average. With regard to proficiency levels, at least 45 percent of students in Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia were high performers (Levels 5 and 6). The proportion of high achievers ranged from 31 percent in Newfoundland and Labrador to 52 percent in Alberta, compared to the OECD average of 27 percent.

In Canada, creative thinking performance was positively related to the core PISA domains of mathematics, reading, and science, but the correlation was not as strong as that among the three core domains. While this finding suggests that a high creative thinking score could be associated with a strong performance in the core domains, it also indicates the success of this assessment in capturing a distinct set of skills unique to creativity.

Exploring the PISA 2022 creative thinking results by sociodemographic characteristics provides insight into several trends. On average, Canadian students in English-language schools, students who spoke English at home, girls, second-generation immigrants, and socioeconomically advantaged students achieved higher levels of proficiency in creative thinking than their respective counterparts. However, some variations in these trends were found in the provinces.

A positive relationship was observed between high scores on four of the five indices (creative self-efficacy, openness to intellect, pedagogies encouraging creative thinking, and peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking) and students' performance in creative thinking. Index scores were also analyzed in relation to four sociodemographic characteristics: language of the school system, gender, immigrant status, and socioeconomic status. In Canada overall, socioeconomically advantaged students consistently had higher scores for each of the five indices, while there was variation at the pan-Canadian level as well as between provinces with regard to immigrant status, language of school system, and gender. Overall, most Canadian students reported feeling confident in their ability to do creative thinking tasks. Moreover, the majority of students in Canada agreed that creativity was supported and fostered in their school, class, peer, and family environments.

The results of the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment demonstrate the success of education systems across Canada, but they also offer important insights about areas for growth. Looking ahead to a future that is becoming increasingly difficult to predict, it is absolutely essential to think about the skills that young people will need to be successful. While the core domains of mathematics, reading, and science continue to be foundational to a quality education, there is growing recognition that global competencies such as creativity can "equip learners with the ability to meet the shifting and ongoing demands of life, work and learning; to be active and responsive in their communities; to understand diverse perspectives; and to act on issues of global significance" (CMEC, 2018, p. 3). As technology, automation, and artificial intelligence continue to rapidly advance and revolutionize our vision of the future, education systems must step up to the challenge of fostering the infinite capacity of our future generations to imagine, create, and think outside the box.

References

- Chevalier, A., Harmon, C., O'Sullivan, V., & Walker, I. (2013). The impact of parental income and education on the schooling of their children. *IZA Journal of Labor Economics*, *2*(8), 1–22. http://doi.org/10.1186/2193-8997-2-8
- Council of Ministers of Education, Canada (CMEC). (2018). *Pan-Canadian global competencies: Backgrounder*. Author. https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5af1e87f5cfd79c163407ead/t/5c6597f35345 0a15233b6e7c/1550161912721/Pan-Canadian+Global+Competencies+Backgrounder_EN.pdf
- Crowe, C. C. (2013). A longitudinal investigation of parent educational involvement and student achievement: Disentangling parent socialization and child evocative effects across development. *Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies*, *13*(2), 1–33.
- Davies, D., Jindal-Snape, D., Collier, C., & Digby, R. (2013). Creative learning environments in education: A systematic literature review. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 8, 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tsc.2012.07.004
- DeYoung, C. G., Quilty, L. C., Peterson, J. B., & Gray, J. R. (2014). Openness to experience, intellect, and cognitive ability. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, *96*(1), 46–52. https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.torontomu.ca /10.1080/00223891.2013.806327
- Elez, V., Imbeau, E., Tao, Y., Paquet, V., Kotasinska, A., Rostamian, A., Subtil-Smith, L., Cardoso, M., Scerbina, T., & Khan, G. (2023). *Measuring up: Canadian results of the OECD PISA 2022 study — The performance of Canadian 15-year-olds in mathematics, reading, and science.* Council of Ministers of Education, Canada. https://www.cmec.ca/Publications/Lists/Publications/Attachments/438/PISA-2022_ Canadian_Report_EN.pdf
- Karwowski, M., Lebuda, I., Wisniewska, E., & Gralewski, J. (2013). Big five personality traits as the predictors of creative self-efficacy and creative personal identity: Does gender matter? *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 47: 215–232. https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.torontomu.ca/10.1002/jocb.32
- Kaufman, J. C., & Beghetto, R. A. (2009). Beyond big and little: The four C model of creativity. *Review of General Psychology*, *13*(1), 1–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0013688
- Kaufman, S. B., Quilty, L. C., Grazioplene, R. G., & Hirsh, J. B. Gray, J. R., Peterson, J. B., &DeYoung, C. G. (2016). Openness to experience and intellect differentially predict creative achievement in the arts and sciences. *Journal of Personality*, 84(2), 248–258. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12156
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2016). *Low-performing students: Why they fall behind and how to help them succeed*. OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi. org/10.1787/9789264250246-en
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2017). PISA 2015 results (Volume III): Students' well-being. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/pisa-2015-results-volumeiii_9789264273856-en

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2019). *PISA 2018 results* (Volume II): Where all students can succeed. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/b5fd1b8f-en
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2022). *Thinking outside the box: The PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment*. OECD Publishing. https://issuu.com/oecd.publishing/docs/ thinking-outside-the-box
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023a). *PISA 2022 assessment and analytical framework*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/dfe0bf9c-en
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023b). *PISA 2022 results (Volume I): The state of learning and equity in education*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023c). *PISA 2022 technical report*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/pisa/data/pisa2022technicalreport/
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023d). *PISA 2029: Preliminary technical information for potential bidders for PISA 2029.* OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/ callsfortenders/PISA%202029%20-%20Preliminary%20technical%20%20information%20for%20 potential%20bidders.pdf
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *PISA 2022 results (Volume III): Creative minds, creative schools.* OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/pisa-2022-results-volume-iii_765ee8c2-en
- Royston, R., & Reiter-Palmon, R. (2019). Creative self-efficacy as mediator between creative mindsets and creative problem-solving. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 53: 472–481. https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib. torontomu.ca/10.1002/jocb.226
- Statistics Canada. (2022). The proportion of the population whose first official language spoken is French is the highest in Quebec, followed by New Brunswick and Yukon. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/ dailyquotidien/220817/mc-a001-eng.htm
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *Future of Jobs report 2023: Insight report.* Author. https://www3.weforum. org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2023.pdf



Samples of the international source versions of the cognitive units and items from the PISA 2022 creative thinking assessment are available at the following link: https://www.oecd.org/en/about/programmes/pisa/pisa-test.html#creative.

The adapted English- and French-language Canadian versions are available at the following link: https://www.oecd.org/en/about/programmes/pisa/2022-creative-thinking-test-questions.html.

Appendix B PISA 2022 Data Tables

Results for Canada and most provinces (except Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and Saskatchewan), as well as for certain other countries, should be treated with caution because one or more PISA technical standards were not met (see Appendix A of Elez et al. [2023] and the Reader's Guide section of OECD [2023b] for further details).

Table B.1.1a

	P	Percer	tage of st	uden	ts at eac	h prof	iciency le	evel: C	REATIVE	THIN	KING			
	Proficiency levels													
Country, province, or OECD average	Belo Leve	ow el 1	Leve	el 1	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 5	Lev	el 6
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE
Singapore	U‡	(0.0)	1.2	(0.2)	4.5	(0.3)	12.6	(0.7)	24.0	(0.6)	30.0	(0.8)	27.8	(0.7)
Latvia	U‡	(0.0)	0.9	(0.2)	7.5	(0.6)	26.4	(1.0)	38.8	(1.1)	21.6	(1.2)	4.8	(0.8)
Ontario	U‡	(0.0)	1.8	(0.3)	7.1	(0.7)	16.9	(1.0)	25.0	(1.2)	25.0	(1.0)	24.2	(1.3)
Korea	U‡	(0.2)	2.2	(0.3)	7.3	(0.7)	16.8	(1.0)	27.4	(1.4)	27.6	(1.2)	18.3	(1.3)
Alberta	U‡	(0.1)	2.4	(0.8)	7.7	(1.4)	15.4	(1.7)	22.7	(1.9)	23.4	(1.7)	28.4	(2.6)
Denmark	U‡	(0.0)	1.8	(0.3)	8.4	(0.6)	24.1	(1.0)	34.3	(1.2)	23.5	(0.9)	7.8	(0.7)
Estonia	U‡	(0.0)	2.0	(0.3)	9.0	(0.8)	23.1	(0.8)	31.6	(0.9)	23.9	(1.0)	10.4	(0.7)
Canada	U‡	(0.0)	2.6	(0.2)	8.5	(0.5)	18.5	(0.6)	25.5	(0.7)	23.4	(0.6)	21.4	(0.7)
British Columbia	U‡	(0.1)	2.9	(0.7)	8.6	(1.1)	17.7	(1.3)	25.5	(1.5)	23.5	(1.4)	21.7	(2.2)
Australia	U‡	(0.0)	2.7	(0.3)	9.1	(0.6)	19.3	(0.5)	26.1	(0.7)	23.6	(0.8)	19.0	(0.8)
Manitoba	U‡	(0.1)	2.9	(0.6)	10.0	(1.0)	23.1	(1.6)	28.5	(1.6)	21.7	(1.5)	13.7	(1.8)
Quebec	U‡	(0.1)	3.6	(0.6)	9.4	(1.0)	20.4	(1.4)	27.1	(1.2)	22.3	(1.4)	17.0	(1.7)
New Zealand	U‡	(0.1)	2.7	(0.3)	10.6	(0.6)	21.0	(0.8)	26.1	(1.0)	23.3	(0.8)	16.3	(0.9)
Nova Scotia	U‡	(0.1)	U	(0.9)	11.0	(1.6)	24.2	(2.1)	26.5	(1.9)	21.2	(2.4)	14.6	(2.2)
Prince Edward Island	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(1.9)	11.4	(2.8)	22.6	(3.4)	28.7	(4.4)	21.4	(3.8)	U	(6.0)
Belgium	U‡	(0.1)	3.3	(0.4)	11.4	(0.6)	22.8	(0.7)	29.6	(0.9)	22.6	(0.8)	10.1	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	U‡	(0.1)	2.9	(0.7)	12.0	(1.1)	23.5	(1.8)	27.8	(1.5)	20.8	(1.8)	13.0	(1.5)
Finland	0.3	(0.1)	4.9	(0.4)	11.5	(0.6)	19.8	(0.7)	24.6	(0.9)	21.4	(0.8)	17.6	(0.9)
Portugal	U‡	(0.1)	4.5	(0.6)	12.3	(0.6)	24.5	(0.8)	29.1	(1.0)	20.6	(0.8)	8.8	(0.6)
New Brunswick	U‡	(0.1)	4.0	(0.9)	13.2	(2.0)	23.4	(2.0)	26.8	(2.1)	19.6	(1.8)	12.8	(2.7)
Poland	U‡	(0.1)	4.3	(0.5)	13.0	(0.9)	22.6	(0.9)	27.1	(0.9)	22.2	(0.9)	10.7	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	U‡	(0.1)	3.9	(1.2)	14.0	(2.0)	24.7	(3.1)	26.8	(2.3)	18.8	(2.2)	11.8	(3.3)
Spain	U‡	(0.1)	5.1	(0.4)	14.8	(0.5)	26.6	(0.6)	28.0	(0.7)	17.5	(0.4)	7.8	(0.4)
Lithuania	U‡	(0.1)	5.1	(0.5)	15.3	(0.9)	25.8	(0.8)	27.4	(0.9)	18.3	(0.6)	8.0	(0.6)
Czech Republic	U‡	(0.1)	5.5	(0.6)	14.8	(0.7)	25.9	(0.8)	28.2	(0.9)	18.3	(0.7)	7.1	(0.6)
France	U‡	(0.1)	6.1	(0.5)	15.7	(0.8)	24.9	(0.9)	27.6	(0.9)	18.4	(0.9)	7.2	(0.6)
Chinese Taipei	U‡	(0.1)	7.0	(0.6)	14.9	(0.8)	23.8	(0.9)	26.7	(1.1)	18.3	(0.8)	8.9	(0.9)
Germany	U‡	(0.1)	6.6	(0.7)	15.6	(0.9)	24.5	(1.1)	26.4	(1.0)	17.8	(1.1)	8.8	(0.7)
Hong Kong (China)	U‡	(0.1)	6.6	(0.6)	15.9	(0.8)	27.9	(1.0)	27.7	(1.0)	15.7	(0.8)	6.0	(0.9)
Macao (China)	U‡	(0.1)	7.1	(0.6)	15.7	(0.7)	26.4	(1.0)	28.1	(1.0)	16.7	(0.7)	5.7	(0.5)
Italy	U‡	(0.1)	7.2	(0.6)	16.5	(0.8)	26.9	(0.8)	27.2	(0.9)	16.0	(0.8)	5.9	(0.5)
Netherlands	U‡	(0.1)	7.8	(0.9)	16.1	(1.0)	22.6	(1.2)	25.5	(1.1)	19.3	(1.0)	8.5	(0.7)
Israel	2.4	(0.4)	9.4	(0.7)	13.1	(0.8)	20.7	(0.7)	24.0	(0.8)	19.5	(0.9)	10.8	(0.8)

Table B.1.1a(cont'd)

	P	Percer	ntage of s	tuden	ts at eac	h prof	iciency le	evel: C	REATIVE	THIN	KING			
							Proficien	cy leve	ls					
Country, province, or OECD average	Belo Leve	ow el 1	Lev	el 1	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 5	Lev	el 6
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE
Croatia	U‡	(0.1)	7.3	(0.7)	18.6	(0.8)	28.6	(1.0)	26.8	(1.0)	14.5	(0.7)	4.0	(0.5)
Chile	U‡	(0.1)	7.5	(0.6)	18.7	(0.8)	28.6	(0.9)	25.1	(0.9)	14.0	(0.7)	6.0	(0.6)
Hungary	0.7‡	(0.2)	9.1	(0.7)	16.6	(0.9)	25.0	(0.8)	26.3	(0.8)	16.3	(0.8)	6.0	(0.6)
Slovenia	U‡	(0.1)	7.4	(0.6)	18.8	(0.7)	30.3	(0.9)	26.8	(1.1)	12.6	(0.8)	3.7	(0.4)
Malta	0.6‡	(0.2)	10.1	(0.7)	16.0	(1.0)	23.3	(1.0)	25.1	(0.9)	17.1	(1.0)	7.8	(0.6)
Iceland	0.6‡	(0.2)	9.0	(0.6)	18.7	(0.8)	26.8	(1.1)	23.5	(1.0)	14.6	(0.7)	6.8	(0.6)
Mexico	U‡	(0.1)	7.8	(0.7)	21.9	(1.1)	31.6	(1.1)	24.6	(1.0)	10.9	(0.7)	3.0	(0.4)
Slovak Republic	2.3	(0.4)	13.6	(1.0)	17.4	(0.8)	23.2	(1.0)	22.6	(0.8)	14.2	(0.8)	6.8	(0.6)
Uruguay	U‡	(0.1)	10.7	(0.8)	22.5	(1.0)	28.4	(0.9)	23.1	(0.8)	11.5	(0.7)	3.6	(0.5)
Serbia	0.6	(0.2)	12.4	(0.8)	21.7	(0.8)	26.2	(1.0)	21.6	(0.9)	12.0	(0.7)	5.4	(0.6)
Costa Rica	U‡	(0.1)	11.2	(0.8)	24.4	(1.1)	30.6	(1.0)	22.8	(1.0)	8.8	(0.6)	2.0	(0.3)
Greece	0.5‡	(0.2)	12.8	(1.0)	22.9	(0.8)	31.3	(1.1)	23.0	(0.9)	8.1	(0.6)	1.4	(0.2)
United Arab Emirates	5.0	(0.3)	17.6	(0.4)	16.5	(0.4)	18.6	(0.4)	18.0	(0.5)	13.3	(0.4)	11.0	(0.4)
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	1.0	(0.3)	16.8	(1.8)	21.8	(1.2)	26.2	(1.1)	20.5	(1.4)	10.2	(1.0)	3.4	(0.5)
Qatar	2.1	(0.3)	18.5	(0.7)	20.2	(0.9)	21.2	(0.8)	18.2	(1.0)	11.7	(0.6)	8.0	(0.5)
Romania	3.4	(0.4)	17.5	(1.1)	21.3	(0.9)	24.5	(1.0)	19.1	(0.9)	10.4	(0.8)	3.8	(0.5)
Colombia	1.8	(0.4)	19.0	(1.2)	24.5	(0.9)	25.0	(0.9)	17.8	(0.9)	8.6	(0.6)	3.4	(0.5)
Mongolia	1.7	(0.3)	16.3	(0.8)	27.6	(1.1)	29.1	(0.9)	17.6	(0.7)	6.3	(0.6)	1.4	(0.3)
Malaysia	3.7	(0.4)	19.8	(1.0)	22.1	(0.8)	24.5	(0.8)	18.2	(0.8)	8.7	(0.7)	3.0	(0.5)
Jamaica	3.7	(0.6)	22.5	(1.3)	21.5	(1.2)	20.7	(1.3)	15.6	(1.1)	9.1	(0.9)	6.9	(0.9)
Moldova	2.9	(0.3)	22.4	(0.9)	25.6	(0.8)	23.8	(0.8)	15.9	(0.8)	7.2	(0.6)	2.2	(0.3)
Brunei Darussalam	4.1	(0.3)	24.0	(0.9)	23.8	(0.8)	22.2	(0.6)	15.1	(0.6)	7.8	(0.5)	3.2	(0.3)
Cyprus	2.7	(0.3)	25.2	(0.6)	24.5	(0.7)	22.2	(0.6)	14.9	(0.5)	7.3	(0.4)	3.2	(0.3)
Kazakhstan	3.7	(0.3)	24.3	(0.7)	24.6	(0.8)	21.4	(0.5)	14.5	(0.5)	7.8	(0.4)	3.8	(0.3)
Panama	2.2	(0.4)	22.3	(1.3)	28.4	(1.1)	26.0	(1.3)	14.2	(1.1)	4.9	(0.6)	1.9	(0.4)
Peru	3.9	(0.4)	25.1	(1.0)	24.1	(1.0)	21.9	(0.8)	14.6	(0.7)	7.3	(0.6)	3.1	(0.3)
Saudi Arabia	1.9	(0.3)	25.8	(1.0)	26.3	(1.1)	23.0	(0.8)	14.0	(0.7)	6.4	(0.5)	2.6	(0.4)
Brazil	4.3	(0.3)	25.5	(0.8)	24.5	(0.6)	20.9	(0.6)	14.0	(0.6)	7.2	(0.5)	3.7	(0.4)
El Salvador	2.5	(0.4)	26.3	(1.1)	26.7	(0.8)	22.2	(0.8)	13.5	(0.7)	6.0	(0.5)	2.8	(0.5)
Baku (Azerbaijan)	1.6	(0.3)	26.1	(1.0)	28.7	(0.9)	23.1	(0.8)	12.8	(0.6)	5.5	(0.4)	2.2	(0.3)
Bulgaria	9.6	(0.9)	29.4	(1.1)	22.4	(0.9)	18.7	(1.0)	12.1	(0.7)	5.6	(0.5)	2.2	(0.4)
Thailand	4.5	(0.5)	31.0	(1.1)	27.6	(1.0)	19.6	(0.9)	10.7	(0.7)	4.6	(0.5)	2.0	(0.3)
Jordan	7.5	(0.6)	32.3	(1.0)	24.2	(0.8)	18.7	(0.7)	10.8	(0.6)	4.6	(0.5)	1.9	(0.4)
North Macedonia	14.7	(0.6)	32.0	(0.8)	19.3	(0.8)	15.6	(0.6)	10.7	(0.5)	5.1	(0.5)	2.5	(0.3)
Indonesia	8.3	(0.8)	34.8	(1.1)	25.7	(0.7)	17.7	(0.7)	8.7	(0.7)	3.4	(0.4)	1.4	(0.3)
Palestinian Authority	12.0	(0.7)	35.1	(0.9)	22.3	(0.8)	15.8	(0.6)	9.0	(0.5)	4.0	(0.3)	1.8	(0.3)
Morocco	24.5	(1.7)	35.4	(1.2)	16.8	(0.9)	11.5	(0.9)	6.7	(0.7)	3.2	(0.4)	1.9	(0.3)
Philippines	35.6	(1.5)	27.8	(0.9)	14.3	(0.7)	10.2	(0.7)	6.4	(0.5)	3.4	(0.4)	2.3	(0.5)
Dominican Republic	11.8	(0.8)	43.5	(1.1)	25.6	(1.0)	13.4	(0.7)	4.4	(0.4)	1.1	(0.2)	U‡	(0.1)
Uzbekistan	15.7	(0.9)	45.6	(1.0)	22.2	(0.7)	10.8	(0.6)	3.9	(0.4)	1.3	(0.2)	0.5	(0.1)
Albania	29.1	(1.0)	39.2	(1.1)	15.8	(0.7)	8.6	(0.6)	4.2	(0.4)	1.8	(0.3)	1.1	(0.2)
OECD average	0.4	(0.0)	6.5	(0.1)	14.8	(0.1)	24.6	(0.2)	26.7	(0.2)	18.1	(0.2)	8.9	(0.1)

SE Standard error

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in descending order by the total percentage of students who attained Level 3 or higher. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Percentage of students who performed below Level 3, at Level 3 or above, and at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING

			Proficiency	y levels		
 Country, province,	Below L	evel 3	Level 3 or	above	Levels 5 a	and 6
or OECD average	%	Standard	%	Standard	%	Standard
		error		error		error
Singapore	5.7	(0.4)	94.3	(0.4)	57.8	(0.8)
Latvia	8.4	(0.7)	91.6	(0.7)	26.4	(1.4)
Ontario	8.9	(0.8)	91.1	(0.8)	49.2	(1.6)
Korea	9.8	(0.8)	90.2	(0.8)	45.9	(1.8)
Alberta	10.2	(1.7)	89.8	(1.7)	51.7	(3.0)
Denmark	10.2	(0.8)	89.8	(0.8)	31.3	(1.1)
Estonia	11.0	(0.8)	89.0	(0.8)	34.3	(1.2)
Canada	11.2	(0.6)	88.8	(0.6)	44.8	(0.9)
British Columbia	11.6	(1.4)	88.4	(1.4)	45.2	(2.7)
Australia	11.9	(0.7)	88.1	(0.7)	42.7	(1.0)
Manitoba	13.1	(1.3)	86.9	(1.3)	35.4	(2.3)
Quebec	13.2	(1.3)	86.8	(1.3)	39.3	(2.2)
New Zealand	13.3	(0.7)	86.7	(0.7)	39.6	(1.2)
Nova Scotia	13.6	(2.0)	86.4	(2.0)	35.8	(3.5)
Prince Edward Island	14.3	(4.0)	85.7	(4.0)	34.4	(7.9)
Belgium	14.8	(0.7)	85.2	(0.7)	32.8	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	14.9	(1.4)	85.1	(1.4)	33.8	(2.4)
Finland	16.6	(0.8)	83.4	(0.8)	39.0	(1.3)
Portugal	17.0	(1.0)	83.0	(1.0)	29.4	(1.0)
New Brunswick	17.4	(2.6)	82.6	(2.6)	32.4	(3.7)
Poland	17.5	(1.0)	82.5	(1.0)	32.9	(1.1)
Newfoundland and Labrador	18.0	(2.7)	82.0	(2.7)	30.6	(4.7)
Spain	20.0	(0.7)	80.0	(0.7)	25.4	(0.7)
Lithuania	20.5	(1.1)	79.5	(1.1)	26.4	(0.9)
Czech Republic	20.5	(1.0)	79.5	(1.0)	25.4	(1.0)
France	22.0	(1.1)	78.0	(1.1)	25.6	(1.1)
Chinese Taipei	22.3	(1.2)	77.7	(1.2)	27.2	(1.2)
Germany	22.4	(1.2)	77.6	(1.2)	26.6	(1.6)
Hong Kong (China)	22.7	(1.2)	77.3	(1.2)	21.7	(1.2)
Macao (China)	23.1	(0.8)	76.9	(0.8)	22.4	(0.8)
Italy	24.0	(1.1)	76.0	(1.1)	21.9	(1.0)
Netherlands	24.1	(1.8)	75.9	(1.8)	27.8	(1.3)
Israel	24.9	(1.2)	75.1	(1.2)	30.3	(1.3)
Chile	26.1	(1.3)	73.9	(1.3)	18.5	(1.0)
Chile	26.4	(1.1)	73.6	(1.1)	19.9	(1.1)
Hungary	26.4	(1.1)	73.6	(1.1)	22.3	(1.1)
Slovenia	26.5	(1.0)	73.5	(1.0)	16.3	(0.9)
	26.7	(1.0)	73.3	(1.0)	24.9	(1.0)
Iceland	28.3	(0.9)	71.7	(0.9)	21.4	(0.8)
Mexico	30.0	(1.3)	70.0	(1.3)	13.8	(0.9)
	33.3	(1.4)	00./	(1.4)	21.U 15 1	(1.0)
O ugudy Sorbia	33.4	(1.2)		(⊥.∠) (1.2)	15.1	(1.0)
Servid	34./	(1.3)	64.2	(1.3)	1/.5	(1.0)
	35.8	(1.4)	04.Z	(1.4)	10.8	(0.7)
United Arab Emirator	30.Z	(1.4) (0.5)	۵3.۵ ۵۵.۵	(1.4)	9.5	(U.8)
United Arab Emiliates	39.1 20 7	(0.5)	60.9 60.2	(U.S) (2, 2)	∠4.3 10 7	(U.D) (1.2)
OKI al II al I TERIOLIS (10 OL 27)	39.7	(2.2)	00.3	(2.2)	13./	(1.3)

Table B.1.1b (cont'd)

Percentage of students who performed below Level 3, at Level 3 or above, and at Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING

			Proficienc	y levels		
Country, province,	Below L	evel 3	Level 3 or	r above	Levels 5	and 6
or OECD average	%	Standard	%	Standard	%	Standard
		error		error		error
Qatar	40.8	(1.0)	59.2	(1.0)	19.7	(0.7)
Romania	42.1	(1.7)	57.9	(1.7)	14.3	(1.1)
Colombia	45.3	(1.8)	54.7	(1.8)	11.9	(0.9)
Mongolia	45.6	(1.4)	54.4	(1.4)	7.7	(0.7)
Malaysia	45.6	(1.3)	54.4	(1.3)	11.7	(1.0)
Jamaica	47.7	(1.8)	52.3	(1.8)	16.0	(1.5)
Moldova	50.9	(1.2)	49.1	(1.2)	9.4	(0.8)
Brunei Darussalam	51.9	(0.8)	48.1	(0.8)	10.9	(0.5)
Cyprus	52.5	(0.8)	47.5	(0.8)	10.4	(0.5)
Kazakhstan	52.6	(1.0)	47.4	(1.0)	11.5	(0.6)
Panama	53.0	(1.6)	47.0	(1.6)	6.8	(0.7)
Peru	53.2	(1.3)	46.8	(1.3)	10.3	(0.8)
Saudi Arabia	54.0	(1.4)	46.0	(1.4)	9.0	(0.6)
Brazil	54.3	(1.0)	45.7	(1.0)	10.8	(0.7)
El Salvador	55.5	(1.3)	44.5	(1.3)	8.7	(0.8)
Baku (Azerbaijan)	56.4	(1.3)	43.6	(1.3)	7.7	(0.6)
Bulgaria	61.4	(1.3)	38.6	(1.3)	7.8	(0.7)
Thailand	63.1	(1.5)	36.9	(1.5)	6.7	(0.7)
Jordan	64.0	(1.3)	36.0	(1.3)	6.5	(0.7)
North Macedonia	66.1	(0.9)	33.9	(0.9)	7.7	(0.6)
Indonesia	68.8	(1.4)	31.2	(1.4)	4.8	(0.6)
Palestinian Authority	69.5	(1.2)	30.5	(1.2)	5.7	(0.5)
Morocco	76.7	(1.7)	23.3	(1.7)	5.2	(0.7)
Philippines	77.7	(1.4)	22.3	(1.4)	5.7	(0.8)
Dominican Republic	80.9	(0.9)	19.1	(0.9)	1.3	(0.2)
Uzbekistan	83.5	(0.9)	16.5	(0.9)	1.7	(0.3)
Albania	84.2	(0.9)	15.8	(0.9)	2.9	(0.4)
OECD average	21.7	(0.2)	78.3	(0.2)	27.0	(0.2)

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in descending order by the total percentage of students who attained Level 3 or higher. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Average scores and confidence intervals: CREATIVE THINKING Average Standard Confidence Confidence Difference from Difference from OECD												
Country, province, or OECD average	Average	Standard error	Confidence interval – 95% lower limit	Confidence interval – 95% upper limit	Differen Canadian	ice from average	Difference fron a	n OECD iverage				
Singapore	41.0**	(0.2)	40.6	41.3	3.0**	(0.3)	8.3***	(0.2)				
Alberta	39.6**	(0.7)	38.1	41.0	1.6**	(0.6)	6.9***	(0.7)				
Ontario	39.1**	(0.4)	38.4	39.8	1.2**	(0.3)	6.4***	(0.4)				
Korea	38.1	(0.4)	37.3	38.8	0.2	(0.4)	5.4***	(0.4)				
British Columbia	38.0	(0.7)	36.6	39.3	0.0	(0.6)	5.3***	(0.7)				
Canada	37.9	(0.2)	37.5	38.4			5.3***	(0.2)				
Australia	37.3	(0.2)	36.8	37.8	-0.6	(0.3)	4.6***	(0.3)				
Quebec	36.5**	(0.5)	35.5	37.5	-1.4**	(0.5)	3.8***	(0.5)				
New Zealand	36.4**	(0.3)	35.9	37.0	-1.5**	(0.4)	3.8***	(0.3)				
Estonia	35.9**	(0.3)	35.3	36.4	-2.1**	(0.3)	3.2***	(0.3)				
Finland	35.8**	(0.3)	35.2	36.4	-2.1**	(0.4)	3.1***	(0.3)				
Manitoba	35.7**	(0.6)	34.6	36.9	-2.2**	(0.6)	3.1***	(0.6)				
Nova Scotia	35.7**	(0.9)	34.0	37.4	-2.2**	(0.9)	3.0***	(0.9)				
Denmark	35.5**	(0.2)	35.0	36.0	-2.4**	(0.3)	2.8***	(0.2)				
Prince Edward Island	35.5	(1.8)	32.0	39.0	-2.5	(1.8)	2.8	(1.8)				
Saskatchewan	35.2**	(0.6)	34.0	36.3	-2.8**	(0.6)	2.5***	(0.6)				
Latvia	35.1**	(0.3)	34.5	35.6	-2.9**	(0.4)	2.4***	(0.3)				
Belgium	34.9**	(0.3)	34.4	35.4	-3.0**	(0.4)	2.2***	(0.3)				
New Brunswick	34.6**	(1.1)	32.4	36.7	-3.4**	(1.1)	1.9	(1.1)				
Poland	34.4**	(0.3)	33.9	35.0	-3.5**	(0.4)	1.8***	(0.3)				
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.1**	(1.3)	31.6	36.6	-3.8**	(1.3)	1.4	(1.3)				
Portugal	33.9**	(0.3)	33.3	34.5	-4.0**	(0.4)	1.2***	(0.3)				
Lithuania	32.9**	(0.3)	32.3	33.4	-5.1**	(0.4)	0.2	(0.3)				
Spain	32.8**	(0.2)	32.3	33.2	-5.2**	(0.3)	0.1	(0.2)				
Czech Republic	32.6**	(0.3)	32.1	33.2	-5.3**	(0.4)	0.0	(0.3)				
Chinese Taipei	32.6**	(0.4)	31.9	33.4	-5.3**	(0.5)	0.0	(0.4)				
Germany	32.5**	(0.4)	31.7	33.3	-5.4**	(0.5)	-0.1	(0.4)				
France	32.4**	(0.3)	31.8	33.0	-5.5**	(0.4)	-0.2	(0.3)				
Netherlands	32.4**	(0.5)	31.5	33.3	-5.5**	(0.5)	-0.3	(0.5)				
Israel	32.3**	(0.4)	31.5	33.0	-5.7**	(0.5)	-0.4	(0.4)				
Macao (China)	31.6**	(0.2)	31.2	32.0	-6.3**	(0.3)	-1.0***	(0.2)				
Hong Kong (China)	31.6**	(0.4)	30.9	32.3	-6.4**	(0.4)	-1.1***	(0.4)				
Italy	31.4**	(0.3)	30.8	32.0	-6.5**	(0.4)	-1.3***	(0.3)				
Malta	31.3**	(0.2)	30.9	31.8	-6.6**	(0.3)	-1.4***	(0.2)				
Hungary	30.9**	(0.3)	30.3	31.6	-7.0**	(0.4)	-1.7***	(0.3)				
Chile	30.7**	(0.3)	30.0	31.3	-7.3**	(0.4)	-2.0***	(0.3)				
Croatia	30.5**	(0.3)	29.8	31.1	-7.5**	(0.4)	-2.2***	(0.3)				
Iceland	30.5**	(0.3)	30.0	30.9	-7.5**	(0.3)	-2.2***	(0.3)				
Slovenia	30.0**	(0.2)	29.5	30.4	-7.9**	(0.3)	-2.7***	(0.2)				
Slovak Republic	29.2**	(0.4)	28.4	30.0	-8.7**	(0.5)	-3.5***	(0.4)				
Mexico	29.0**	(0.3)	28.4	29.6	-8.9**	(0.4)	-3.7***	(0.3)				
Serbia	28.7**	(0.4)	28.0	29.4	-9.2**	(0.4)	-4.0***	(0.4)				
Uruguay	28.6**	(0.3)	28.0	29.3	-9.3**	(0.4)	-4.0***	(0.4)				
United Arab Emirates	28.4**	(0.2)	28.1	28.7	-9.5**	(0.3)	-4.2***	(0.2)				
Qatar	27.7**	(0.2)	27.2	28.1	-10.3**	(0.3)	-5.0***	(0.3)				
Costa Rica	27.5**	(0.3)	26.9	28.1	-10.5**	(0.4)	-5.2***	(0.3)				
Greece	27.0**	(0.3)	26.3	27.7	-10.9**	(0.4)	-5.7***	(0.3)				
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	26.9**	(0.6)	25.7	28.1	-11.0**	(0.7)	-5.8***	(0.6)				

Table B.1.2 (cont'd)

Average scores and confidence intervals: CREATIVE THINKING												
Country, province, or OECD average	Average	Standard error	Confidence interval – 95% lower limit	Confidence interval – 95% upper limit	Differer Canadian	nce from average	Difference from	n OECD average				
Romania	26.2**	(0.5)	25.3	27.2	-11.7**	(0.5)	-6.4***	(0.5)				
Colombia	25.6**	(0.5)	24.6	26.5	-12.4**	(0.5)	-7.1***	(0.5)				
Jamaica	25.5**	(0.5)	24.5	26.6	-12.4**	(0.6)	-7.1***	(0.5)				
Malaysia	25.1**	(0.4)	24.4	25.9	-12.8**	(0.4)	-7.6***	(0.4)				
Mongolia	24.9**	(0.3)	24.3	25.5	-13.0**	(0.4)	-7.8***	(0.3)				
Moldova	23.9**	(0.3)	23.3	24.6	-14.0**	(0.4)	-8.7***	(0.3)				
Kazakhstan	23.8**	(0.3)	23.3	24.4	-14.1**	(0.4)	-8.8***	(0.3)				
Brunei Darussalam	23.7**	(0.2)	23.4	24.1	-14.2**	(0.3)	-8.9***	(0.2)				
Cyprus	23.7**	(0.2)	23.3	24.1	-14.2**	(0.3)	-8.9***	(0.2)				
Peru	23.5**	(0.3)	22.8	24.1	-14.5**	(0.4)	-9.2***	(0.4)				
Brazil	23.3**	(0.3)	22.7	23.9	-14.6**	(0.4)	-9.4***	(0.3)				
Saudi Arabia	23.3**	(0.3)	22.7	23.9	-14.6**	(0.4)	-9.4***	(0.3)				
Panama	23.2**	(0.3)	22.5	23.9	-14.7**	(0.4)	-9.4***	(0.3)				
El Salvador	23.0**	(0.4)	22.3	23.7	-15.0**	(0.4)	-9.7***	(0.4)				
Baku (Azerbaijan)	22.8**	(0.3)	22.2	23.4	-15.1**	(0.4)	-9.9***	(0.3)				
Thailand	20.9**	(0.4)	20.2	21.7	-17.0**	(0.4)	-11.7***	(0.4)				
Bulgaria	20.7**	(0.4)	20.0	21.5	-17.2**	(0.4)	-12.0***	(0.4)				
Jordan	20.2**	(0.4)	19.5	20.9	-17.7**	(0.4)	-12.5***	(0.4)				
North Macedonia	19.1**	(0.2)	18.7	19.6	-18.8**	(0.3)	-13.6***	(0.2)				
Indonesia	19.0**	(0.4)	18.2	19.7	-19.0**	(0.5)	-13.7***	(0.4)				
Palestinian Authority	18.5**	(0.3)	17.8	19.1	-19.5**	(0.4)	-14.2***	(0.3)				
Dominican Republic	15.5**	(0.3)	15.0	16.0	-22.4**	(0.3)	-17.2***	(0.3)				
Morocco	15.5**	(0.6)	14.3	16.6	-22.4**	(0.6)	-17.2***	(0.6)				
Uzbekistan	14.5**	(0.3)	14.0	15.0	-23.4**	(0.3)	-18.2***	(0.3)				
Philippines	14.2**	(0.5)	13.2	15.2	-23.7**	(0.6)	-18.5***	(0.5)				
Albania	13.1**	(0.3)	12.5	13.6	-24.8**	(0.4)	-19.6***	(0.3)				
OECD average	32.7**	(0.1)	32.6	32.8	-5.3**	(0.2)						

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in descending order by average score. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus. ** Significant difference compared to Canada. *** Significant difference compared to OECD average.

Varia	tion in :	studer	nt perfo	rman	ce betw	veen p	ercenti	les: CF	REATIVE	THIN	KING		
						Perce	ntiles						Difference in
Country, province, or OECD	5 ^{ti}	h	10'	h	25 ^t	h	75	th	90	th	95'	h	score points between the
	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	10 th and 90 th percentiles
Latvia	20.7	(0.5)	23.9	(0.4)	29.4	(0.4)	41.3	(0.3)	45.7	(0.4)	47.9	(0.4)	21.8
Uzbekistan	3.7	(0.1)	4.9	(0.2)	7.6	(0.2)	19.1	(0.4)	27.2	(0.6)	32.9	(0.9)	22.4
Dominican Republic	4.2	(0.2)	5.6	(0.2)	8.6	(0.2)	20.6	(0.4)	28.1	(0.5)	32.9	(0.6)	22.5
Denmark	19.3	(0.6)	22.8	(0.5)	29.1	(0.4)	42.6	(0.3)	47.1	(0.3)	49.2	(0.3)	24.3
Albania	2.3	(0.1)	3.2	(0.1)	5.3	(0.2)	17.8	(0.5)	28.0	(0.9)	35.6	(1.1)	24.9
Singapore	22.1	(0.5)	26.8	(0.5)	35.1	(0.3)	48.5	(0.2)	51.8	(0.2)	53.2	(0.2)	24.9
Estonia	18.7	(0.5)	22.4	(0.5)	28.9	(0.4)	43.5	(0.3)	48.1	(0.3)	50.2	(0.2)	25.7
Mexico	13.1	(0.5)	15.9	(0.4)	21.5	(0.4)	36.4	(0.4)	42.9	(0.5)	46.1	(0.5)	27.0
Costa Rica	11.7	(0.4)	14.3	(0.4)	19.7	(0.4)	34.9	(0.4)	41.4	(0.4)	44.8	(0.5)	27.1
Korea	18.5	(0.6)	23.1	(0.6)	31.3	(0.6)	46.3	(0.4)	50.3	(0.3)	52.1	(0.4)	27.2
Greece	10.7	(0.4)	13.4	(0.5)	19.4	(0.5)	34.4	(0.4)	40.7	(0.4)	43.9	(0.5)	27.3
Mongolia	8.9	(0.4)	11.8	(0.4)	17.2	(0.3)	32.1	(0.5)	39.4	(0.5)	43.2	(0.6)	27.6
Slovenia	13.0	(0.5)	16.2	(0.4)	22.4	(0.4)	37.7	(0.4)	43.9	(0.5)	46.8	(0.5)	27.6
Belgium	16.5	(0.5)	20.3	(0.4)	27.4	(0.4)	43.2	(0.3)	48.0	(0.4)	50.2	(0.3)	27.7
Prince Edward Island	17.1	(2.0)	20.9	(2.0)	27.9	(1.9)	43.9	(2.4)	49.0	(2.1)	50.9	(2.2)	28.1
Croatia	13.3	(0.4)	16.4	(0.5)	22.6	(0.5)	38.6	(0.4)	44.6	(0.4)	47.3	(0.3)	28.2
Panama	7.7	(0.3)	9.9	(0.4)	15.0	(0.4)	30.3	(0.5)	38.2	(0.6)	42.9	(0.7)	28.3
Portugal	15.3	(0.6)	19.2	(0.6)	26.2	(0.4)	42.3	(0.3)	47.5	(0.3)	49.8	(0.3)	28.3
Ontario	19.5	(0.5)	23.7	(0.6)	31.6	(0.6)	47.7	(0.4)	52.0	(0.3)	53.8	(0.3)	28.3
Manitoba	17.2	(0.8)	21.0	(0.8)	28.2	(0.8)	44.3	(0.7)	49.4	(0.7)	51.5	(0.5)	28.4
Indonesia	4.7	(0.3)	6.5	(0.3)	10.5	(0.3)	25.6	(0.6)	34.9	(0.8)	40.6	(0.9)	28.4
Nova Scotia	17.5	(1.1)	21.1	(1.0)	27.7	(1.0)	44.4	(1.0)	49.6	(0.8)	51.8	(0.8)	28.5
Spain	14.8	(0.4)	18.3	(0.3)	24.9	(0.3)	41.1	(0.2)	46.9	(0.2)	49.5	(0.2)	28.6
Saskatchewan	17.1	(0.7)	20.4	(0.6)	27.3	(0.7)	43.8	(0.7)	49.0	(0.6)	51.2	(0.5)	28.7
Hong Kong (China)	13.4	(0.5)	17.1	(0.5)	23.8	(0.4)	39.8	(0.5)	45.8	(0.5)	48.6	(0.5)	28.8
Czech Republic	14.4	(0.5)	17.8	(0.4)	24.7	(0.4)	41.1	(0.3)	46.6	(0.3)	49.1	(0.3)	28.8
Baku (Azerbaijan)	8.0	(0.3)	9.9	(0.3)	14.2	(0.3)	29.8	(0.4)	38.7	(0.5)	43.8	(0.5)	28.8
New Zealand	17.5	(0.5)	21.2	(0.4)	28.3	(0.4)	45.3	(0.4)	50.0	(0.3)	51.8	(0.3)	28.8
Australia	17.7	(0.4)	21.7	(0.4)	29.4	(0.4)	46.2	(0.3)	50.7	(0.2)	52.6	(0.2)	29.0
Uruguay	12.1	(0.4)	14.6	(0.4)	20.2	(0.4)	36.7	(0.5)	43.6	(0.6)	46.7	(0.5)	29.0
Lithuania	14.8	(0.5)	18.0	(0.4)	24.7	(0.4)	41.4	(0.3)	47.1	(0.3)	49.6	(0.3)	29.1
Macao (China)	13.0	(0.5)	16.6	(0.4)	23.7	(0.3)	40.0	(0.3)	45.7	(0.3)	48.4	(0.3)	29.1
Italy	13.2	(0.4)	16.6	(0.4)	23.4	(0.5)	39.8	(0.4)	45.7	(0.3)	48.5	(0.3)	29.2
Poland	15.4	(0.5)	19.0	(0.5)	26.3	(0.4)	43.4	(0.3)	48.2	(0.3)	50.4	(0.2)	29.2
Chile	13.1	(0.4)	16.3	(0.4)	22.5	(0.4)	39.0	(0.4)	45.6	(0.4)	48.6	(0.4)	29.3
France	13.9	(0.4)	17.3	(0.5)	24.2	(0.5)	41.2	(0.4)	46.7	(0.4)	49.2	(0.3)	29.4
Thailand	6.2	(0.3)	8.0	(0.3)	12.3	(0.3)	27.8	(0.6)	37.4	(0.8)	43.1	(0.8)	29.4
Canada	17.9	(0.4)	22.1	(0.4)	30.1	(0.4)	46.9	(0.2)	51.6	(0.2)	53.5	(0.2)	29.5
Newfoundland and Labrador	16.0	(1.2)	19.1	(1.1)	25.8	(1.3)	43.0	(1.7)	48.6	(1.4)	50.9	(1.1)	29.5
Quebec	16.5	(0.7)	20.8	(0.7)	28.6	(0.7)	45.4	(0.6)	50.5	(0.5)	52.6	(0.4)	29.7
New Brunswick	15.9	(1.0)	19.3	(1.2)	26.1	(1.3)	43.5	(1.3)	49.1	(0.9)	51.4	(0.7)	29.8
British Columbia	17.7	(1.1)	21.9	(0.9)	30.1	(0.9)	47.0	(0.7)	51.7	(0.6)	53.5	(0.5)	29.8
Alberta	18.3	(1.2)	22.8	(1.3)	31.7	(1.1)	48.9	(0.7)	53.1	(0.6)	54.7	(0.5)	30.2
Germany	13.5	(0.6)	17.0	(0.5)	24.0	(0.5)	41.5	(0.6)	47.4	(0.4)	49.9	(0.3)	30.3
Saudi Arabia	7.7	(0.2)	9.7	(0.2)	14.2	(0.3)	31.0	(0.5)	40.1	(0.6)	44.9	(0.6)	30.5
Morocco	2.5	(0.2)	3.5	(0.2)	6.0	(0.3)	21.9	(1.0)	33.9	(1.2)	41.2	(1.3)	30.5
Palestinian Authority	4.0	(0.2)	5.4	(0.2)	9.1	(0.3)	25.6	(0.6)	36.0	(0.7)	42.0	(0.6)	30.6

Table B.1.3 (cont'd)

Variation in student performance between percentiles: CREATIVE THINKING Percentiles Difference													
						Perce	ntiles						Difference in
Country, province, or OECD	5 ^t	h	10	th	25	th	75	th	90	th	95	th	score points between the
average	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	Score	SE	10 th and 90 th percentiles
El Salvador	7.3	(0.3)	9.3	(0.3)	13.9	(0.4)	30.6	(0.5)	39.8	(0.7)	44.8	(0.8)	30.6
Jordan	5.1	(0.2)	6.7	(0.2)	10.8	(0.3)	27.8	(0.6)	37.4	(0.8)	42.9	(0.8)	30.7
Chinese Taipei	13.2	(0.5)	16.7	(0.5)	24.2	(0.5)	41.7	(0.4)	47.4	(0.4)	49.9	(0.4)	30.7
Hungary	11.6	(0.4)	15.1	(0.4)	22.4	(0.5)	40.0	(0.4)	45.9	(0.4)	48.6	(0.4)	30.8
Moldova	7.3	(0.2)	9.7	(0.3)	14.9	(0.3)	32.1	(0.5)	40.5	(0.6)	44.7	(0.6)	30.9
Iceland	12.0	(0.4)	15.2	(0.4)	21.8	(0.3)	39.4	(0.4)	46.2	(0.4)	49.0	(0.3)	31.0
Netherlands	12.9	(0.6)	16.2	(0.7)	23.3	(0.8)	41.9	(0.4)	47.3	(0.3)	49.7	(0.3)	31.1
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	9.1	(0.6)	11.6	(0.7)	17.8	(0.8)	35.5	(0.7)	43.0	(0.7)	46.4	(0.7)	31.3
Serbia	10.7	(0.3)	13.5	(0.4)	19.5	(0.5)	37.5	(0.5)	44.9	(0.5)	48.3	(0.5)	31.4
Colombia	8.3	(0.4)	10.8	(0.4)	16.4	(0.5)	33.9	(0.6)	42.3	(0.6)	46.2	(0.6)	31.5
Finland	14.8	(0.4)	18.9	(0.5)	27.1	(0.4)	45.6	(0.3)	50.5	(0.2)	52.5	(0.2)	31.6
Cyprus	7.2	(0.2)	9.2	(0.2)	14.1	(0.2)	32.1	(0.4)	41.3	(0.5)	45.8	(0.5)	32.1
Malta	11.1	(0.4)	14.6	(0.4)	22.2	(0.5)	40.9	(0.4)	46.9	(0.4)	49.5	(0.3)	32.4
Peru	6.6	(0.3)	8.7	(0.3)	13.7	(0.4)	31.9	(0.6)	41.2	(0.6)	45.8	(0.5)	32.5
Philippines	1.1	(0.1)	1.7	(0.1)	3.8	(0.2)	21.2	(1.0)	34.4	(1.4)	42.2	(1.3)	32.7
Malaysia	6.7	(0.3)	9.3	(0.4)	15.5	(0.4)	34.0	(0.6)	42.1	(0.7)	45.9	(0.7)	32.7
Bulgaria	4.4	(0.3)	6.1	(0.3)	10.6	(0.4)	29.2	(0.6)	38.9	(0.7)	43.8	(0.7)	32.8
Brunei Darussalam	6.4	(0.2)	8.7	(0.2)	13.9	(0.3)	32.4	(0.3)	41.6	(0.4)	45.9	(0.4)	32.9
Kazakhstan	6.7	(0.2)	8.9	(0.2)	14.0	(0.3)	32.5	(0.5)	42.1	(0.5)	46.6	(0.4)	33.2
Brazil	6.3	(0.2)	8.4	(0.2)	13.5	(0.3)	31.9	(0.5)	41.7	(0.6)	46.4	(0.6)	33.3
Romania	7.1	(0.4)	10.0	(0.5)	16.6	(0.6)	35.6	(0.7)	43.4	(0.6)	46.9	(0.5)	33.4
North Macedonia	3.6	(0.2)	4.8	(0.2)	8.4	(0.2)	27.7	(0.5)	38.7	(0.6)	44.1	(0.6)	33.9
Slovak Republic	8.3	(0.5)	11.8	(0.6)	19.5	(0.6)	39.2	(0.4)	46.1	(0.4)	49.1	(0.4)	34.3
Israel	8.9	(0.6)	13.5	(0.6)	23.0	(0.6)	42.8	(0.4)	48.2	(0.3)	50.6	(0.3)	34.8
Qatar	7.9	(0.3)	10.5	(0.3)	16.7	(0.3)	38.0	(0.5)	46.7	(0.4)	50.1	(0.3)	36.2
Jamaica	6.7	(0.4)	8.9	(0.5)	14.5	(0.6)	35.5	(0.9)	45.4	(1.0)	49.7	(0.9)	36.5
United Arab Emirates	6.0	(0.2)	8.7	(0.2)	16.1	(0.2)	40.6	(0.3)	48.5	(0.3)	51.5	(0.3)	39.8
OECD average	14.3	(0.1)	17.8	(0.1)	24.7	(0.1)	41.1	(0.1)	46.7	(0.1)	49.3	(0.1)	28.9

SE Standard error

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in ascending order by the difference in score points between the 10th and 90th percentiles. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Correlation of performance in creative thinking with performance in mathematics, reading, and science

	Corre	lation be thinkir	tween p ng and p	erforman erforman	nce in cr ce in	eative	c	Fompa	or arison,	For comparison, correlation		For comparison,	
Country, province, or OECD average	mathe	ematics	natics reading		scie	ence	p n a	corre betv erfor i nathe and re	lation veen mance n matics eading	corre betv perfor i mathe and s	lation ween mance n ematics cience	corre betv perfor in re and s	lation ween mance ading cience
	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE	C	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE
Brunei Darussalam	0.80	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)	C).88	(0.00)	0.92	(0.00)	0.88	(0.01)
Philippines	0.80	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)	C).89	(0.01)	0.88	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)
Romania	0.78	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)	C).86	(0.01)	0.90	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)
Israel	0.76	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	0.73	(0.01)	C).81	(0.01)	0.89	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)
Bulgaria	0.76	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	C).83	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)
Germany	0.76	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)	0.76	(0.02)	C).84	(0.01)	0.90	(0.00)	0.87	(0.01)
Hungary	0.76	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	C).84	(0.01)	0.91	(0.00)	0.84	(0.01)
North Macedonia	0.75	(0.01)	0.72	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.85	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)
Malaysia	0.75	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)	C).79	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)
Slovak Republic	0.74	(0.01)	0.72	(0.01)	0.73	(0.02)	C).83	(0.01)	0.89	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)
Malta	0.73	(0.01)	0.73	(0.02)	0.72	(0.01)	C).78	(0.01)	0.87	(0.00)	0.81	(0.01)
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	0.72	(0.02)	0.67	(0.02)	0.72	(0.02)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)
Morocco	0.72	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	C).77	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)
Netherlands	0.72	(0.02)	0.74	(0.02)	0.71	(0.02)	C).86	(0.01)	0.90	(0.00)	0.85	(0.01)
Qatar	0.72	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	C).81	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Cyprus	0.72	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	C).75	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)
Uruguay	0.72	(0.01)	0.69	(0.02)	0.71	(0.01)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)
Peru	0.71	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.02)	C).81	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Costa Rica	0.71	(0.01)	0.69	(0.02)	0.66	(0.02)	C).79	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
United Arab Emirates	0.71	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)	0.69	(0.01)	C).81	(0.00)	0.85	(0.00)	0.79	(0.01)
Mongolia	0.71	(0.01)	0.69	(0.02)	0.70	(0.01)	C).79	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
France	0.71	(0.01)	0.72	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	C).84	(0.01)	0.89	(0.00)	0.83	(0.01)
Lithuania	0.71	(0.01)	0.69	(0.01)	0.69	(0.02)	C).81	(0.01)	0.88	(0.00)	0.82	(0.01)
Palestinian Authority	0.71	(0.01)	0.67	(0.01)	0.67	(0.01)	C).76	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)	0.73	(0.01)
Portugal	0.70	(0.02)	0.70	(0.02)	0.69	(0.01)	C).81	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)
Serbia	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	0.70	(0.02)	C).81	(0.01)	0.88	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)
Moldova	0.70	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)	C).83	(0.01)	0.88	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)
Poland	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	C).81	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)
Belgium	0.69	(0.01)	0.69	(0.01)	0.69	(0.01)	C).82	(0.01)	0.90	(0.00)	0.83	(0.01)
Greece	0.69	(0.01)	0.65	(0.02)	0.68	(0.02)	C).78	(0.01)	0.84	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
New Zealand	0.69	(0.02)	0.71	(0.01)	0.71	(0.02)	C).81	(0.01)	0.89	(0.00)	0.85	(0.01)
Brazil	0.69	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.85	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Colombia	0.69	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	0.68	(0.02)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)
Thailand	0.68	(0.02)	0.67	(0.02)	0.68	(0.02)	C).79	(0.01)	0.84	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)
Chinese Taipei	0.68	(0.02)	0.67	(0.02)	0.67	(0.01)	C).83	(0.01)	0.90	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)
Finland	0.68	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)	0.70	(0.01)	C).79	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)
Czech Republic	0.68	(0.01)	0.67	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	C).81	(0.01)	0.88	(0.00)	0.80	(0.01)
Croatia	0.67	(0.02)	0.67	(0.02)	0.68	(0.02)	C).79	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)
Jamaica	0.67	(0.02)	0.71	(0.02)	0.69	(0.02)	C).84	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)
Singapore	0.67	(0.01)	0.66	(0.01)	0.66	(0.01)	C).82	(0.01)	0.89	(0.00)	0.83	(0.01)
El Salvador	0.67	(0.02)	0.66	(0.02)	0.66	(0.02)	C	0.80	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)	0.76	(0.02)
Iceland	0.67	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	0.68	(0.02)	C).78	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)
Uzbekistan	0.67	(0.02)	0.63	(0.02)	0.63	(0.02)	C).72	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)

Table B.1.4 (cont'd)

Correlation of performance in creative thinking with performance in mathematics, reading, and science

	Corre	lation be thinkin	tween p	erforman	ce in cr	eative		For For comparison, comparison,				For comparison.	
Country, province, or OECD average	mathe	ematics	read	ding	scie	ence	1	correl betw perform in mathe and re	ation veen mance n matics ading	corre betv perfor i mathe and so	lation veen mance n matics cience	correlation between performance in reading and science	
	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE		Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE	Cor.	SE
Mexico	0.66	(0.02)	0.67	(0.01)	0.66	(0.02)		0.82	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)
Jordan	0.66	(0.01)	0.68	(0.01)	0.66	(0.01)		0.72	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)	0.75	(0.01)
Macao (China)	0.66	(0.01)	0.64	(0.02)	0.66	(0.01)		0.76	(0.01)	0.88	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Saudi Arabia	0.66	(0.01)	0.67	(0.01)	0.65	(0.01)		0.75	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)	0.73	(0.01)
Albania	0.66	(0.01)	0.58	(0.01)	0.60	(0.01)		0.69	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)
Australia	0.65	(0.01)	0.63	(0.01)	0.64	(0.01)		0.80	(0.01)	0.86	(0.00)	0.79	(0.01)
Baku (Azerbaijan)	0.64	(0.01)	0.63	(0.01)	0.63	(0.01)		0.75	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)
Panama	0.64	(0.02)	0.66	(0.02)	0.65	(0.02)		0.82	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Dominican Republic	0.64	(0.02)	0.67	(0.01)	0.62	(0.02)		0.81	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)
Italy	0.64	(0.01)	0.62	(0.01)	0.61	(0.01)		0.77	(0.01)	0.85	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)
Hong Kong (China)	0.63	(0.01)	0.61	(0.02)	0.60	(0.02)		0.79	(0.01)	0.85	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)
Denmark	0.62	(0.02)	0.61	(0.02)	0.61	(0.02)		0.79	(0.01)	0.87	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)
Estonia	0.62	(0.02)	0.58	(0.02)	0.62	(0.02)		0.77	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.75	(0.01)
Nova Scotia	0.62	(0.03)	0.60	(0.03)	0.60	(0.03)		0.79	(0.01)	0.85	(0.01)	0.76	(0.02)
Chile	0.61	(0.01)	0.58	(0.02)	0.57	(0.02)		0.79	(0.01)	0.86	(0.01)	0.78	(0.01)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.61	(0.03)	0.60	(0.03)	0.57	(0.03)		0.78	(0.02)	0.84	(0.01)	0.75	(0.02)
Saskatchewan	0.60	(0.02)	0.59	(0.03)	0.56	(0.02)		0.76	(0.01)	0.83	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)
Slovenia	0.60	(0.02)	0.59	(0.02)	0.58	(0.02)		0.77	(0.01)	0.89	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)
Spain	0.59	(0.02)	0.59	(0.02)	0.58	(0.02)		0.76	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)	0.76	(0.01)
Korea	0.59	(0.03)	0.59	(0.03)	0.61	(0.03)		0.76	(0.02)	0.85	(0.01)	0.75	(0.02)
Prince Edward Island	0.59	(0.06)	0.58	(0.06)	0.58	(0.06)		0.78	(0.03)	0.84	(0.03)	0.75	(0.04)
Manitoba	0.59	(0.02)	0.58	(0.03)	0.57	(0.02)		0.75	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)	0.74	(0.01)
Alberta	0.59	(0.03)	0.55	(0.03)	0.55	(0.03)		0.76	(0.02)	0.81	(0.02)	0.73	(0.02)
Ontario	0.57	(0.02)	0.56	(0.02)	0.54	(0.02)		0.76	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)	0.73	(0.01)
Latvia	0.57	(0.02)	0.55	(0.02)	0.57	(0.02)		0.79	(0.01)	0.88	(0.01)	0.79	(0.01)
Indonesia	0.57	(0.01)	0.55	(0.02)	0.54	(0.02)		0.77	(0.01)	0.77	(0.01)	0.72	(0.01)
New Brunswick	0.57	(0.03)	0.55	(0.04)	0.54	(0.04)		0.75	(0.02)	0.80	(0.02)	0.75	(0.02)
British Columbia	0.56	(0.03)	0.53	(0.03)	0.54	(0.03)		0.73	(0.01)	0.82	(0.01)	0.71	(0.02)
Canada	0.56	(0.01)	0.55	(0.01)	0.54	(0.01)		0.76	(0.01)	0.81	(0.01)	0.73	(0.01)
Kazakhstan	0.53	(0.01)	0.62	(0.01)	0.59	(0.02)		0.65	(0.01)	0.75	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)
Quebec	0.53	(0.02)	0.49	(0.03)	0.48	(0.03)		0.78	(0.01)	0.80	(0.01)	0.71	(0.01)
OECD average	0.67	(0.00)	0.66	(0.00)	0.66	(0.00)		0.80	(0.00)	0.87	(0.00)	0.80	(0.00)

Cor. Correlation

SE Standard error

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in descending order by the correlation between performance in creative thinking and performance in mathematics. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Relative performance: CREATIVE THINKING

			Rela	tive per	formance i	n creati	ve thinking based	l on per	formance	in		
		n	nathematics				reading				science	
Country, province, or OECD average	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE
Ontario	5.9	(0.3)	75.6	(1.4)	4.7	(0.4)	71.3	(1.6)	5.1	(0.4)	72.5	(1.7)
Alberta	5.6	(0.6)	74.5	(2.2)	4.3	(0.6)	69.6	(2.8)	4.2	(0.5)	69.6	(2.5)
Chile	5.0	(0.2)	70.9	(0.9)	1.5	(0.3)	55.1	(1.3)	2.9	(0.3)	61.0	(1.3)
Mexico	5.0	(0.2)	73.8	(1.2)	2.7	(0.2)	62.8	(1.3)	4.4	(0.2)	71.0	(1.3)
Australia	5.0	(0.2)	73.1	(1.0)	4.0	(0.2)	68.9	(1.1)	4.2	(0.2)	70.0	(1.1)
New Zealand	4.7	(0.2)	73.6	(1.3)	2.9	(0.3)	66.3	(1.4)	3.6	(0.2)	68.9	(1.2)
British Columbia	4.6	(0.6)	70.4	(2.4)	3.6	(0.6)	66.0	(2.6)	3.8	(0.6)	67.0	(2.8)
Costa Rica	4.6	(0.2)	73.3	(1.2)	1.2	(0.2)	55.2	(1.4)	2.8	(0.2)	63.2	(1.5)
Nova Scotia	4.6	(0.9)	71.4	(4.5)	3.2	(0.9)	66.0	(4.5)	3.7	(0.9)	67.3	(3.9)
Canada	4.6	(0.2)	70.3	(0.7)	3.9	(0.2)	67.6	(0.8)	4.1	(0.2)	68.1	(1.0)
El Salvador	4.5	(0.2)	66.2	(1.2)	1.4	(0.2)	51.9	(1.1)	2.0	(0.2)	54.7	(1.0)
Manitoba	4.5	(0.6)	71.1	(2.6)	3.1	(0.6)	64.9	(2.8)	3.8	(0.5)	68.0	(2.6)
Saskatchewan	4.3	(0.6)	69.3	(2.4)	2.8	(0.5)	63.5	(2.8)	3.0	(0.6)	63.5	(3.1)
Newfoundland and Labrador	4.0	(1.3)	67.8	(6.0)	2.4	(1.2)	61.9	(5.6)	2.2	(1.2)	60.2	(5.3)
Prince Edward Island	3.8	(1.9)	67.6	(8.4)	2.3	(1.9)	62.1	(8.0)	3.1	(2.1)	64.8	(7.9)
New Brunswick	3.6	(1.0)	65.9	(3.9)	3.5	(1.1)	65.3	(4.6)	3.3	(1.1)	64.0	(4.6)
Jamaica	3.5	(0.4)	59.0	(1.5)	-0.3	(0.3)	44.6	(1.8)	1.7	(0.3)	52.8	(1.7)
Finland	3.4	(0.2)	66.6	(1.0)	3.0	(0.2)	65.3	(1.0)	2.4	(0.2)	62.9	(1.2)
Uruguay	3.4	(0.3)	66.0	(1.3)	1.0	(0.3)	54.1	(1.5)	1.7	(0.3)	57.5	(1.9)
Panama	3.3	(0.3)	64.9	(1.3)	-0.9	(0.2)	42.9	(1.4)	0.8	(0.3)	51.4	(1.5)
Colombia	3.0	(0.3)	60.9	(1.3)	-0.1	(0.3)	46.9	(1.4)	0.9	(0.3)	51.2	(1.3)
Korea	2.6	(0.3)	64.9	(1.4)	3.2	(0.2)	67.0	(1.5)	3.2	(0.3)	66.6	(1.2)
Portugal	2.6	(0.2)	63.6	(1.0)	2.1	(0.2)	60.9	(1.1)	2.4	(0.2)	62.6	(1.0)
Israel	2.6	(0.2)	62.9	(1.4)	1.1	(0.3)	55.5	(1.4)	2.7	(0.3)	62.7	(1.5)
Latvia	2.6	(0.3)	64.6	(1.7)	3.4	(0.3)	67.5	(1.8)	2.7	(0.3)	64.8	(1.8)
Denmark	2.5	(0.2)	65.0	(1.3)	2.6	(0.2)	64.5	(1.2)	3.3	(0.2)	67.9	(1.4)
Singapore	2.3	(0.2)	66.1	(0.8)	4.2	(0.2)	73.7	(0.7)	3.5	(0.2)	71.0	(0.9)
Belgium	2.2	(0.2)	62.5	(1.2)	3.1	(0.2)	66.0	(1.0)	3.0	(0.2)	66.0	(1.2)
Qatar	2.0	(0.2)	55.8	(1.3)	1.2	(0.2)	53.3	(1.1)	1.2	(0.2)	53.2	(1.1)
Quebec	1.7	(0.4)	58.8	(2.2)	2.9	(0.4)	62.6	(1.9)	2.7	(0.4)	62.0	(2.0)
Poland	1.6	(0.2)	58.9	(1.4)	1.8	(0.2)	59.7	(1.2)	1.8	(0.2)	59.6	(1.3)
Spain	1.3	(0.2)	55.7	(1.0)	1.2	(0.2)	55.5	(0.9)	1.2	(0.2)	55.4	(1.1)
United Arab Emirates	1.3	(0.2)	52.8	(0.6)	2.1	(0.2)	56.2	(0.8)	1.9	(0.2)	55.2	(0.7)
Lithuania	1.3	(0.2)	56.8	(1.2)	1.5	(0.3)	57.9	(1.4)	1.4	(0.2)	57.5	(1.1)
Estonia	1.2	(0.2)	57.5	(1.2)	1.2	(0.2)	57.3	(1.2)	0.8	(0.2)	55.7	(1.3)
Brazil	1.2	(0.2)	50.4	(1.1)	-2.5	(0.2)	35.8	(1.0)	-0.5	(0.2)	43.9	(1.2)
Germany	1.1	(0.2)	56.5	(1.3)	0.7	(0.2)	54.2	(1.6)	0.7	(0.2)	54.2	(1.5)
France	1.0	(0.2)	55.0	(1.2)	1.0	(0.2)	55.9	(1.1)	0.9	(0.2)	54.9	(1.0)
Malta	0.8	(0.2)	53.8	(1.2)	2.4	(0.2)	61.2	(1.2)	1.7	(0.3)	57.2	(1.4)
Serbia	0.5	(0.3)	51.0	(1.4)	0.1	(0.3)	49.2	(1.3)	0.6	(0.3)	51.7	(1.4)
Iceland	0.4	(0.2)	51.6	(1.2)	2.3	(0.2)	60.8	(1.1)	2.4	(0.2)	60.9	(1.2)
Italy	0.2	(0.2)	50.7	(0.9)	-0.9	(0.2)	45.7	(1.2)	0.5	(0.2)	52.1	(1.2)
Czech Republic	0.1	(0.2)	51.2	(1.5)	-0.1	(0.2)	50.3	(1.4)	0.2	(0.2)	51.6	(1.2)
Saudi Arabia	0.0	(0.2)	45.5	(1.1)	0.1	(0.2)	45.9	(1.1)	0.6	(0.2)	48.2	(1.3)
Peru	0.0	(0.2)	45.4	(1.2)	-2.2	(0.2)	36.4	(1.0)	-0.9	(0.2)	41.7	(1.0)

Table B.1.5 (cont'd)

Relative performance: CREATIVE THINKING

			Rela	tive per	formance i	n creati	ve thinking based	on per	ormance	in		
		n	nathematics				reading				science	
Country, province, or OECD average	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE	ARS	SE	Percentage of students who scored higher than expected	SE
Croatia	-0.1	(0.2)	49.4	(1.4)	-1.2	(0.2)	43.6	(1.2)	-0.8	(0.3)	45.8	(1.6)
Jordan	-0.2	(0.3)	43.5	(1.2)	0.7	(0.2)	46.9	(1.3)	-0.9	(0.2)	40.4	(1.2)
Malaysia	-0.2	(0.3)	46.8	(1.4)	1.3	(0.2)	53.2	(1.3)	-0.1	(0.3)	46.5	(1.4)
Netherlands	-0.3	(0.3)	49.0	(1.5)	2.4	(0.3)	62.2	(1.5)	0.9	(0.3)	54.4	(1.4)
Hungary	-0.3	(0.2)	48.3	(1.4)	-0.5	(0.2)	47.4	(1.2)	-0.6	(0.2)	47.1	(1.5)
Greece	-0.4	(0.2)	46.5	(1.5)	-1.4	(0.2)	41.5	(1.2)	-0.5	(0.2)	46.0	(1.3)
Romania	-0.7	(0.2)	45.0	(1.1)	-1.3	(0.2)	41.9	(1.3)	0.1	(0.2)	48.4	(1.2)
Slovak Republic	-1.2	(0.2)	44.1	(1.2)	0.1	(0.3)	49.1	(1.4)	-0.1	(0.2)	47.9	(1.2)
Baku (Azerbaijan)	-1.3	(0.2)	41.9	(1.1)	1.1	(0.2)	51.9	(1.4)	1.2	(0.2)	52.0	(1.3)
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	-1.5	(0.4)	41.1	(1.9)	-0.5	(0.4)	45.7	(1.8)	-1.4	(0.4)	41.2	(1.9)
Moldova	-1.9	(0.2)	38.0	(1.1)	-2.0	(0.2)	37.4	(1.2)	-1.2	(0.2)	41.1	(1.2)
Mongolia	-1.9	(0.2)	38.4	(1.1)	2.0	(0.2)	57.9	(1.5)	0.1	(0.3)	48.3	(1.5)
Indonesia	-1.9	(0.2)	36.1	(1.2)	-2.1	(0.2)	35.8	(1.3)	-2.9	(0.3)	32.4	(1.1)
Cyprus	-2.2	(0.2)	38.3	(1.3)	0.5	(0.2)	50.4	(1.2)	-0.8	(0.2)	44.3	(1.0)
Palestinian Authority	-2.4	(0.2)	32.9	(1.1)	-1.7	(0.3)	35.5	(1.3)	-2.1	(0.2)	34.2	(1.0)
Slovenia	-2.5	(0.2)	37.9	(1.2)	-1.1	(0.2)	43.8	(1.1)	-2.7	(0.2)	36.8	(1.1)
Dominican Republic	-2.5	(0.2)	29.7	(1.2)	-4.9	(0.2)	20.1	(0.8)	-4.3	(0.2)	23.1	(1.3)
Thailand	-2.8	(0.2)	33.4	(1.1)	-2.0	(0.2)	36.4	(1.0)	-3.5	(0.2)	30.7	(1.3)
Kazakhstan	-3.1	(0.3)	36.2	(1.0)	0.3	(0.2)	47.4	(1.0)	-1.9	(0.2)	39.6	(1.0)
North Macedonia	-4.0	(0.2)	28.1	(0.9)	-1.9	(0.2)	34.9	(1.0)	-2.6	(0.2)	32.6	(0.9)
Chinese Taipei	-4.0	(0.3)	33.9	(1.4)	-2.2	(0.3)	41.5	(1.5)	-3.0	(0.3)	37.9	(1.5)
Brunei Darussalam	-4.8	(0.2)	25.1	(1.1)	-3.8	(0.2)	28.1	(0.9)	-4.2	(0.2)	27.1	(1.0)
Hong Kong (China)	-4.9	(0.3)	29.0	(1.3)	-2.2	(0.3)	40.3	(1.6)	-3.0	(0.3)	37.2	(1.4)
Bulgaria	-5.2	(0.2)	24.0	(1.1)	-4.5	(0.2)	26.4	(1.3)	-4.8	(0.2)	25.5	(1.0)
Morocco	-5.3	(0.3)	21.4	(1.4)	-3.8	(0.3)	25.5	(1.5)	-4.8	(0.3)	23.8	(1.6)
Philippines	-5.5	(0.3)	21.1	(1.2)	-5.7	(0.3)	19.0	(1.2)	-5.1	(0.3)	22.5	(1.3)
Macao (China)	-6.0	(0.2)	24.8	(0.9)	-3.0	(0.2)	36.7	(1.0)	-4.9	(0.2)	29.0	(1.0)
Uzbekistan	-6.1	(0.2)	16.3	(1.0)	-4.4	(0.2)	22.1	(0.9)	-4.7	(0.2)	20.7	(1.0)
Albania	-7.9	(0.2)	14.2	(1.1)	-7.9	(0.2)	14.9	(0.9)	-8.1	(0.2)	14.2	(0.9)
OECD average	1.9	(0.0)	59.5	(0.2)	1.5	(0.0)	57.5	(0.2)	1.8	(0.0)	58.6	(0.2)

ARS Average relative score

SE Standard error

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in descending order by relative performance in creative thinking based on performance in mathematics. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Percentage of students at each proficiency level in anglophone and francophone school systems: CREATIVE THINKING

							Proficier	ncy lev	els					
Canada or province	Bel Lev	ow el 1	Lev	el 1	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 5	Lev	el 6
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE
Anglophone school systems														
Canada	U‡	(0.0)	2.3	(0.2)	8.1	(0.5)	17.7	(0.7)	25.1	(0.7)	23.9	(0.7)	22.9	(0.9)
Newfoundland and Labrador	U‡	(0.1)	3.9	(1.2)	14.0	(2.0)	24.7	(3.1)	26.8	(2.3)	18.8	(2.2)	11.8	(3.3)
Prince Edward Island	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(1.9)	11.4	(2.8)	22.6	(3.4)	28.7	(4.4)	21.4	(3.8)	U	(6.0)
Nova Scotia	U‡	(0.1)	U	(0.9)	11.0	(1.7)	24.2	(2.2)	26.5	(1.9)	21.1	(2.4)	14.6	(2.3)
New Brunswick	U‡	(0.1)	3.7	(1.1)	12.9	(2.3)	23.0	(2.6)	27.2	(2.4)	20.0	(2.0)	13.2	(3.2)
Quebec	U‡	(0.0)	2.2	(0.7)	7.8	(1.7)	18.5	(2.8)	27.3	(2.6)	25.4	(2.4)	18.8	(4.2)
Ontario	U‡	(0.0)	1.7	(0.3)	6.8	(0.7)	16.5	(1.0)	24.9	(1.3)	25.2	(1.1)	24.8	(1.4)
Manitoba	U‡	(0.2)	2.9	(0.6)	10.0	(1.0)	22.9	(1.6)	28.5	(1.6)	21.9	(1.5)	13.8	(1.9)
Saskatchewan	U‡	(0.1)	2.9	(0.7)	12.0	(1.1)	23.5	(1.8)	27.8	(1.5)	20.8	(1.8)	13.0	(1.5)
Alberta	U‡	(0.1)	2.4‡	(0.8)	7.6	(1.4)	15.4	(1.8)	22.7	(1.9)	23.4	(1.7)	28.5	(2.6)
British Columbia	U‡	(0.1)	2.9	(0.7)	8.6	(1.1)	17.7	(1.3)	25.4	(1.5)	23.6	(1.4)	21.8	(2.2)
Francophone school systems														
Canada	U‡	(0.1)	3.7	(0.6)	9.9	(1.0)	21.1	(1.3)	27.2	(1.2)	21.7	(1.3)	16.2	(1.6)
Nova Scotia	U‡	(0.3)	U‡	(1.6)	10.0‡	(2.5)	25.0	(4.8)	26.2	(3.7)	23.0	(4.9)	13.4	(2.6)
New Brunswick	U‡	(0.2)	4.8‡	(1.6)	14.2	(2.9)	24.4	(3.6)	25.9	(3.3)	18.6	(3.3)	12.0	(3.2)
Quebec	U‡	(0.1)	3.7	(0.6)	9.6	(1.1)	20.6	(1.5)	27.1	(1.3)	22.0	(1.4)	16.8	(1.8)
Ontario	U‡	(0.2)	3.2	(1.0)	12.5	(1.7)	24.7	(2.3)	27.3	(1.8)	19.5	(2.3)	12.6	(1.9)
Manitoba	U‡	(0.4)	U‡	(1.8)	11.5	(3.2)	29.3	(3.6)	28.9	(3.6)	16.3	(2.9)	U‡	(3.4)
Saskatchewan	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(3.4)	U‡	(5.9)	U‡	(9.6)	U‡	(10.3)	U‡	(7.0)	U‡	(7.7)
Alberta	U‡	(0.6)	U‡	(2.2)	12.2‡	(3.5)	24.1	(5.0)	26.8	(5.2)	20.2‡	(4.8)	12.5‡	(4.0)
British Columbia	0.0‡	(0.0)	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(1.9)	32.1	(5.7)	53.2	(5.3)	U‡	(4.9)	U‡	(0.8)

SE Standard error

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

٩
6
\mathbf{m}
_
Ð
_
<u>_</u>
ച

Percentage of students in anglophone and francophone school systems who performed below Level 3, at Level 3 or above, and at

Levels 5 and 6: CREATIVE THINKING

			Below L	evel 3				-	-evel 3 or	above					Levels 5	and 6		
Canada or province	Anglopl scho systei	hone ol ns	Francor scho syste	ohone Sol ms	Differe (A -	ence F)	Angloph schoo syster	ione Jo ns	Francopl schoo systen	hone ol ns	Differe (A - I	nce =)	Angloph schoo systen	one Ja	Francop scho syster	hone ol ms	Differe (A -	ence F)
	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	10.4	(0.5)	13.8	(1.3)	-3.4*	(1.3)	89.6	(0.5)	86.2	(1.3)	3.4*	(1.3)	46.8	(1.0)	37.9	(1.9)	* 6.8	(2.2)
Newfoundland and Labrador	18.0**	(2.7)	ł	1	ł	1	82.0**	(2.7)	;	ł	ł	ł	30.6**	(4.7)	:	ł	ł	ł
Prince Edward Island	14.3	(4.0)	ł	ł	ł	1	85.7	(4.0)	1	ł	ł	ł	34.4	(7.9)	1	I	ł	ł
Nova Scotia	13.6	(2.2)	12.4‡	(3.2)	1.3	(4.5)	86.4	(2.2)	87.6	(3.2)	-1.3	(4.5)	35.7**	(3.6)	36.4	(5.5)	-0.7	(6.1)
New Brunswick	16.7**	(3.0)	19.1	(3.9)	-2.4	(4.5)	83.3**	(3.0)	80.9	(3.9)	2.4	(4.5)	33.1^{**}	(4.3)	30.6	(5.3)	2.5	(6.1)
Quebec	10.0	(2.0)	13.5	(1.4)	-3.5	(2.4)	0.06	(2.0)	86.5	(1.4)	3.5	(2.4)	44.2	(5.5)	38.8**	(2.1)	5.5	(5.3)
Ontario	8.6**	(0.8)	15.9	(2.3)	-7.3*	(2.4)	91.4**	(0.8)	84.1	(2.3)	7.3*	(2.4)	50.0**	(1.6)	32.1	(3.0)	17.9*	(3.4)
Manitoba	13.0	(1.4)	16.3	(3.9)	-3.4	(4.4)	87.0	(1.4)	83.7	(3.9)	3.4	(4.4)	35.7**	(2.3)	25.4**	(4.6)	10.3	(5.5)
Saskatchewan	14.9**	(1.4)	‡∩	(6.3)	ł	ł	85.1**	(1.4)	85.6	(6.3)	-0.5	(6.3)	33.8**	(2.4)	ŧ0	(10.0)	ł	ł
Alberta	10.1	(1.7)	16.3‡	(4.3)	-6.2	(4.6)	89.9	(1.7)	83.7	(4.3)	6.2	(4.6)	51.8	(3.0)	32.7	(6.5)	19.1^{*}	(6.9)
British Columbia	11.6	(1.4)	tu †	(1.9)	ł	1	88.4	(1.4)	97.8**	(1.9)	-9.4*	(2.4)	45.3	(2.7)	n#	(5.3)	I	I
SE Standard error Dif. Difference																		

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.
 * Significant difference within Canada or province.
 ** Significant difference compared to Canada.
 ** Significant difference number of Canada.

Ave	erage scores by la	nguage of the	e school system: C	REATIVE THINK	ING	
	Anglophone sch	ool systems	Francophone sch	ool systems	Difference (A - F)
Canada or province	Average	Standard error	Average	Standard error	Difference	Standard error
Canada	38.4	(0.3)	36.1	(0.5)	2.3*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.1**	(1.3)				
Prince Edward Island	35.5	(1.8)				
Nova Scotia	35.7**	(0.9)	35.8	(1.2)	-0.1	(1.5)
New Brunswick	34.8**	(1.3)	33.9	(1.4)	1.0	(1.7)
Quebec	37.9	(1.2)	36.3**	(0.5)	1.5	(1.2)
Ontario	39.3**	(0.4)	34.7	(0.7)	4.6*	(0.8)
Manitoba	35.8**	(0.6)	33.2**	(1.4)	2.6	(1.6)
Saskatchewan	35.2**	(0.6)	34.0	(2.1)	1.2	(2.1)
Alberta	39.6	(0.7)	34.5	(1.5)	5.1*	(1.7)
British Columbia	38.0	(0.7)	34.3	(1.0)	3.6*	(1.2)

-- Not available.

* Significant difference within Canada or province. ** Significant difference compared to Canada. *Note:* Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, only results for English-language schools are available for these provinces.

Perce	ntage	of stu	dents a	nt eac	h profici	ency l	evel by	gender	: CREA		INKING	i		
							Proficie	ency leve	ls					
- Canada or province	Belo Leve)w 1	Leve	el 1	Leve	12	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 5	Leve	16
-	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE
Girls														
Canada	U‡	(0.0)	1.7	(0.2)	6.9	(0.6)	16.6	(0.8)	25.5	(0.8)	24.8	(0.8)	24.6	(1.0)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(1.1)	10.1	(2.2)	22.3	(3.6)	27.6	(2.8)	23.1	(2.7)	15.1	(4.3)
Prince Edward Island	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(1.8)	U‡	(3.6)	19.4	(4.3)	31.5	(6.2)	24.5	(5.3)	U‡	(6.4)
Nova Scotia	U‡	(0.1)	U‡	(0.8)	8.1	(1.7)	21.6	(2.8)	28.0	(2.9)	23.9	(3.1)	17.2	(3.4)
New Brunswick	U‡	(0.1)	U‡	(1.0)	10.8	(2.2)	20.4	(2.9)	27.8	(2.9)	21.5	(2.4)	16.9	(3.5)
Quebec	U‡	(0.1)	2.3	(0.5)	8.0	(1.2)	18.7	(2.0)	27.9	(1.4)	23.7	(1.6)	19.3	(2.1)
Ontario	U‡	(0.0)	1.1	(0.3)	5.6	(0.8)	15.1	(1.2)	24.2	(1.2)	26.4	(1.3)	27.6	(1.6)
Manitoba	U‡	(0.1)	2.1‡	(0.7)	8.0	(1.2)	20.9	(2.0)	28.8	(2.1)	23.5	(2.2)	16.7	(2.4)
Saskatchewan	U‡	(0.1)	2.2‡	(0.7)	9.8	(1.5)	21.2	(2.4)	28.5	(2.7)	22.8	(3.0)	15.4	(2.2)
Alberta	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(0.8)	6.3	(1.6)	13.7	(2.2)	22.3	(2.2)	23.7	(2.6)	32.2	(3.4)
British Columbia	U‡	(0.1)	U‡	(0.7)	7.0	(1.5)	16.1	(1.9)	25.5	(2.1)	24.6	(2.2)	24.8	(3.2)
Boys														
Canada	U‡	(0.1)	3.5	(0.4)	10.0	(0.6)	20.2	(0.7)	25.6	(0.9)	22.1	(0.8)	18.4	(0.8)
Newfoundland and Labrador	U‡	(0.3)	5.9‡	(1.9)	17.5	(2.8)	26.8	(3.5)	26.0	(2.8)	14.9	(2.8)	U	(3.0)
Prince Edward Island	0.0‡	(0.0)	U‡	(2.9)	13.3‡	(3.8)	25.1	(4.5)	26.3	(5.5)	18.6	(5.3)	U‡	(7.1)
Nova Scotia	U‡	(0.1)	U‡	(1.3)	13.7	(2.2)	26.6	(2.7)	25.1	(2.5)	18.6	(3.0)	12.1	(2.1)
New Brunswick	U‡	(0.2)	5.5	(1.4)	15.7	(2.6)	26.3	(2.2)	26.0	(2.8)	17.6	(2.5)	8.9	(2.4)
Quebec	U‡	(0.2)	4.8	(0.8)	10.9	(1.1)	22.1	(1.5)	26.4	(1.8)	21.0	(1.6)	14.7	(1.7)
Ontario	U‡	(0.1)	2.5	(0.4)	8.4	(0.9)	18.6	(1.4)	25.7	(2.0)	23.6	(1.4)	21.1	(1.7)
Manitoba	U‡	(0.3)	3.7	(0.9)	12.0	(1.6)	25.2	(2.3)	28.2	(1.9)	19.9	(2.2)	10.8	(1.8)
Saskatchewan	U‡	(0.2)	3.5	(1.0)	13.9	(1.5)	25.7	(2.3)	27.2	(1.9)	18.9	(1.6)	10.7	(1.5)
Alberta	U‡	(0.3)	U‡	(1.0)	9.1	(1.9)	17.1	(2.1)	23.1	(3.2)	23.0	(2.6)	24.3	(3.2)
British Columbia	U‡	(0.2)	3.9	(1.0)	10.1	(1.4)	19.3	(1.7)	25.5	(1.9)	22.4	(2.1)	18.6	(2.1)

SE Standard error ‡ There are fewer than 30 observations. U Too unreliable to be published.

Percei	ntage o	f boys ;	and girls	who p	erforme	sd belo	w Level	3, at Le	svel 3 or	above,	and at	Levels	5 and 6	: CREA	FIVE THI	NKING		
			Below L	evel 3					Level 3 or	above					Levels 5	and 6		
Canada or province	Girl	<u>s</u>	Boy	Š	Differe (G - I	ince 3)	Girl	S	Boy	S	Differer (G - B) ce	Girl	s	Boy	s	Differe (G - E) (
	%	SE	%	S	Dif.	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	8.6	(0.6)	13.6	(0.7)	-5.1*	(0.8)	91.4	(0.6)	86.4	(0.7)	5.1*	(0.8)	49.3	(1.0)	40.5	(1.1)	8.8*	(1.2)
Newfoundland and Labrador	11.9	(2.8)	23.5**	(3.4)	-11.6*	(3.2)	88.1	(2.8)	76.5**	(3.4)	11.6*	(3.2)	38.3**	(5.2)	23.7**	(5.0)	14.6*	(3.9)
Prince Edward Island	#	(4.2)	17.5	(5.4)	ł	I	89.2	(4.2)	82.5	(5.4)	6.7	(5.1)	38.3	(8.3)	31.1	(0.1)	7.2	(7.4)
Nova Scotia	9.3	(2.0)	17.5	(2.7)	-8.2*	(2.6)	90.7	(2.0)	82.5	(2.7)	8.2*	(2.6)	41.2	(4.3)	30.7**	(3.7)	10.5*	(3.9)
New Brunswick	13.4	(2.8)	21.2**	(3.1)	-7.9*	(3.0)	86.6	(2.8)	78.8**	(3.1)	7.9*	(3.0)	38.4**	(4.6)	26.5**	(3.7)	11.9^{*}	(3.9)
Quebec	10.4	(1.4)	15.9	(1.5)	-5.6*	(1.6)	89.6	(1.4)	84.1	(1.5)	5.6*	(1.6)	43.1**	(2.5)	35.6**	(2.2)	7.5*	(2.0)
Ontario	6.7**	(6.0)	11.0^{**}	(1.0)	-4.3*	(1.0)	93.3**	(0.0)	89.0**	(1.0)	4.3*	(1.0)	54.1**	(1.6)	44.7**	(2.1)	9.3*	(2.2)
Manitoba	10.1	(1.4)	15.9	(1.9)	-5.8*	(2.1)	89.9	(1.4)	84.1	(1.9)	5.8*	(2.1)	40.2**	(2.8)	30.7**	(2.7)	9.4*	(3.0)
Saskatchewan	12.1	(1.7)	17.5	(1.8)	-5.4*	(2.2)	87.9	(1.7)	82.5	(1.8)	5.4*	(2.2)	38.2**	(3.8)	29.6**	(2.1)	8.6*	(3.8)
Alberta	8.0	(1.9)	12.4	(2.3)	-4.4	(2.6)	92.0	(1.9)	87.6	(2.3)	4.4	(2.6)	55.9**	(3.4)	47.4**	(3.5)	8.6*	(3.5)
British Columbia	9.0	(1.5)	14.1	(2.0)	-5.2*	(2.1)	91.0	(1.5)	85.9	(2.0)	5.2*	(2.1)	49.3	(3.1)	41.0	(3.2)	8.3*	(3.1)
SE Standard error Dif. Difference																		

Not available.
 There are fewer than 30 observations.
 U Too unreliable to be published.
 * Significant difference within Canada or province.

Table B.1.8b

	Average score	s by gender:	CREATIVE THIN	KING		
	Girls	5	Boys		Difference (G - B)
Canada, province, or OECD average	Average	Standard error	Average	Standard error	Difference	Standard error
Canada	39.3	(0.3)	36.6	(0.3)	2.6*	(0.3)
Newfoundland and Labrador	36.4**	(1.3)	32.0**	(1.4)	4.4*	(1.0)
Prince Edward Island	36.8	(1.8)	34.3	(2.1)	2.4	(1.4)
Nova Scotia	37.4	(1.0)	34.1**	(0.9)	3.3*	(0.8)
New Brunswick	36.5**	(1.3)	32.7**	(1.0)	3.8*	(0.9)
Quebec	37.7**	(0.6)	35.3**	(0.6)	2.5*	(0.6)
Ontario	40.4**	(0.4)	37.9**	(0.4)	2.5*	(0.4)
Manitoba	37.2**	(0.6)	34.4**	(0.7)	2.8*	(0.7)
Saskatchewan	36.5**	(0.8)	33.9**	(0.5)	2.6*	(0.7)
Alberta	40.9**	(0.9)	38.2**	(0.8)	2.7*	(0.8)
British Columbia	39.2	(0.7)	36.7	(0.8)	2.5*	(0.7)
OECD average	34.1**	(0.1)	31.3**	(0.1)	2.7*	(0.1)

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. ** Significant difference compared to Canada.

				Ave	rage score	es by im	migrant s	status: Cl	REATIVE	THINKIN	DI					
Canada, province, or OECD average	Noi immig stude	n- grant ents	Immig stude	rant nts	Secor genera immig stud <u>e</u>	ıd- tion nts	First genera immig stude	t- trion nts	Differ (immi£ stude - no immig stude	ence (rant nts rant nts)	Differe (seco genera stude - no immig stude stude	ince nd- tion nts nts)	Differe (firs genera stude - nol immig studel	ence t- ftion nts n- nts)	Differe (first genera stude - secol genera studer	nce tion nts tion
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	38.1	(0.2)	38.8	(0.4)	40.2	(0.5)	37.3	(0.5)	0.7	(0.4)	2.0*	(0.5)	-0.8	(9.0)	-2.9*	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.3**	(1.3)	32.9**	(2.5)	36.7‡	(9.9)	32.3	(2.7)	-1.4	(2.3)	2.3	(9.9)	-2.1	(2.5)	-4.4	(7.2)
Prince Edward Island	36.3	(2.1)	36.2‡	(3.6)	29.4‡	(9.6)	36.9‡	(3.6)	-0.1	(3.5)	-6.9	(6.4)	0.6	(3.6)	7.5	(9.6)
Nova Scotia	35.7**	(0.9)	39.8	(2.0)	40.7	(3.0)	39.3	(2.3)	4.1^{*}	(1.8)	5.0	(2.8)	3.6	(2.1)	-1.4	(3.3)
New Brunswick	34.9**	(1.1)	35.5	(1.8)	34.2‡	(4.5)	35.6	(1.9)	0.6	(1.5)	-0.7	(4.1)	0.8	(1.8)	1.5	(4.8)
Quebec	37.9	(0.6)	34.7**	(0.8)	35.5**	(1.2)	33.8**	(1.0)	-3.2*	(0.8)	-2.4*	(1.0)	-4.0*	(1.2)	-1.7	(1.5)
Ontario	39.2**	(0.4)	40.2**	(0.6)	41.3**	(0.6)	38.4	(6.0)	1.0	(0.7)	2.1*	(0.6)	-0.8	(1.0)	-2.9*	(0.0)
Manitoba	35.9**	(0.7)	36.6**	(6.0)	37.0**	(1.5)	36.4	(6.0)	0.7	(1.0)	1.2	(1.4)	0.5	(1.1)	-0.6	(1.5)
Saskatchewan	35.4**	(0.5)	36.3**	(1.1)	38.1	(1.6)	35.8	(1.4)	0.9	(1.0)	2.7	(1.5)	0.4	(1.2)	-2.3	(1.9)
Alberta	39.6**	(0.8)	39.9	(1.2)	41.7	(1.5)	38.3	(1.3)	0.3	(1.2)	2.1	(1.5)	-1.4	(1.3)	-3.5*	(1.4)
British Columbia	38.0	(0.7)	39.5	(0.0)	40.5	(1.2)	38.6	(1.1)	1.5	(0.0)	2.5*	(1.1)	0.5	(1.1)	-1.9	(1.4)
OECD average	33.4**	(0.1)	28.9**	(0.2)	30.7**	(0.3)	27.0**	(0.3)	-4.4*	(0.2)	-2.7*	(0.3)	-6.4*	(0.3)	-3.7*	(0.4)
Av. Average SE Standard error Dif. Difference																

There are fewer than 30 observations.
 * Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.
 ** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Table B.1.10

Percentage of s	students	by im	migrant	status v	vho pei	formec	at Leve	l 3 or ak	ove an	d at Lev	els 5 ar	d 6: CRE	ATIVE T	THINKIN	(7)	
								Level 3 c	or above							
-	No immig stude	n- grant ents	Immig stude	rant nts	Seco gener immi _s studi	nd- ation grant ents	Firs genera immig stude	tt- ation grant ents	Differ (immi, stude - no	ence grant ents n-	Differ (secc genera stude	ence nd- ation	Differe (firs genera stude	ence tt- ation	Differe (firs genera stude	ence t- tion nts
Canada or province									immig stude	grant ints)	- nc immig stude	n- trant nts)	- no immig stude	n- trant nts)	- seco genera studei	nd- tion 1ts)
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	89.1	(0.7)	89.3	(0.8)	92.1	(1.0)	86.1	(1.3)	0.2	(1.0)	3.0*	(1.0)	-3.0*	(1.5)	-6.0*	(1.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	82.5**	(2.7)	79.6	(8.2)	87.2‡	(22.0)	78.3‡	(8.7)	-2.9	(8.3)	4.7	(22.5)	-4.2	(8.7)	-9.0	(23.7)
Prince Edward Island	88.0	(4.2)	78.8‡	(11.1)	ŧ	(39.4)	81.6‡	(10.6)	-9.2	(10.8)	I	I	-6.5	(10.7)	I	!
Nova Scotia	86.0	(2.2)	91.5	(4.6)	93.6	(6.2)	90.4	(5.9)	5.5	(2.0)	7.6	(6.2)	4.4	(6.3)	-3.2	(8.0)
New Brunswick	83.1**	(2.7)	83.0	(9.9)	77.8‡	(19.9)	83.7	(7.1)	-0.1	(9.9)	-5.3	(19.0)	0.6	(7.5)	5.9	(21.5)
Quebec	88.9	(1.5)	81.7**	(2.3)	83.6**	(2.9)	79.9**	(3.3)	-7.1*	(2.7)	-5.3	(2.8)	*0.6-	(3.8)	-3.6	(4.1)
Ontario	91.1^{**}	(1.0)	92.2**	(1.0)	94.6**	(1.0)	88.3	(1.9)	1.2	(1.2)	3.6*	(1.2)	-2.8	(2.1)	-6.4*	(2.1)
Manitoba	86.8	(1.6)	87.9	(2.2)	87.4	(4.2)	88.0	(2.5)	1.0	(2.6)	0.6	(4.1)	1.2	(3.0)	0.6	(4.7)
Saskatchewan	85.7	(1.4)	86.1	(2.8)	88.2	(4.8)	85.4	(3.3)	0.3	(2.8)	2.4	(4.9)	-0.3	(3.3)	-2.7	(5.9)
Alberta	90.5	(2.0)	88.9	(2.5)	92.3	(2.9)	85.9	(3.4)	-1.5	(2.7)	1.8	(3.0)	-4.5	(3.6)	-6.3	(4.0)
British Columbia	88.2	(1.8)	90.8	(2.1)	93.5	(2.3)	88.3	(3.0)	2.6	(2.6)	5.3	(2.8)	0.2	(3.4)	-5.1	(3.4)
 SE Standard error Difference - Not available. U Too unreliable to be published. # There are fewer than 30 observation * Significant difference within Canada a 	is. or province. Canada.															

		5		-			Levels	5 and 6							
Canada or province	Non- immigrant students	Immi	grant ents	Seco genera immi <u>e</u> stude	nd- grant ents	Fir gener stud	st- ation grant ents	Diffe (immi stud immi studa	ence grant ents on- grant ents)	Differ (secc gener studa - no immij	ence ond- ation ents on- grant ents)	Differ (fir gener studa immi	ence st- ation ents 2n- grant ents)	Differ (fir gener stud gener stude	ence st- ation ents ond- ation
	% SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	45.7 (1.0)	48.8	(1.7)	53.4	(2.0)	43.5	(2.3)	3.0	(1.9)	7.7*	(2.0)	-2.2	(2.7)	*6.6-	(2.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	31.5** (4.9)	5	(10.1)	;	(28.3)	₿	(10.8)	:	ł	ł	ł	:	ł	ł	I
Prince Edward Island	37.0 (9.3)	#⊃	(15.8)	‡∩	(29.4)	#	(16.8)	1	I	ł	ł	1	I	ł	I
Nova Scotia	35.8** (3.7)	52.6	(8.3)	55.2‡	(16.4)	51.3	(10.4)	16.8	(8.8)	19.4	(16.1)	15.4	(6.6)	-3.9	(18.3)
New Brunswick	33.7** (4.0)	37.2	(7.7)	‡∩	(18.7)	37.8	(8.3)	3.5	(6.9)	1	I	4.2	(2.6)	ł	I
Quebec	44.8 (2.4)	34.2**	(3.2)	37.0**	(5.1)	31.5**	(3.8)	-10.6*	(3.3)	-7.8	(4.5)	-13.4*	(4.7)	-5.5	(6.3)
Ontario	49.8** (1.8)	53.2**	(2.7)	56.8	(3.2)	47.3	(3.9)	3.5	(3.1)	7.1*	(3.3)	-2.5	(4.5)	-9.6*	(4.6)
Manitoba	36.6** (2.8)	38.4**	(3.9)	40.6**	(5.9)	37.6	(4.3)	1.9	(4.7)	4.0	(0.9)	1.0	(2.3)	-3.0	(6.2)
Saskatchewan	34.3** (2.4)	39.0**	(4.6)	46.6	(7.9)	36.6	(2.0)	4.6	(4.5)	12.3	(7.4)	2.3	(5.1)	-10.0	(8.2)
Alberta	51.4 (3.3)	54.3	(4.8)	61.0	(9.9)	48.3	(4.9)	2.9	(2.0)	9.7	(6.5)	-3.0	(5.4)	-12.7	(9.9)
British Columbia	46.0 (2.9)	50.5	(3.8)	54.2	(5.2)	47.2	(4.3)	4.5	(3.6)	8.2	(4.9)	1.2	(4.3)	-7.0	(5.8)
 SE Standard error Difference - Not available. U Too unreliable to be published. ‡ There are fewer than 30 observatior * Significant difference within Canada. 	ls. or province. Canada.														

Table B.1.11 (cont'd)

PISA 2022 Creative Thinking

85

Aver	age score	s by la	nguage s	poken	at home	e: CREA		IINKIN	G			
Canada or province	Engli	sh	Frenc	h	Othe	er	Differ (Engli Fren	ence sh - ch)	Diffe (Enຊ Ot	rence glish - her)	Differ (Fren Oth	ence ch - er)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	38.4	(0.3)	37.2	(0.5)	37.9	(0.5)	1.2*	(0.6)	0.5	(0.5)	-0.8	(0.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.2**	(1.3)	18.6‡**	(6.2)	33.2	(3.1)	15.7*	(6.4)	1.1	(2.9)	-14.6*	(6.9)
Prince Edward Island	35.8	(2.2)	37.0‡	(4.6)	35.1‡	(4.1)	-1.3	(4.9)	0.7	(4.5)	2.0	(5.6)
Nova Scotia	35.6**	(0.9)	37.1	(2.0)	38.3	(2.1)	-1.5	(2.2)	-2.7	(2.0)	-1.2	(3.1)
New Brunswick	34.9**	(1.3)	33.8**	(1.4)	35.2	(2.3)	1.1	(1.6)	-0.3	(2.0)	-1.4	(2.2)
Quebec	36.4	(1.0)	37.3	(0.6)	34.1**	(1.1)	-0.9	(1.0)	2.3	(1.3)	3.2*	(1.1)
Ontario	39.4**	(0.4)	37.1	(1.0)	39.2**	(0.8)	2.3*	(1.1)	0.2	(0.8)	-2.1	(1.3)
Manitoba	35.9**	(0.6)	33.3**	(2.0)	34.7**	(1.0)	2.6	(1.8)	1.2	(1.1)	-1.4	(2.5)
Saskatchewan	35.5**	(0.5)	28.0‡**	(3.1)	34.7**	(1.3)	7.5*	(3.1)	0.8	(1.1)	-6.7*	(3.3)
Alberta	39.7**	(0.8)	40.6	(2.9)	39.1	(1.4)	-0.9	(2.7)	0.6	(1.3)	1.5	(3.2)
British Columbia	38.1	(0.7)	35.6‡	(4.2)	38.6	(0.9)	2.5	(4.2)	-0.5	(0.8)	-3.0	(4.3)

Av. Average SE Standard error Dif. Difference

* There are fewer than 30 observations.
* Significant difference within Canada or province.
** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Percentage of student:	s by lang	lage spo	ken at hon	ie who pe	rformed at	Level 3 of	above an	d at Level	s 5 and 6: Cl	REATIVE TH	DNIN	
Canada or province	Eng	ish	Fre	Jch	đ	er Level 3	Diffe Diffe (Eng	rence lish - nch)	Differ (English	ence - Other)	Differ (Frei Oth	ence nch - er)
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	89.3	(0.5)	87.1	(1.4)	87.3	(1.2)	2.2	(1.4)	2.0	(1.2)	-0.2	(1.8)
Newfoundland and Labrador	82.4**	(2.8)	‡∩	(31.4)	78.8‡	(11.3)	;	1	3.6	(11.2)	1	ł
Prince Edward Island	85.5	(4.8)	95.3‡	(11.0)	75.7‡	(13.2)	-9.8	(12.8)	9.8	(13.4)	19.6	(16.9)
Nova Scotia	85.7	(2.2)	91.9	(4.9)	87.1	(5.7)	-6.2	(0.9)	-1.4	(5.5)	4.8	(7.7)
New Brunswick	83.0**	(3.1)	80.7	(4.5)	81.9	(7.4)	2.3	(2.0)	1.1	(7.5)	-1.2	(8.5)
Quebec	86.1	(2.2)	87.4	(1.5)	80.3**	(3.2)	-1.2	(2.3)	5.8	(3.5)	7.1*	(3.5)
Ontario	91.2**	(0.8)	86.6	(2.6)	91.0**	(1.4)	4.5	(2.7)	0.2	(1.4)	-4.4	(2.7)
Manitoba	86.5	(1.5)	84.8	(0.7)	84.1	(3.9)	1.7	(6.7)	2.4	(4.3)	0.7	(8.8)
Saskatchewan	85.8**	(1.4)	63.6‡	(16.3)	82.8	(3.7)	22.2	(16.1)	2.9	(3.8)	-19.3	(17.2)
Alberta	90.4	(1.8)	92.7	(7.2)	86.4	(3.4)	-2.3	(2.3)	4.0	(3.4)	6.3	(8.0)
British Columbia	88.5	(1.5)	85.0‡	(12.0)	88.1	(2.2)	3.5	(12.2)	0.4	(2.3)	-3.1	(12.3)
Canada or province						Level	: 5 and 6					
	Eng	ish	Fre	lch	Qt	er	Diffe (Eng Era	rence lish -	Differ (English	ence - Other)	Differ (Frer Oth	ence Ich -
	9	CE	6	CE	70	U	9 	CE	ţ	G	Ji C	CE CE
Canada Anota	v v	1 0	° Ç	1.00	V V	1. 1.		JL (2 2)		1. 5		1 C
Newfoundland and Lahrador	2.04 **0 15	(0.12)	7.7	(12.2)	r, ±	(13 A)	î :		2		7 : 7 :	
Prince Edward Island	36.1	(9.5)	5 #	(26.2)	5 5	(17.4)	ł	I	ł	ł	ł	ł
Nova Scotia	35.9**	(3.8)	40.0‡	(11.0)	48.2‡	(6.3)	-4.2	(10.9)	-12.3	(6.3)	-8.1	(14.2)
New Brunswick	33.8**	(4.4)	30.4**	(5.7)	36.8‡	(0.1)	3.4	(6.4)	-3.0	(8.6)	-6.4	(8.4)
Quebec	40.3	(4.4)	43.1	(2.3)	32.8**	(4.1)	-2.8	(4.2)	7.5	(4.9)	10.3*	(4.4)
Ontario	50.7**	(1.7)	43.4	(2.2)	49.4	(3.8)	7.3	(2.2)	1.3	(4.1)	-6.0	(7.1)
Manitoba	36.9**	(2.5)	23.1**	(7.3)	32.7**	(4.1)	13.8*	(6.9)	4.2	(4.7)	-9.6	(0.1)
Saskatchewan	35.0**	(2.4)	‡∩	(11.4)	32.8**	(4.8)	I	I	2.1	(4.5)	I	I
Alberta	52.1	(3.2)	52.8‡	(15.2)	52.4	(2.2)	-0.7	(14.6)	-0.3	(5.5)	0.4	(16.1)
British Columbia	46.2	(2.8)	‡∩	(16.6)	48.9	(3.7)	1	ł	-2.8	(3.3)	;	ł
 SE Standard error Dif. Difference Not available. U Too unreliable to be published. ‡ There are fewer than 30 observations. 												
* Significant difference within Canada or prov. ** Significant difference compared to Canada.	ince.											

87

Α	/erage	e score	es by ind	ex of	economi	c, soc	ial, and c	ultura	l status (ES	SCS): C	REATIVE T	HINKI	NG	
Country, province, or OECD average	Bot qua	tom rter	Sec qua	ond rter	Th qua	ird rter	To qua	op irter	Differd (top qu - bott quar	ence larter tom ter)	Chang the ave score one (int unit ch in the inde	ge in per teger) ange ESCS	Ex va in perf (r ²	plained priance student ormance x 100)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE
Uzbekistan	13.4	(0.3)	13.9	(0.3)	14.5	(0.4)	16.2	(0.5)	2.8*	(0.6)	1.1*	(0.2)	1.5	(0.5)
Kazakhstan	21.5	(0.4)	23.0	(0.4)	23.9	(0.4)	27.0	(0.4)	5.5*	(0.6)	2.6*	(0.3)	3.0	(0.6)
Dominican Republic	13.3	(0.3)	14.5	(0.3)	15.7	(0.3)	19.0	(0.4)	5.7*	(0.4)	2.1*	(0.2)	5.8	(0.8)
Indonesia	16.4	(0.5)	18.0	(0.5)	19.3	(0.5)	22.3	(0.7)	5.9*	(0.7)	2.3*	(0.3)	4.9	(1.1)
Morocco	13.0	(0.6)	14.9	(0.5)	15.0	(0.6)	19.0	(1.1)	6.0*	(1.1)	1.8*	(0.3)	4.3	(1.5)
Hong Kong (China)	29.0	(0.4)	31.0	(0.4)	32.0	(0.4)	35.1	(0.7)	6.1*	(0.9)	2.4*	(0.3)	5.1	(1.4)
Latvia	32.2	(0.4)	33.9	(0.4)	35.9	(0.3)	38.4	(0.4)	6.2*	(0.5)	2.9*	(0.2)	8.5	(1.3)
British Columbia	34.9	(0.9)	37.7	(0.9)	39.4	(0.8)	41.1	(0.8)	6.2*	(1.1)	3.2*	(0.5)	4.6	(1.4)
Jordan	17.8	(0.4)	19.2	(0.4)	20.0	(0.5)	24.1	(0.6)	6.3*	(0.8)	2.1*	(0.3)	3.7	(0.9)
Jamaica	23.1	(0.7)	25.1	(0.8)	26.4	(0.7)	29.5	(0.7)	6.4*	(0.7)	2.6*	(0.3)	3.4	(0.8)
Croatia	27.6	(0.4)	29.2	(0.4)	31.1	(0.4)	34.0	(0.4)	6.5*	(0.6)	3.0*	(0.3)	5.8	(1.0)
Albania	10.9	(0.3)	12.3	(0.4)	12.9	(0.4)	17.4	(0.6)	6.5*	(0.6)	2.2*	(0.2)	5.0	(0.9)
Korea	34.7	(0.5)	37.2	(0.5)	39.4	(0.6)	41.2	(0.5)	6.5*	(0.7)	3.2*	(0.3)	6.4	(1.2)
Saskatchewan	32.3	(0.9)	34.1	(0.9)	35.9	(0.7)	38.9	(0.7)	6.6*	(1.0)	3.3*	(0.5)	5.9	(1.8)
Estonia	32.7	(0.5)	35.1	(0.4)	36.7	(0.4)	39.2	(0.5)	6.6*	(0.7)	3.2*	(0.3)	6.9	(1.3)
Baku (Azerbaijan)	19.8	(0.4)	22.3	(0.3)	23.3	(0.4)	26.4	(0.5)	6.6*	(0.6)	2.6*	(0.3)	4.8	(0.9)
Denmark	31.9	(0.3)	34.7	(0.4)	37.0	(0.4)	38.5	(0.4)	6.6*	(0.5)	3.4*	(0.2)	7.6	(1.1)
Manitoba	32.3	(0.7)	35.0	(0.8)	36.8	(0.9)	39.0	(0.8)	6.6*	(1.0)	3.2*	(0.4)	6.4	(1.5)
Ontario	35.7	(0.6)	38.6	(0.5)	40.7	(0.5)	42.4	(0.5)	6.8*	(0.7)	3.5*	(0.3)	5.9	(1.2)
Macao (China)	28.3	(0.5)	30.9	(0.4)	31.9	(0.4)	35.4	(0.4)	7.1*	(0.7)	3.0*	(0.3)	6.4	(1.1)
Newfoundland and Labrador	30.7	(1.4)	33.3	(1.4)	35.1	(1.8)	37.8	(1.7)	7.1*	(1.5)	3.3*	(0.7)	6.2	(2.6)
Canada	34.3	(0.4)	37.3	(0.4)	39.3	(0.3)	41.6	(0.4)	7.3*	(0.5)	3.8*	(0.2)	6.6	(0.9)
Chile	27.5	(0.5)	30.0	(0.4)	31.4	(0.5)	34.9	(0.4)	7.4*	(0.6)	3.0*	(0.2)	6.7	(1.0)
Nova Scotia	32.3	(1.4)	34.4	(1.2)	37.1	(1.0)	39.7	(1.1)	7.5*	(1.2)	3.7*	(0.6)	7.1	(2.1)
Saudi Arabia	20.2	(0.4)	21.4	(0.4)	24.1	(0.5)	27.8	(0.5)	7.7*	(0.6)	2.6*	(0.2)	5.5	(0.9)
Palestinian Authority	14.7	(0.4)	17.9	(0.4)	19.0	(0.5)	22.5	(0.6)	7.7*	(0.6)	2.6*	(0.2)	5.7	(0.8)
New Brunswick	30.8	(1.2)	33.7	(1.4)	36.0	(1.4)	38.6	(1.4)	7.9*	(1.2)	4.0*	(0.6)	7.8	(2.2)
Spain	29.0	(0.3)	31.8	(0.3)	34.1	(0.3)	36.8	(0.3)	7.9*	(0.4)	3.0*	(0.1)	7.9	(0.8)
Alberta	35.5	(1.2)	39.1	(0.9)	40.8	(1.0)	43.3	(1.1)	7.9*	(1.4)	4.0*	(0.6)	7.0	(2.3)
Quebec	32.8	(0.7)	35.4	(0.7)	38.1	(0.7)	40.7	(0.7)	7.9*	(1.0)	4.3*	(0.5)	7.7	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	31.1	(2.4)	35.2	(2.3)	38.1	(2.6)	39.2	(2.6)	8.0*	(2.2)	3.9*	(1.1)	8.3	(4.3)
Mexico	24.8	(0.4)	28.1	(0.4)	30.0	(0.4)	33.2	(0.5)	8.4*	(0.7)	2.7*	(0.2)	10.0	(1.5)
Italy	26.8	(0.5)	31.1	(0.4)	32.7	(0.3)	35.4	(0.5)	8.6*	(0.7)	3.6*	(0.2)	9.5	(1.2)
Australia	33.0	(0.4)	36.0	(0.3)	39.4	(0.3)	41.6	(0.3)	8.6*	(0.5)	4.0*	(0.3)	9.6	(1.1)
Slovenia	25.8	(0.4)	28.7	(0.4)	31.2	(0.4)	34.4	(0.4)	8.7*	(0.6)	3.9*	(0.3)	10.1	(1.4)
Serbia	24.3	(0.5)	27.8	(0.4)	30.0	(0.5)	33.1	(0.6)	8.8*	(0.7)	4.3*	(0.3)	9.5	(1.4)
United Arab Emirates	23.1	(0.3)	28.2	(0.3)	31.9	(0.3)	31.9	(0.3)	8.8*	(0.4)	4.2*	(0.2)	4.7	(0.5)
Philippines	10.2	(0.3)	13.9	(0.3)	13.6	(0.7)	19.1	(1.0)	8.8*	(1.0)	3.0*	(0.3)	6.7	(1.3)
Greece	22.5	(0.5)	26.0	(0.4)	28.2	(0.5)	31.5	(0.4)	9.0*	(0.6)	3.8*	(0.2)	11.9	(1.4)
Portugal	30.0	(0.5)	32.6	(0.4)	34.5	(0.3)	39.1	(0.4)	9.0*	(0.7)	3.0*	(0.2)	10.5	(1.4)

Table B.1.14 (cont'd)

A	verage	e scor	es <mark>by ind</mark>	ex of	economi	c, soc	ial, and c	ultura	al status (E	SCS): C	REATIVE T	HINKI	NG	
Country, province, or OECD average	Bot qua	tom rter	Sec qua	ond irter	Th qua	ird rter	Tc qua	op rter	Differ (top qu - bot quar	ence Jarter tom ter)	Chang the ave score one (int unit ch in the inde	ge in per teger) ange ESCS	Exp var in st perfo (r²)	ained iance udent rmance (100)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE
Cyprus	19.9	(0.3)	22.7	(0.3)	25.0	(0.4)	28.9	(0.4)	9.1*	(0.5)	3.7*	(0.2)	7.9	(0.8)
Thailand	17.5	(0.4)	19.0	(0.4)	20.7	(0.4)	26.8	(0.7)	9.3*	(0.8)	3.2*	(0.3)	10.5	(1.7)
Chinese Taipei	28.0	(0.6)	31.6	(0.5)	33.9	(0.5)	37.3	(0.5)	9.4*	(0.8)	3.9*	(0.3)	9.5	(1.6)
Finland	31.6	(0.4)	34.1	(0.4)	37.5	(0.4)	41.0	(0.4)	9.4*	(0.5)	4.4*	(0.2)	9.4	(0.9)
Singapore	35.7	(0.4)	40.1	(0.3)	42.9	(0.3)	45.2	(0.3)	9.5*	(0.5)	4.4*	(0.2)	14.1	(1.4)
Mongolia	20.4	(0.4)	23.1	(0.4)	26.4	(0.4)	29.9	(0.4)	9.5*	(0.5)	3.4*	(0.2)	12.2	(1.3)
Netherlands	28.0	(0.6)	30.7	(0.6)	34.6	(0.5)	37.7	(0.5)	9.6*	(0.7)	4.1*	(0.3)	9.7	(1.2)
Iceland	25.4	(0.5)	30.1	(0.5)	32.1	(0.5)	35.1	(0.5)	9.7*	(0.8)	4.7*	(0.4)	10.6	(1.6)
Malta	26.8	(0.5)	29.9	(0.5)	33.4	(0.4)	36.9	(0.5)	10.1*	(0.7)	3.9*	(0.3)	10.2	(1.3)
Belgium	30.0	(0.4)	33.5	(0.3)	37.1	(0.4)	40.1	(0.5)	10.1*	(0.6)	4.3*	(0.2)	14.6	(1.5)
Uruguay	24.0	(0.4)	27.3	(0.5)	29.7	(0.5)	34.1	(0.5)	10.1*	(0.6)	3.5*	(0.2)	13.8	(1.3)
Poland	29.5	(0.5)	33.3	(0.4)	36.1	(0.4)	39.8	(0.4)	10.3*	(0.6)	4.3*	(0.3)	12.7	(1.4)
Malaysia	20.8	(0.5)	23.6	(0.4)	25.4	(0.5)	31.1	(0.7)	10.3*	(0.8)	3.9*	(0.3)	11.4	(1.6)
Panama	18.4	(0.5)	21.8	(0.5)	23.6	(0.5)	29.1	(0.6)	10.6*	(0.8)	3.1*	(0.2)	13.7	(1.8)
Qatar	22.2	(0.4)	25.8	(0.5)	31.2	(0.5)	32.9	(0.5)	10.8*	(0.6)	4.6*	(0.3)	8.8	(0.9)
El Salvador	18.1	(0.4)	21.3	(0.4)	23.6	(0.5)	28.9	(0.7)	10.8*	(0.8)	3.4*	(0.2)	13.2	(1.7)
Lithuania	27.5	(0.4)	31.3	(0.4)	34.9	(0.4)	38.3	(0.4)	10.8*	(0.6)	4.8*	(0.3)	15.4	(1.4)
Czech Republic	27.1	(0.5)	31.8	(0.4)	34.0	(0.4)	38.1	(0.4)	10.9*	(0.6)	4.8*	(0.2)	15.0	(1.4)
Germany	28.2	(0.6)	31.8	(0.5)	34.0	(0.5)	39.2	(0.5)	11.0*	(0.7)	4.0*	(0.2)	13.1	(1.4)
Ukrainian regions (18 of 27)	20.8	(0.8)	25.7	(0.7)	28.5	(0.6)	31.9	(0.6)	11.1*	(0.8)	5.0*	(0.4)	13.4	(1.8)
France	26.9	(0.5)	31.0	(0.4)	34.7	(0.4)	38.1	(0.5)	11.2*	(0.7)	4.7*	(0.3)	16.1	(1.8)
Brazil	18.6	(0.3)	21.7	(0.3)	24.0	(0.4)	30.0	(0.5)	11.4*	(0.6)	3.9*	(0.2)	12.4	(1.1)
Moldova	18.7	(0.3)	22.1	(0.4)	24.9	(0.4)	30.1	(0.5)	11.5*	(0.6)	4.6*	(0.2)	14.9	(1.4)
Colombia	20.4	(0.5)	24.1	(0.5)	26.9	(0.6)	31.9	(0.6)	11.5*	(0.7)	3.6*	(0.2)	13.5	(1.6)
New Zealand	30.7	(0.4)	35.8	(0.4)	39.0	(0.5)	42.4	(0.4)	11.7*	(0.6)	4.8*	(0.2)	17.1	(1.7)
North Macedonia	13.9	(0.3)	17.6	(0.4)	20.2	(0.4)	25.7	(0.4)	11.8*	(0.5)	4.8*	(0.2)	12.2	(0.9)
Brunei Darussalam	18.6	(0.3)	20.9	(0.4)	24.7	(0.4)	31.1	(0.3)	12.5*	(0.5)	5.1*	(0.2)	15.6	(1.2)
Hungary	24.5	(0.5)	29.3	(0.4)	33.0	(0.4)	37.5	(0.5)	13.0*	(0.8)	5.3*	(0.3)	19.8	(1.8)
Israel	25.4	(0.5)	30.7	(0.5)	35.5	(0.5)	38.6	(0.4)	13.3*	(0.6)	5.7*	(0.2)	16.8	(1.3)
Peru	16.2	(0.4)	22.1	(0.4)	25.6	(0.5)	30.0	(0.5)	13.9*	(0.6)	4.3*	(0.2)	19.1	(1.6)
Slovak Republic	21.9	(0.6)	28.5	(0.6)	30.9	(0.4)	35.9	(0.5)	14.0*	(0.8)	5.5*	(0.3)	17.7	(1.8)
Bulgaria	13.7	(0.4)	19.0	(0.5)	23.0	(0.5)	27.9	(0.6)	14.2*	(0.8)	5.2*	(0.2)	19.5	(1.7)
Romania	18.9	(0.4)	24.4	(0.5)	28.0	(0.5)	34.0	(0.5)	15.1*	(0.7)	5.6*	(0.3)	22.7	(1.8)
OECD average	28.2	(0.1)	31.8	(0.1)	34.5	(0.1)	37.8	(0.1)	9.5*	(0.1)	4.0*	(0.0)	11.6	(0.3)

Av. Average score

SE Standard error * Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.

Note: Countries and provinces have been sorted in ascending order by the difference in score points between the bottom and top quarters. See OECD (2023b) for notes regarding Israeli statistical data and Cyprus.

Perce	ntage	and av	verage	scores o	of stude	ints by	/ stude	ints' cre	ative s	elf-eff	icacy:					
				CRE	ATIVE 1	THINK	DN									
Students' ratings of h	IOM COI	nfident	they fe	lt about	having (to do a	range	of tasks	reflecti	ve of c	reative	thinking	g skills			
	Ň	ot at all	confider	it	No	t very c	onfiden	t		Confi	dent			/ery con	fident	
canada	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Coming up with creative ideas for school projects	6.2	(0.3)	34.4*	(6.0)	20.5	(0.6)	37.9*	(0.5)	49.9	(0.7)	39.6	(0.3)	23.4	(0.5)	40.5	(0.5)
Being creative	4.8	(0.3)	35.8*	(6.0)	17.1	(0.6)	39.1	(0.6)	49.1	(0.0)	39.2	(0.4)	29.0	(0.7)	39.9	(0.4)
Telling creative stories	7.5	(0.4)	35.4*	(6.0)	25.0	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	43.9	(0.8)	39.1	(0.4)	23.6	(0.6)	40.5*	(0.4)
Expressing your ideas creatively	5.9	(0.3)	37.0*	(0.8)	22.0	(0.6)	38.1	(0.5)	46.7	(0.8)	39.2	(0.4)	25.4	(0.6)	40.0	(0.5)
Making creative drawings	13.1	(0.5)	39.0	(0.6)	27.6	(0.6)	38.7	(0.4)	36.9	(1.0)	38.4	(0.4)	22.3	(0.7)	39.8*	(0.6)
Thinking of many good ideas for science experiments	9.5	(0.4)	36.7*	(9.0)	33.4	(0.8)	39.1	(0.4)	41.9	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	15.2	(0.6)	40.0	(0.6)
Inventing new things	9.9	(0.4)	37.9	(0.7)	34.2	(0.0)	39.6	(0.3)	40.6	(0.0)	38.9	(0.5)	15.4	(0.5)	38.6	(0.5)
Thinking of many ideas for solving disagreements with people	5.4	(0.3)	33.7*	(0.8)	20.7	(0.7)	37.6*	(9.0)	51.8	(0.8)	39.0	(0.5)	22.2	(0.7)	40.8*	(0.6)
Addressing social problems like pollution	7.2	(0.3)	35.0*	(0.7)	28.3	(0.7)	38.9	(0.5)	45.7	(0.8)	39.3	(0.4)	18.8	(0.6)	39.7	(0.5)
Coming up with many good ideas for helping people in need	4.9	(0.3)	35.0*	(6.0)	20.8	(0.6)	39.2	(9.0)	51.5	(6.0)	38.9	(0.4)	22.8	(0.7)	40.1*	(0.4)
SE Standard error																

Av. Average* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1a

Table B.2.1aa

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

			С	oming	up with	creat	ive ide	eas for	school p	roject	S					
Canada, province,	Not	at all c	onfide	nt	Not	t very	confide	ent		Confi	dent		V	/ery co	nfident	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	6.2	(0.3)	34.4*	(0.9)	20.5	(0.6)	37.9*	(0.5)	49.9	(0.7)	39.6	(0.3)	23.4	(0.5)	40.5	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	11.2	(2.0)	32.7	(2.9)	20.2	(2.1)	33.8	(2.2)	50.2	(2.6)	36.0	(1.5)	18.4	(1.9)	38.6	(1.6)
Prince Edward Island	9.5‡	(2.5)	31.4	(4.9)	20.8‡	(4.2)	31.5*	(3.1)	52.6	(4.8)	38.1	(3.1)	17.1‡	(3.2)	39.2	(3.4)
Nova Scotia	6.6	(1.2)	31.8*	(2.5)	24.4	(2.3)	35.0	(1.7)	47.6	(2.6)	37.6	(1.3)	21.4	(2.1)	38.1	(1.6)
New Brunswick	8.2	(1.1)	32.1	(2.7)	19.9	(1.7)	34.9	(1.7)	50.2	(2.0)	35.9	(1.5)	21.7	(1.7)	37.1	(1.7)
Quebec	5.3	(0.5)	31.7*	(1.8)	17.1	(1.1)	36.7	(1.3)	50.1	(1.3)	37.7	(0.8)	27.5	(1.2)	39.3	(0.8)
Ontario	5.7	(0.6)	35.2*	(1.7)	22.0	(1.1)	39.5	(0.7)	49.4	(1.3)	41.1	(0.5)	22.9	(1.1)	41.9	(0.8)
Manitoba	7.0	(0.9)	33.7	(1.8)	22.8	(1.5)	35.3	(1.1)	48.2	(1.6)	37.1	(1.0)	22.0	(1.5)	37.8	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	6.7	(1.1)	31.7*	(1.9)	25.5	(1.8)	33.9*	(1.0)	51.1	(2.2)	37.6	(0.8)	16.6	(1.4)	37.6	(1.2)
Alberta	7.1	(0.9)	37.5	(2.4)	19.9	(1.8)	39.6	(1.4)	50.9	(2.2)	40.6	(1.0)	22.1	(1.4)	41.6	(1.4)
British Columbia	6.6	(0.9)	34.4*	(2.1)	20.8	(1.7)	36.7*	(1.1)	49.8	(1.6)	40.3	(1.0)	22.8	(1.5)	40.3	(1.0)
OECD average	10.0	(0.1)	29.6*	(0.2)	27.9	(0.2)	32.8*	(0.1)	45.2	(0.2)	34.1	(0.1)	16.8	(0.1)	35.5*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ab

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

						Being	creativ	ve								
Canada, province,	Not	at all o	confider	nt	No	ot very o	confide	nt		Conf	ident		\	/ery co	nfident	:
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	4.8	(0.3)	35.8*	(0.9)	17.1	(0.6)	39.1	(0.6)	49.1	(0.9)	39.2	(0.4)	29.0	(0.7)	39.9	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	8.6	(1.4)	33.9	(2.4)	19.2	(2.1)	35.1	(2.2)	43.9	(2.7)	35.1	(1.8)	28.3	(2.4)	36.9	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	9.8‡	(3.1)	32.9	(4.3)	21.1‡	(4.8)	36.1	(4.2)	44.4	(5.0)	37.4	(2.6)	24.6	(3.7)	38.5	(3.0)
Nova Scotia	7.7	(1.2)	33.5	(2.3)	14.5	(1.9)	37.8	(2.1)	46.9	(2.3)	37.7	(1.6)	30.9	(2.6)	37.4	(1.4)
New Brunswick	5.8	(1.0)	33.5	(2.5)	17.4	(1.5)	35.9	(1.8)	47.9	(2.1)	34.6	(1.6)	28.9	(2.0)	36.2	(1.6)
Quebec	3.4	(0.5)	32.3*	(2.2)	13.6	(0.8)	37.1	(1.2)	48.2	(1.3)	37.3	(0.9)	34.8	(1.3)	37.9	(0.8)
Ontario	4.8	(0.5)	36.4*	(1.5)	17.7	(1.2)	40.8	(0.7)	50.4	(2.0)	40.5	(0.6)	27.1	(1.5)	41.3	(0.7)
Manitoba	5.6	(0.8)	31.4*	(2.1)	20.1	(1.3)	36.2	(1.1)	45.9	(1.8)	36.2	(1.0)	28.5	(1.6)	38.7*	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	5.1	(0.7)	34.1	(2.0)	18.2	(1.4)	36.4	(1.2)	50.8	(2.0)	36.6	(1.2)	25.8	(1.4)	37.7	(1.0)
Alberta	5.3‡	(0.8)	40.9	(2.3)	19.8	(1.7)	40.6	(1.7)	48.6	(2.5)	41.1	(1.2)	26.3	(2.2)	42.3	(1.1)
British Columbia	4.7	(0.7)	35.9	(3.1)	17.4	(1.3)	38.5	(1.3)	49.2	(1.7)	39.4	(1.1)	28.7	(1.4)	40.4	(1.1)
OECD average	6.4	(0.1)	29.7*	(0.2)	21.3	(0.2)	32.7*	(0.1)	47.8	(0.2)	33.4	(0.1)	24.4	(0.2)	35.5*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ac

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

					Te	elling c	reative	e storie	S							
Canada, province,	No	t at all (confider	nt	No	ot very	confide	nt		Conf	ident			Very co	nfident	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	7.5	(0.4)	35.4*	(0.9)	25.0	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	43.9	(0.8)	39.1	(0.4)	23.6	(0.6)	40.5*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	13.6	(2.1)	32.2	(2.6)	26.2	(2.1)	34.8	(1.6)	39.0	(2.7)	34.0	(1.6)	21.2	(2.0)	38.1*	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	12.0‡	(3.0)	33.0	(3.6)	31.4	(4.5)	37.4	(3.4)	32.1	(3.5)	38.0	(2.7)	24.5	(3.8)	38.0	(3.5)
Nova Scotia	8.6	(1.4)	33.5	(2.7)	26.8	(2.3)	37.0	(1.7)	41.5	(2.7)	36.4	(1.3)	23.1	(2.1)	38.4	(1.5)
New Brunswick	8.0	(1.2)	34.6	(2.0)	23.3	(1.7)	35.2	(1.6)	45.2	(2.8)	36.0	(1.5)	23.6	(2.3)	37.1	(1.7)
Quebec	5.9	(0.6)	30.9*	(1.9)	19.5	(1.1)	37.5	(1.1)	46.3	(1.3)	37.6	(1.0)	28.3	(1.3)	38.7	(1.0)
Ontario	7.6	(0.6)	37.4*	(1.2)	26.3	(1.3)	40.5	(0.6)	44.3	(1.6)	40.5	(0.6)	21.7	(1.1)	42.5*	(0.6)
Manitoba	9.6	(1.1)	33.3	(1.7)	26.8	(1.4)	36.4	(0.8)	39.2	(1.7)	36.2	(1.1)	24.3	(1.6)	38.4	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	7.1	(0.9)	33.1*	(1.7)	30.5	(1.8)	35.3	(0.9)	43.1	(1.6)	36.9	(1.0)	19.3	(1.4)	37.3	(1.2)
Alberta	6.8	(1.3)	39.5	(2.8)	26.5	(2.0)	39.8	(1.3)	44.3	(2.2)	40.3	(1.1)	22.4	(2.0)	42.1	(1.3)
British Columbia	9.1	(0.9)	34.5*	(2.2)	26.2	(1.8)	38.6	(1.3)	41.2	(1.9)	39.5	(1.0)	23.5	(1.5)	40.1	(1.2)
OECD average	9.9	(0.1)	30.6*	(0.2)	29.0	(0.2)	32.6*	(0.1)	42.2	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	19.0	(0.2)	35.9*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

 $\ensuremath{^*}$ Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ad

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

					Expres	sing y	our id	eas cre	atively							
Canada, province,	Not	t at all o	confider	nt	No	t very o	onfide	nt		Confi	ident		V	ery co	nfident	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.9	(0.3)	37.0*	(0.8)	22.0	(0.6)	38.1	(0.5)	46.7	(0.8)	39.2	(0.4)	25.4	(0.6)	40.0	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	10.4	(1.7)	32.1	(2.9)	28.3	(2.6)	33.4	(1.7)	41.1	(2.6)	35.4	(1.7)	20.2	(1.8)	37.7	(2.0)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(2.6)	29.4	(5.3)	28.6	(4.9)	36.4	(3.2)	46.4	(4.9)	38.2	(3.0)	17.5‡	(2.8)	36.3	(3.3)
Nova Scotia	7.5	(1.4)	34.1	(2.6)	26.7	(2.1)	37.1	(1.8)	42.7	(2.4)	37.3	(1.2)	23.2	(2.2)	36.9	(1.4)
New Brunswick	7.1	(1.2)	35.0	(2.7)	23.3	(2.0)	36.1	(1.7)	46.1	(2.0)	34.8	(1.5)	23.6	(1.9)	37.4	(1.8)
Quebec	3.6	(0.5)	31.7*	(2.1)	18.1	(1.1)	36.3	(0.9)	47.7	(1.3)	37.5	(0.8)	30.5	(1.2)	38.2	(0.9)
Ontario	6.7	(0.6)	38.3	(1.4)	22.1	(1.3)	40.4	(0.9)	47.4	(1.6)	40.9	(0.7)	23.8	(1.1)	41.4	(0.7)
Manitoba	6.9	(1.1)	31.3	(2.6)	24.5	(1.8)	35.1	(1.3)	43.2	(2.1)	36.5	(1.0)	25.4	(1.8)	39.5*	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	7.8	(1.1)	34.7	(1.6)	22.3	(1.7)	34.9	(1.0)	48.5	(1.9)	37.2	(0.9)	21.4	(1.5)	38.9	(1.0)
Alberta	6.5	(1.0)	41.9	(2.3)	23.7	(1.9)	38.4	(1.3)	46.4	(2.3)	40.4	(1.2)	23.4	(1.7)	42.0	(1.4)
British Columbia	4.9	(0.8)	38.1	(2.0)	23.5	(1.6)	37.4	(1.1)	45.6	(1.9)	39.0	(1.1)	25.9	(2.0)	39.9	(1.0)
OECD average	7.6	(0.1)	30.6*	(0.2)	26.6	(0.2)	32.7*	(0.1)	46.4	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	19.4	(0.2)	35.5*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ae

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

					Makin	g crea	tive dı	rawings		1						
Canada, province, or	Not	at all (confide	nt	No	t very o	onfide	nt		Confi	dent		v	ery co	nfident	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	13.1	(0.5)	39.0	(0.6)	27.6	(0.6)	38.7	(0.4)	36.9	(1.0)	38.4	(0.4)	22.3	(0.7)	39.8*	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	15.3	(1.6)	32.3	(2.4)	30.8	(2.2)	33.8	(1.6)	33.6	(2.4)	35.7	(1.6)	20.3	(1.9)	35.2	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	18.9‡	(4.6)	33.6	(4.0)	25.9‡	(4.8)	35.6	(2.6)	36.0	(5.7)	37.0	(3.2)	19.2‡	(5.6)	36.9	(2.8)
Nova Scotia	12.9	(1.6)	36.3	(2.0)	23.6	(2.1)	34.4	(1.6)	38.2	(2.5)	35.7	(1.5)	25.3	(2.4)	36.8	(1.5)
New Brunswick	12.8	(1.3)	36.5	(1.7)	30.4	(2.2)	34.3	(1.8)	36.6	(2.5)	34.7	(1.5)	20.2	(1.9)	35.8	(1.9)
Quebec	11.6	(0.8)	36.0	(1.5)	24.1	(1.4)	36.3	(1.1)	37.9	(1.2)	37.1	(0.8)	26.4	(1.2)	38.9	(0.8)
Ontario	13.2	(0.8)	40.8	(0.8)	30.0	(1.4)	40.2	(0.6)	36.5	(2.3)	40.0	(0.7)	20.3	(1.2)	40.8	(0.9)
Manitoba	14.6	(1.4)	35.9	(1.7)	28.5	(2.0)	36.8	(1.0)	34.4	(1.9)	34.6	(1.0)	22.4	(1.8)	38.2*	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	14.4	(1.3)	34.9	(1.3)	26.5	(1.7)	36.0	(1.0)	39.7	(1.9)	34.8	(1.2)	19.4	(1.4)	37.1	(1.1)
Alberta	17.2	(1.9)	42.4*	(1.6)	26.3	(1.6)	40.6	(1.3)	36.1	(2.0)	38.9	(1.3)	20.4	(2.0)	41.7	(1.6)
British Columbia	10.1	(1.0)	37.0	(1.3)	28.2	(1.6)	38.5	(1.1)	37.7	(1.8)	38.6	(1.0)	24.0	(1.5)	39.8	(1.2)
OECD average	13.5	(0.1)	33.0	(0.1)	31.4	(0.2)	33.5*	(0.1)	36.5	(0.2)	32.9	(0.1)	18.6	(0.2)	35.2*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1af

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

			Thir	nking o	of many g	good i	deas f	or scie	nce expe	rimer	its					
Canada, province, or	No	t at all	confider	nt	No	t very	confid	ent		Conf	ident		,	/ery co	nfident	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	9.5	(0.4)	36.7*	(0.6)	33.4	(0.8)	39.1	(0.4)	41.9	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	15.2	(0.6)	40.0	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	11.2	(1.7)	34.3	(2.3)	35.2	(2.3)	34.5	(1.8)	41.4	(2.7)	34.9	(1.4)	12.2	(1.5)	38.8	(2.2)
Prince Edward Island	13.7‡	(3.5)	35.3	(4.2)	42.4	(4.7)	37.5	(3.3)	33.1	(4.1)	35.7	(2.7)	10.8‡	(2.8)	38.8	(3.5)
Nova Scotia	12.1	(1.5)	34.4	(1.9)	34.3	(2.2)	37.8	(1.5)	41.3	(2.4)	37.6	(1.2)	12.2	(1.7)	36.6	(2.5)
New Brunswick	9.6	(1.4)	33.3	(2.3)	32.7	(2.1)	36.2	(1.7)	42.1	(2.6)	35.5	(1.5)	15.6	(1.7)	35.8	(2.0)
Quebec	7.9	(0.7)	35.8	(1.4)	32.2	(1.4)	36.5	(1.0)	41.9	(1.4)	37.5	(0.9)	17.9	(1.2)	37.9	(1.1)
Ontario	10.0	(0.8)	37.5*	(1.1)	33.3	(1.6)	40.7	(0.6)	41.9	(1.4)	40.4	(0.5)	14.8	(1.0)	42.2*	(0.8)
Manitoba	10.2	(1.0)	36.3	(1.9)	35.6	(2.0)	36.3	(1.2)	41.5	(2.0)	36.3	(1.0)	12.7	(1.2)	38.8	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	12.0	(1.2)	33.6*	(1.5)	36.4	(1.9)	36.9	(0.8)	38.8	(1.8)	36.6	(0.9)	12.8	(1.3)	36.6	(1.3)
Alberta	8.7	(1.4)	38.8	(2.0)	32.8	(2.4)	41.1	(1.3)	43.8	(2.0)	39.0	(1.2)	14.7	(1.6)	42.3	(1.7)
British Columbia	10.0	(1.4)	36.0*	(1.7)	34.1	(1.6)	39.7	(1.1)	41.4	(1.7)	40.1	(1.1)	14.5	(1.1)	38.4	(1.5)
OECD average	11.9	(0.1)	31.9*	(0.2)	38.3	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	37.9	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	11.8	(0.1)	34.2*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ag

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

					In	ventir	ng new	thing	S							
Canada, province,	Not	t at all (confide	nt	No	ot very	confid	ent		Con	ident		v	'ery co	nfident	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	9.9	(0.4)	37.9	(0.7)	34.2	(0.9)	39.6	(0.3)	40.	5 (0.9)	38.9	(0.5)	15.4	(0.5)	38.6	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	16.9	(2.3)	34.9	(2.3)	34.3	(2.5)	35.5	(1.7)	35.) (2.6)	34.9	(1.9)	12.9	(1.5)	33.9	(2.2)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(2.5)	35.2	(4.1)	43.4	(5.4)	38.8	(3.5)	34.	5 (5.7)	35.9	(3.1)	14.9‡	(2.8)	34.8	(3.6)
Nova Scotia	15.6	(1.7)	37.1	(1.8)	34.3	(2.5)	38.0	(1.6)	37.	9 (2.3)	37.0	(1.5)	12.2	(1.7)	36.4	(1.6)
New Brunswick	12.7	(1.6)	35.3	(2.4)	30.8	(2.4)	36.1	(1.6)	40.	3 (2.2)	34.4	(1.3)	16.3	(1.6)	34.8	(2.0)
Quebec	6.3	(0.6)	34.5	(1.8)	27.1	(1.4)	37.7	(1.1)	45.) (1.2)	36.9	(0.9)	21.5	(1.1)	37.6	(1.1)
Ontario	10.8	(0.7)	39.6	(1.2)	35.8	(1.4)	40.8	(0.6)	39.	2 (1.6)	40.6	(0.8)	14.2	(0.8)	40.5	(0.9)
Manitoba	10.7	(1.0)	35.0	(1.8)	39.0	(2.1)	37.1	(1.0)	37.	7 (1.9)	36.7	(1.0)	12.6	(1.3)	36.1	(1.5)
Saskatchewan	11.4	(1.2)	35.0	(1.4)	36.3	(1.9)	36.5	(0.8)	39.	l (1.6)	35.3	(1.2)	13.1	(1.5)	35.6	(1.4)
Alberta	11.4	(1.3)	40.2	(2.0)	36.3	(2.7)	40.9	(1.1)	40.	3 (2.6)	40.4	(1.1)	12.0	(1.4)	39.1	(2.2)
British Columbia	9.0	(1.0)	36.4	(1.8)	37.4	(1.8)	40.0	(0.9)	39.	5 (1.7)	39.3	(1.3)	14.1	(1.4)	39.0	(1.4)
OECD average	9.4	(0.1)	31.6*	(0.2)	32.4	(0.2)	33.7*	(0.1)	42.	5 (0.2)	33.2	(0.1)	15.7	(0.1)	34.2*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1ah

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

		Thi	nking c	of many	ideas	for sol	ving di	sagree	ments v	with p	eople					
Canada, province,	Not	t at all	confide	nt	No	ot very	confide	nt		Confi	ident			Very co	onfident	t
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.4	(0.3)	33.7*	(0.8)	20.7	(0.7)	37.6*	(0.6)	51.8	(0.8)	39.0	(0.5)	22.2	(0.7)	40.8*	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	6.3‡	(1.4)	27.9*	(3.5)	22.3	(2.1)	34.2	(2.3)	52.8	(2.6)	35.9	(1.4)	18.6	(1.9)	36.5	(2.0)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(3.0)	35.3	(3.6)	21.4	(3.8)	35.6	(3.0)	45.6	(4.8)	37.9	(3.0)	24.1	(3.6)	40.4	(3.1)
Nova Scotia	4.2	(0.6)	32.7	(2.7)	23.7	(2.4)	35.0	(1.7)	52.1	(2.7)	37.2	(1.2)	20.0	(2.2)	39.6	(1.8)
New Brunswick	7.0	(1.2)	29.6*	(2.7)	19.7	(1.7)	32.8*	(1.4)	50.1	(2.5)	35.6	(1.3)	23.2	(2.1)	38.0	(2.1)
Quebec	3.5	(0.4)	30.3*	(2.4)	16.3	(1.1)	36.4	(1.3)	54.1	(1.3)	37.4	(0.8)	26.2	(1.2)	39.3	(1.0)
Ontario	6.1	(0.6)	35.3*	(1.3)	21.4	(1.2)	40.0	(0.7)	50.0	(1.4)	40.4	(0.6)	22.5	(1.1)	42.2	(0.9)
Manitoba	7.0	(0.9)	32.0*	(2.5)	22.0	(1.5)	34.3	(1.1)	51.5	(1.7)	37.2	(1.0)	19.5	(1.3)	38.4	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	7.7	(0.9)	29.7*	(1.7)	24.3	(1.5)	33.9*	(0.8)	49.2	(1.9)	36.6	(1.1)	18.8	(1.5)	37.7	(1.2)
Alberta	5.4	(1.2)	36.4	(2.8)	22.6	(1.8)	38.5	(1.4)	51.7	(2.5)	40.5	(1.0)	20.3	(2.0)	42.2	(1.9)
British Columbia	5.4	(0.9)	33.8*	(2.1)	22.1	(1.4)	36.0*	(1.2)	53.4	(1.8)	39.1	(1.0)	19.1	(1.6)	40.7	(1.4)
OECD average	6.4	(0.1)	29.3*	(0.2)	24.1	(0.2)	32.3*	(0.1)	50.0	(0.2)	34.1	(0.1)	19.5	(0.2)	35.3*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

 $\ensuremath{^*}$ Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.
Table B.2.1ai

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

				Addr	essing s	ocial p	robler	ns like	pollutio	on						
Canada, province, or	Not	at all o	confide	nt	No	ot very	confide	ent		Conf	ident		v	ery con	nfident	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	7.2	(0.3)	35.0*	(0.7)	28.3	(0.7)	38.9	(0.5)	45.7	(0.8)	39.3	(0.4)	18.8	(0.6)	39.7	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	12.2	(1.8)	31.8*	(2.3)	27.6	(2.0)	34.1	(2.1)	42.8	(2.6)	36.8	(1.4)	17.3	(1.9)	35.4	(2.1)
Prince Edward Island	8.9‡	(2.9)	31.2	(3.9)	34.3	(4.5)	37.2	(3.3)	42.1	(5.0)	39.0	(3.1)	14.6‡	(2.7)	36.0	(3.7)
Nova Scotia	10.3	(1.5)	33.3	(2.3)	28.5	(2.3)	36.1	(1.3)	41.8	(2.5)	36.5	(1.4)	19.3	(2.4)	39.2	(1.8)
New Brunswick	8.7	(1.2)	32.3	(2.2)	31.8	(2.0)	34.9	(1.6)	42.5	(2.3)	34.3	(1.4)	17.0	(1.9)	36.6	(1.9)
Quebec	6.9	(0.7)	31.9*	(1.8)	25.3	(1.2)	37.3	(1.2)	47.4	(1.3)	37.2	(0.9)	20.4	(1.2)	37.5	(1.2)
Ontario	7.0	(0.6)	37.0*	(1.4)	27.4	(1.3)	40.2	(0.7)	45.2	(1.4)	40.9	(0.6)	20.3	(1.1)	41.3	(0.7)
Manitoba	10.2	(1.3)	33.0*	(1.6)	29.6	(1.9)	35.7	(1.0)	42.1	(1.8)	36.8	(1.1)	18.1	(1.3)	38.0	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	10.5	(1.2)	32.9*	(1.2)	31.3	(2.2)	36.0	(0.8)	43.4	(1.8)	37.3	(1.1)	14.8	(1.6)	35.9	(1.4)
Alberta	5.5	(1.3)	38.2	(3.0)	30.2	(2.7)	41.5	(1.3)	47.6	(2.3)	40.5	(1.2)	16.7	(1.7)	41.5	(1.2)
British Columbia	7.3	(1.0)	35.2*	(1.9)	31.4	(2.0)	38.4	(1.0)	44.8	(2.0)	39.5	(1.0)	16.4	(1.2)	39.1	(1.3)
OECD average	8.1	(0.1)	30.2*	(0.2)	29.5	(0.2)	33.0*	(0.1)	46.1	(0.2)	34.1	(0.1)	16.3	(0.1)	34.9*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

There are fewer than 30 observations. Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Confident" category.

Table B.2.1aj

Percentage and average scores of students by students' creative self-efficacy: CREATIVE THINKING

		С	oming	up witl	h many	good i	ideas f	or hel	oing peo	ple ir	need					
Canada, province, or	Not	t at all	confide	nt	No	ot very	confide	ent		Conf	ident		v	ery co	nfident	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	4.9	(0.3)	35.0*	(0.9)	20.8	(0.6)	39.2	(0.6)	51.5	(0.9)	38.9	(0.4)	22.8	(0.7)	40.1*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	6.1‡	(1.4)	31.4	(2.9)	23.0	(2.2)	35.4	(2.4)	49.0	(2.5)	35.7	(1.6)	22.0	(2.2)	37.0	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(2.8)	28.1	(6.4)	21.4	(4.2)	34.7	(2.8)	57.5	(5.3)	37.2	(3.0)	14.7‡	(3.7)	36.9	(3.8)
Nova Scotia	5.2‡	(1.0)	34.1	(2.9)	20.0	(1.8)	36.3	(1.9)	53.2	(2.7)	37.5	(1.4)	21.6	(2.2)	37.7	(1.7)
New Brunswick	7.3	(1.0)	34.3	(3.0)	19.5	(1.8)	34.6	(1.7)	51.6	(2.4)	35.2	(1.4)	21.7	(2.1)	37.0	(1.6)
Quebec	4.3	(0.5)	33.9	(1.7)	16.5	(1.0)	37.1	(1.5)	50.5	(1.5)	37.4	(0.8)	28.7	(1.3)	38.2	(1.0)
Ontario	4.9	(0.6)	36.8*	(1.7)	20.8	(1.2)	40.9	(0.8)	52.1	(2.0)	40.4	(0.6)	22.1	(1.3)	41.7	(0.7)
Manitoba	6.0	(0.8)	32.1*	(2.1)	21.7	(1.7)	36.5	(1.1)	50.1	(1.9)	36.8	(0.9)	22.2	(1.5)	38.2	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	7.9	(1.2)	30.3*	(1.6)	22.2	(1.4)	36.2	(1.0)	51.3	(1.8)	36.3	(0.8)	18.6	(1.4)	36.8	(1.1)
Alberta	4.9‡	(0.9)	37.5	(3.2)	24.4	(1.9)	41.2	(1.4)	50.7	(2.6)	39.4	(1.3)	20.1	(1.9)	43.1*	(1.5)
British Columbia	4.1	(0.8)	32.9*	(2.6)	22.9	(1.7)	38.2	(0.9)	52.7	(1.5)	38.9	(1.0)	20.4	(1.5)	39.5	(1.1)
OECD average	6.2	(0.1)	29.5*	(0.2)	23.3	(0.2)	33.0*	(0.1)	50.3	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	20.2	(0.2)	34.3*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.1b

	h	ndex o	f creativ	e self-e	efficacy	by soci	i <mark>odemo</mark> Į	graphic	charac	teristi	cs			
				Inc	lex of cr	eative s	elf-effica	су						
Canada, province, or OECD average	All stud	lents	Anglo sch syst	phone ool ems	Franco sch syst	ophone lool ems	Diffe (A	rence - F)	Gi	rls	Вс	oys	Differ (G ·	rence - B)
	Score	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.15	(0.01)	0.09	(0.01)	0.34	(0.02)	-0.25*	(0.02)	0.21	(0.01)	0.08	(0.01)	0.13*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.03**	(0.04)	-0.03	(0.04)					0.05	(0.05)	-0.11	(0.06)	0.16*	(0.08)
Prince Edward Island	-0.05**	(0.06)	-0.05	(0.06)					0.05	(0.09)	-0.16	(0.10)	0.22	(0.14)
Nova Scotia	0.07**	(0.04)	0.06	(0.04)	0.29	(0.07)	-0.23*	(0.08)	0.08	(0.06)	0.07	(0.04)	0.02	(0.07)
New Brunswick	0.11	(0.03)	0.08	(0.04)	0.19	(0.06)	-0.11	(0.07)	0.15	(0.05)	0.07	(0.05)	0.08	(0.06)
Quebec	0.32**	(0.02)	0.06	(0.04)	0.35	(0.02)	-0.29*	(0.04)	0.41	(0.03)	0.23	(0.03)	0.18*	(0.04)
Ontario	0.12**	(0.02)	0.11	(0.02)	0.26	(0.03)	-0.15*	(0.04)	0.17	(0.03)	0.06	(0.03)	0.11*	(0.04)
Manitoba	0.07**	(0.03)	0.07	(0.03)	0.23	(0.08)	-0.16	(0.09)	0.15	(0.04)	-0.01	(0.04)	0.16*	(0.05)
Saskatchewan	0.01**	(0.03)	0.01	(0.03)	0.36	(0.17)	-0.35*	(0.17)	0.13	(0.04)	-0.10	(0.04)	0.23*	(0.05)
Alberta	0.09**	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)	0.50	(0.08)	-0.41*	(0.08)	0.12	(0.04)	0.05	(0.05)	0.07	(0.06)
British Columbia	0.13	(0.03)	0.13	(0.03)					0.22	(0.04)	0.03	(0.04)	0.18*	(0.06)
OECD average	0.00**	(0.00)							0.02	(0.00)	-0.03	(0.00)	0.05*	(0.01)
				Inc	lex of cr	eative s	elf-effica	су						
	Non-	•	Im	migrant		Differ	rence	В	ottom		Top quart	er	Differ	ence

Canada, province, or OECD average	No immi stuc	on- igrant lents	Immi <u>æ</u> stude	grant ents	Diffe (imm stud - n immi stud	rence igrant lents on- grant ents)	Bot quar the ind	tom ter of ESCS Jex	Top q of the inc	uarter e ESCS dex	Differ (top q - bot quar	rence uarter ttom rter)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.17	(0.01)	0.13	(0.02)	-0.04*	(0.02)	-0.04	(0.02)	0.33	(0.02)	0.37*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.03	(0.04)	0.03	(0.20)	0.05	(0.19)	-0.26	(0.09)	0.21	(0.08)	0.47*	(0.12)
Prince Edward Island	-0.02	(0.07)	-0.20‡	(0.27)	-0.17	(0.28)	-0.36	(0.15)	0.12	(0.13)	0.48*	(0.21)
Nova Scotia	0.07	(0.04)	0.20	(0.14)	0.14	(0.14)	-0.01	(0.07)	0.26	(0.08)	0.28*	(0.10)
New Brunswick	0.10	(0.04)	0.16	(0.11)	0.05	(0.12)	-0.10	(0.07)	0.39	(0.07)	0.49*	(0.11)
Quebec	0.36	(0.02)	0.25	(0.05)	-0.11*	(0.05)	0.17	(0.04)	0.48	(0.04)	0.30*	(0.06)
Ontario	0.12	(0.02)	0.11	(0.03)	0.00	(0.04)	-0.09	(0.04)	0.30	(0.03)	0.39*	(0.05)
Manitoba	0.05	(0.03)	0.14	(0.06)	0.08	(0.06)	-0.14	(0.05)	0.26	(0.05)	0.40*	(0.07)
Saskatchewan	0.01	(0.03)	-0.01	(0.06)	-0.02	(0.06)	-0.20	(0.05)	0.29	(0.05)	0.49*	(0.07)
Alberta	0.11	(0.04)	0.05	(0.05)	-0.06	(0.06)	-0.09	(0.06)	0.28	(0.06)	0.36*	(0.08)
British Columbia	0.13	(0.03)	0.12	(0.04)	-0.02	(0.05)	-0.08	(0.05)	0.25	(0.06)	0.33*	(0.07)
OECD average	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.02)	0.00	(0.02)	-0.19	(0.01)	0.17	(0.01)	0.36*	(0.01)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

Dif. Difference

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.

** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

						ndex o	f creati	ve self	-efficat	one v	perfor	manc	e in cre	ative	thinking						
	Score by qu of cre	in creat Jarters (eative s	ive thin of the in elf-effica	king, dex acy	Differe in creat thinki	nce ive ng	Before	accounti	ing for g	ender	and stud le	ent soci	oecono	mic	After acc	ountin	g for gen	der and s profile	student so	ocioeconol	nic
Canada, province, or OECD average	Bott	ter a	Top qua	Tter	perform: betwee studen in the t quarter the bott this ind this ind	ance en cop and tom tom lex	Change creative thinkin thinkin performa per or per or change the inde creative efficac	i in le ance p le in x of self- :Y	Explain varian in stud berforma (r² x 10	ed ent o)	Change relativ creativ creativ performa per or per or change the inde the inde creative efficao	e in ve ng ance r ance r ance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sance r sa sance r sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa	Explair varian in stud relati perform (r² x 1(eent ve ance 00)	Change ir creative thinking performan per one per one (integer) ur change in the index o creative se efficacy	P P P P	Explaine variance in studer erformar (r² x 100	cree cree cree cree cree cree cree cree	hange in relative creative thinking per one teger) uni hange in e index of efficacy	Expla varie in stu rela e perforu t (r ² x	ined dent tive mance 100)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	if. S	%	SE
Canada	37.6	(0.3)	40.4	(0.4)	2.9*	(0.5)	1.0*	(0.2)	0.8	(0.3)	0.7*	(0.2)	32.5	(1.5)	0.5* ((0.2)	7.3 (0.9) 0	.5* (0.2	.) 34.2	(1.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	33.5	(1.9)	37.8	(1.4)	4.4*	(1.6)	1.5*	(0.5)	2.1	(1.4)	1.0*	(0.4)	38.6	(3.7)	0) 6.0	.5)	10.1 (2.9) 0	8. (0.5) 41.4	(4.0)

	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE
Canada	37.6	(0.3)	40.4	(0.4)	2.9*	(0.5)	1.0*	(0.2)	0.8	(0.3)	0.7*	(0.2)	32.5	(1.5)	0.5*	(0.2)	7.3	(0.9)	0.5*	(0.2)	34.2	(1.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	33.5	(1.9)	37.8	(1.4)	4.4*	(1.6)	1.5*	(0.5)	2.1	(1.4)	1.0*	(0.4)	38.6	(3.7)	6.0	(0.5)	10.1	(2.9)	0.8	(0.5)	41.4	(4.0)
Prince Edward Island	34.1	(2.6)	38.0	(2.4)	3.9	(2.5)	1.5	(1.0)	2.2	(2.8)	1.3	(6.0)	36.7	(7.0)	0.9	(1.0)	8.2	(4.3)	1.0	(6.0)	39.0	(6.5)
Nova Scotia	35.3	(1.4)	38.1	(1.2)	2.7*	(1.3)	0.8	(0.5)	0.6	(0.7)	0.5	(0.4)	38.5	(3.6)	0.4	(0.5)	8.3	(2.5)	0.4	(0.4)	41.1	(3.5)
New Brunswick	34.4	(1.4)	37.0	(1.5)	2.6*	(1.2)	0.8	(0.4)	0.6	(0.0)	0.6	(0.4)	35.5	(3.6)	0.2	(0.4)	9.9	(2.6)	0.4	(0.4)	38.5	(4.0)
Quebec	35.6	(0.8)	38.6	(0.8)	3.0*	(1.2)	1.2*	(0.5)	1.2	(6.0)	1.1^{*}	(0.5)	27.8	(2.3)	0.8	(0.5)	8.4	(1.8)	0.9	(0.5)	29.7	(2.3)
Ontario	39.3	(0.5)	42.0	(0.6)	2.8*	(0.7)	.0*	(0.3)	0.8	(0.5)	0.6*	(0.2)	33.1	(2.5)	0.5*	(0.3)	6.4	(1.2)	0.5*	(0.2)	34.7	(2.5)
Manitoba	34.5	(1.0)	38.7	(0.8)	4.2*	(1.2)	1.3^{*}	(0.4)	1.6	(6.0)	0.8*	(0.3)	38.5	(2.7)	0.8*	(0.4)	9.1	(1.7)	0.5	(0.3)	40.5	(2.6)
Saskatchewan	34.0	(0.6)	37.6	(0.8)	3.7*	(6.0)	1.2*	(0.4)	1.4	(6.0)	.0*	(0.3)	39.1	(3.0)	0.7*	(0.3)	7.4	(2.1)	0.7*	(0.3)	40.5	(3.0)
Alberta	39.8	(1.0)	42.1	(1.0)	2.3*	(1.1)	0.7	(0.4)	0.4	(0.5)	0.2	(0.4)	35.2	(3.3)	0.3	(0.4)	6.7	(2.2)	0.1	(0.4)	37.0	(3.3)
British Columbia	36.7	(6.0)	40.6	(6.0)	3.9*	(1.1)	1.2*	(0.4)	1.2	(0.7)	1.0*	(0.3)	33.8	(3.5)	0.8*	(0.4)	6.5	(1.8)	0.9*	(0.3)	35.4	(3.5)
OECD average	31.6	(0.1)	35.5	(0.1)	3.9*	(0.1)	1.3*	(0.0)	1.7	(0.1)	0.8*	(0.0)	48.8	(0.4)	0.8*	(0.0)	13.4	(0.3)	0.7*	(0.0)	50.5	(0.4)

Av. Average
SE Standard error
Diff. Difference
* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.
* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.
Note: "Relative performance" refers to the residual performance, attributable to purely "creative thinking" competencies, after accounting for performance in mathematics, reading, and science in a regression performed across students at the national or provincial level.

Table B.2.1c

Table B.2.2a

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

Students' r	atings	of the	eir agre	ement	with stat	temen	ts rega	arding	their owi	n view	s on tl	heir op	enness to	o intell	ect	
Canada	St	trongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	ly agree	
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Doing something creative satisfies me.	3.8	(0.3)	34.0*	(0.9)	13.5	(0.4)	37.6*	(0.8)	57.8	(0.6)	39.5	(0.4)	24.9	(0.6)	40.6*	(0.5)
I am very creative.	5.6	(0.3)	36.2*	(0.8)	24.0	(0.7)	38.9	(0.6)	51.1	(0.7)	38.6	(0.4)	19.3	(0.6)	40.1*	(0.5)
I like creating stories.	9.4	(0.4)	36.8*	(0.7)	30.4	(0.7)	38.3	(0.5)	41.2	(0.8)	39.0	(0.4)	19.1	(0.5)	41.0*	(0.6)
l like games that challenge my creativity.	4.5	(0.3)	34.2*	(0.9)	19.7	(0.5)	37.4*	(0.7)	53.7	(0.7)	39.3	(0.4)	22.0	(0.6)	40.2	(0.5)
I enjoy projects that require creative solutions.	6.2	(0.4)	35.4*	(0.8)	23.5	(0.6)	38.0	(0.6)	51.0	(0.8)	39.0	(0.4)	19.3	(0.5)	40.8*	(0.7)
I enjoy thinking about new ways to solve problems.	5.6	(0.3)	34.5*	(0.9)	25.6	(0.8)	38.9	(0.5)	51.9	(0.9)	39.1	(0.3)	16.9	(0.6)	41.4*	(0.5)
l enjoy solving complex problems.	11.4	(0.4)	35.3*	(0.7)	31.8	(0.7)	37.9	(0.5)	40.4	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	16.4	(0.7)	41.5*	(0.5)
I like school work that is challenging.	14.2	(0.6)	36.1*	(0.6)	34.8	(1.1)	39.1	(0.4)	38.0	(0.9)	39.9	(0.4)	13.0	(0.5)	40.6	(0.7)
I can suggest several solutions to problems.	6.8	(0.5)	34.5*	(0.8)	25.7	(0.7)	38.1*	(0.6)	53.4	(0.9)	39.7	(0.4)	14.2	(0.6)	41.0	(0.7)
I enjoy learning new things.	3.0	(0.2)	31.8*	(1.2)	11.1	(0.4)	36.6*	(0.8)	59.7	(0.8)	38.6	(0.4)	26.2	(0.7)	40.1*	(0.5)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.2aa

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

				D	oing som	ething	creati	ve sat	isfies	me							
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agi	ree			Strong	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	3.8	(0.3)	34.0*	(0.9)	13.5	(0.4)	37.6*	(0.8)	5	7.8	(0.6)	39.5	(0.4)	24.9	(0.6)	40.6*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	4.2‡	(0.9)	28.2*	(3.3)	17.2	(2.1)	35.1	(2.0)	5	8.1	(2.6)	36.0	(1.8)	20.5	(2.1)	36.9	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.7)	33.3	(6.4)	14.2‡	(2.8)	36.1	(3.5)	6	2.6	(4.1)	37.1	(2.6)	18.6	(2.9)	40.0	(2.9)
Nova Scotia	4.7	(1.1)	34.7	(2.9)	16.4	(1.7)	35.4	(1.9)	5	6.1	(2.8)	37.5	(1.3)	22.8	(2.4)	39.2	(1.5)
New Brunswick	4.3	(0.7)	33.0	(2.8)	15.9	(1.6)	33.0	(2.3)	5	6.1	(2.0)	35.2	(1.5)	23.6	(1.7)	37.2	(1.8)
Quebec	5.5	(0.8)	32.0*	(2.0)	12.6	(0.7)	37.1	(1.3)	5	6.1	(1.4)	37.7	(0.7)	25.9	(1.3)	38.0	(0.8)
Ontario	3.5	(0.4)	36.0*	(1.8)	12.7	(0.9)	38.8*	(1.1)	5	7.5	(1.2)	41.1	(0.6)	26.3	(1.0)	42.3	(0.9)
Manitoba	4.0	(0.7)	32.6	(2.2)	14.6	(1.4)	35.4	(1.4)	5	5.4	(1.9)	36.6	(1.0)	26.0	(1.7)	38.6	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	3.9	(0.8)	32.4	(1.9)	15.5	(1.0)	35.6	(1.3)	6	0.6	(1.6)	36.1	(0.6)	20.0	(1.6)	38.2	(1.1)
Alberta	2.4‡	(0.7)	36.5	(3.6)	12.0	(1.3)	38.6	(2.1)	6	0.1	(2.2)	40.9	(1.0)	25.4	(1.8)	42.4	(1.4)
British Columbia	3.3‡	(0.6)	33.7*	(2.9)	16.5	(1.2)	37.6	(1.4)	5	8.9	(1.6)	39.6	(0.9)	21.4	(1.2)	40.8	(1.2)
OECD average	6.2	(0.1)	27.3*	(0.2)	16.0	(0.1)	31.5*	(0.2)	5	6.6	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	21.3	(0.2)	35.9*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.2ab

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

						am ve	ery cre	ative.								
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly	disagre	е		Disa	gree			Agı	ree		9	strong	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.6	(0.3)	36.2*	(0.8)	24.0	(0.7)	38.9	(0.6)	51.1	(0.7)	38.6	(0.4)	19.3	(0.6)	40.1*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	9.8	(1.4)	29.7*	(2.2)	23.2	(2.5)	34.2	(2.5)	51.6	(2.9)	34.9	(1.5)	15.4	(2.0)	39.0*	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	7.9‡	(2.5)	30.1	(5.8)	23.5	(4.2)	37.1	(2.8)	52.7	(4.8)	35.5	(2.8)	15.8‡	(3.9)	38.8	(3.2)
Nova Scotia	5.5	(1.1)	33.9	(2.4)	23.9	(1.9)	37.9	(1.8)	51.5	(2.5)	37.7	(1.2)	19.1	(2.0)	37.6	(1.5)
New Brunswick	6.4	(0.8)	34.9	(2.2)	21.2	(1.8)	34.5	(1.7)	52.3	(2.0)	35.8	(1.3)	20.2	(1.5)	36.3	(1.5)
Quebec	5.3	(0.6)	34.0	(1.6)	23.5	(1.2)	38.0	(1.1)	49.9	(1.4)	37.1	(0.8)	21.3	(1.1)	38.2	(1.0)
Ontario	5.4	(0.5)	37.5	(1.4)	25.1	(1.2)	40.5	(0.8)	50.0	(1.4)	39.7	(0.7)	19.5	(1.0)	42.1*	(0.8)
Manitoba	6.4	(0.9)	33.9	(2.1)	25.5	(1.7)	35.0	(1.5)	48.4	(1.6)	36.1	(1.0)	19.7	(1.5)	37.3	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	5.2	(0.8)	35.5	(1.8)	26.4	(1.7)	36.1	(0.8)	51.1	(1.7)	36.3	(0.9)	17.2	(1.3)	38.2	(1.1)
Alberta	5.9	(1.0)	38.7	(2.4)	20.6	(1.9)	41.2	(1.5)	54.2	(2.1)	40.1	(1.2)	19.2	(1.6)	40.9	(1.5)
British Columbia	5.2	(0.8)	36.7	(2.3)	25.0	(1.6)	37.5	(1.3)	53.3	(1.9)	39.1	(1.1)	16.5	(1.3)	40.1	(1.3)
OECD average	7.5	(0.1)	30.1*	(0.2)	28.3	(0.2)	33.1*	(0.1)	48.5	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	15.7	(0.1)	34.9*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.2ac

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

						ike cro	eating	storie	s.								
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagree	9		Disa	gree				Ag	ree			Strongl	y agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	9.4	(0.4)	36.8*	(0.7)	30.4	(0.7)	38.3	(0.5)	4	41.2	(0.8)	39.0	(0.4)	19.1	(0.5)	41.0*	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	11.9	(1.6)	31.2	(3.0)	34.6	(2.5)	34.6	(1.8)	4	40.0	(2.7)	35.7	(2.0)	13.6	(2.0)	38.0	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	19.0‡	(4.7)	34.6	(4.2)	23.8‡	(5.5)	32.4	(5.1)	2	40.3	(5.9)	37.1	(2.8)	16.9‡	(4.6)	38.3	(4.1)
Nova Scotia	13.1	(1.6)	35.6	(2.1)	28.0	(2.4)	37.2	(2.1)	2	40.6	(2.9)	36.3	(1.5)	18.3	(2.0)	37.4	(1.5)
New Brunswick	11.1	(1.2)	32.3*	(2.2)	28.5	(2.0)	34.9	(1.5)	2	42.1	(1.9)	36.4	(1.5)	18.3	(1.7)	37.1	(1.7)
Quebec	10.0	(0.9)	35.9	(1.4)	30.1	(1.2)	36.6	(0.8)	3	39.6	(1.1)	37.8	(0.7)	20.3	(1.2)	39.3	(0.9)
Ontario	9.2	(0.8)	38.2	(1.2)	30.8	(1.2)	40.0	(0.7)	2	41.4	(1.6)	40.6	(0.6)	18.6	(0.9)	42.1	(0.8)
Manitoba	8.6	(1.0)	34.2	(1.8)	29.6	(1.7)	34.7	(1.0)	2	40.8	(2.0)	36.0	(1.1)	21.0	(1.6)	38.8	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	10.1	(1.1)	33.9	(1.3)	31.3	(1.7)	34.4	(1.1)	2	40.8	(1.8)	36.1	(1.0)	17.9	(1.4)	38.8*	(1.2)
Alberta	9.2	(1.5)	39.8	(1.8)	30.7	(1.9)	39.6	(1.2)	2	41.5	(2.2)	39.4	(1.3)	18.6	(1.9)	42.6	(1.5)
British Columbia	7.6	(1.1)	34.3*	(2.2)	29.9	(1.7)	38.0	(1.0)	2	43.0	(1.8)	39.0	(1.2)	19.5	(1.2)	41.8*	(1.3)
OECD average	11.0	(0.1)	30.2*	(0.2)	32.0	(0.2)	32.5*	(0.1)	4	41.1	(0.2)	34.1	(0.1)	15.9	(0.2)	36.2*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.2ad

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

				1	like games	that	challer	nge m	y creativit	y.						
Canada, province, or	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	ly agree	3
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	4.5	(0.3)	34.2*	(0.9)	19.7	(0.5)	37.4*	(0.7)	53.7	(0.7)	39.3	(0.4)	22.0	(0.6)	40.2	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.4‡	(1.4)	31.1	(3.1)	22.6	(2.2)	33.6	(1.8)	50.9	(3.1)	35.2	(1.8)	19.1	(2.2)	37.4	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(2.4)	27.2*	(5.0)	21.8	(4.3)	36.3	(3.4)	44.7	(4.7)	38.0	(3.2)	26.2	(4.6)	40.0	(2.8)
Nova Scotia	4.7	(1.0)	32.6	(2.7)	19.4	(1.6)	34.8	(1.6)	54.6	(2.6)	37.6	(1.3)	21.3	(2.1)	38.7	(1.6)
New Brunswick	6.6	(1.2)	33.8	(2.5)	19.9	(1.7)	33.5	(1.6)	49.5	(2.1)	36.0	(1.6)	24.0	(2.1)	35.8	(1.8)
Quebec	4.9	(0.6)	33.1	(2.3)	20.1	(1.1)	35.9	(1.1)	51.9	(1.4)	37.6	(0.8)	23.1	(1.2)	38.1	(1.0)
Ontario	4.6	(0.6)	36.5*	(1.6)	19.6	(1.1)	38.8*	(0.9)	55.2	(1.1)	41.0	(0.5)	20.6	(1.0)	41.6	(0.8)
Manitoba	5.4	(0.8)	32.6	(2.0)	18.7	(1.4)	35.0	(1.2)	54.5	(1.9)	36.3	(0.8)	21.4	(1.6)	38.5	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	4.7	(0.7)	28.8*	(2.0)	20.9	(1.7)	36.1	(1.2)	55.6	(1.8)	36.0	(1.0)	18.7	(1.5)	38.0	(1.3)
Alberta	3.5‡	(0.7)	35.1	(4.2)	18.9	(1.7)	38.8	(1.8)	53.8	(2.3)	40.8	(1.0)	23.8	(1.8)	42.0	(1.6)
British Columbia	3.6‡	(0.7)	32.2*	(2.6)	20.0	(1.4)	37.6	(1.1)	53.1	(1.7)	38.8	(1.0)	23.3	(1.5)	40.3	(1.3)
OECD average	6.3	(0.1)	29.0*	(0.2)	22.0	(0.2)	32.5*	(0.1)	53.2	(0.2)	33.9	(0.1)	18.5	(0.2)	35.5*	(0.1)

SE Standard error Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.2ae

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

				l enjo	y projects	that r	equire	creati	ve soluti	ons.						
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree		S	trongl	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	6.2	(0.4)	35.4*	(0.8)	23.5	(0.6)	38.0	(0.6)	51.0	(0.8)	39.0	(0.4)	19.3	(0.5)	40.8*	(0.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	8.5	(1.4)	32.8	(3.0)	21.6	(2.0)	34.2	(1.9)	51.9	(2.3)	34.8	(1.5)	18.1	(2.0)	37.5	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	7.3‡	(2.4)	26.2*	(4.1)	22.7‡	(4.1)	34.8	(3.6)	53.2	(5.1)	37.9	(2.3)	16.8‡	(3.6)	38.2	(3.3)
Nova Scotia	6.5	(1.2)	32.1*	(2.1)	25.3	(2.1)	35.7	(1.8)	48.2	(2.5)	37.3	(1.2)	20.0	(2.1)	38.5	(1.7)
New Brunswick	8.3	(1.1)	33.3	(2.2)	25.5	(2.0)	34.3	(1.5)	46.4	(1.9)	35.4	(1.5)	19.8	(1.8)	36.6	(2.1)
Quebec	6.6	(0.7)	33.8*	(1.8)	23.5	(0.9)	37.0	(1.1)	49.4	(1.3)	37.6	(0.8)	20.5	(1.1)	38.6	(1.1)
Ontario	6.0	(0.7)	37.0*	(1.3)	24.4	(1.0)	39.6	(0.8)	49.8	(1.4)	40.4	(0.5)	19.8	(0.8)	42.4*	(0.9)
Manitoba	6.1	(0.8)	31.9*	(2.1)	24.8	(1.6)	35.2	(1.2)	49.8	(2.1)	36.8	(0.8)	19.3	(1.4)	39.0	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	6.4	(0.8)	33.1	(1.7)	24.4	(1.6)	34.1*	(1.3)	53.2	(1.8)	36.5	(0.9)	16.0	(1.5)	37.4	(1.4)
Alberta	5.4	(0.9)	39.5	(2.5)	21.3	(2.1)	39.5	(1.7)	55.4	(2.3)	39.7	(1.0)	17.9	(1.8)	42.4	(1.6)
British Columbia	5.9	(1.0)	33.8*	(2.1)	23.1	(1.7)	37.2	(1.4)	52.6	(1.7)	39.6	(0.9)	18.5	(1.3)	41.4	(1.2)
OECD average	7.4	(0.1)	29.5*	(0.2)	26.2	(0.2)	32.3*	(0.1)	50.7	(0.2)	34.0	(0.1)	15.8	(0.1)	35.7*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.2af

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

			l e	enjoy t	hinking a	bout	new w	ays to	solv	ve pro	blems						
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly o	disagre	е		Disa	gree				Ag	ree		S	trongl	, agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.6	(0.3)	34.5*	(0.9)	25.6	(0.8)	38.9	(0.5)		51.9	(0.9)	39.1	(0.3)	16.9	(0.6)	41.4*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.0	(1.2)	28.7*	(2.7)	34.6	(2.4)	34.9	(1.7)		45.9	(2.5)	34.6	(1.8)	12.5	(1.8)	39.5*	(2.2)
Prince Edward Island	10.2‡	(3.3)	30.4	(5.0)	25.7	(4.6)	36.7	(3.2)		49.3	(5.4)	38.1	(3.3)	14.7‡	(3.1)	41.4	(3.2)
Nova Scotia	7.1	(1.2)	32.0*	(2.0)	26.2	(2.3)	36.6	(1.7)		50.8	(2.5)	36.6	(1.5)	15.9	(1.9)	40.3	(1.5)
New Brunswick	5.8	(1.1)	31.8	(2.3)	28.2	(1.9)	34.9	(1.5)		50.7	(1.8)	35.5	(1.4)	15.3	(1.6)	36.3	(2.1)
Quebec	5.5	(0.6)	32.0*	(1.9)	24.6	(1.1)	37.5	(0.9)		50.9	(1.2)	37.6	(0.9)	19.0	(1.2)	38.7	(0.9)
Ontario	6.3	(0.6)	36.1*	(1.3)	24.6	(1.4)	40.4	(0.8)		53.1	(1.9)	40.4	(0.5)	16.1	(1.1)	43.4*	(0.8)
Manitoba	6.4	(0.9)	31.1*	(1.9)	29.0	(1.5)	36.5	(1.0)		48.5	(1.9)	36.4	(0.9)	16.2	(1.4)	37.4	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	5.7	(0.7)	33.7	(1.7)	26.8	(1.5)	34.5	(1.0)		54.1	(1.6)	36.1	(0.9)	13.3	(1.1)	37.8	(1.4)
Alberta	4.5‡	(0.7)	39.4	(2.7)	26.3	(1.8)	41.3	(1.4)		51.8	(2.2)	40.5	(1.0)	17.5	(1.8)	44.5*	(1.6)
British Columbia	4.4	(0.7)	31.5*	(2.1)	26.4	(2.0)	38.4	(1.2)		52.2	(2.1)	39.1	(1.1)	17.0	(1.4)	40.0	(1.4)
OECD average	6.3	(0.1)	28.7*	(0.2)	25.3	(0.2)	32.8*	(0.1)		53.1	(0.2)	33.9	(0.1)	15.3	(0.1)	35.2*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

 $\ensuremath{^*}$ Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.2ag

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

					l enjoy s	olving	g comp	olex pr	oblem	s.							1
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agi	ree		S	trongly	/ agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	11.4	(0.4)	35.3*	(0.7)	31.8	(0.7)	37.9	(0.5)	40	.4	(0.7)	38.9	(0.4)	16.4	(0.7)	41.5*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	16.1	(1.9)	31.1*	(1.9)	37.9	(2.6)	33.8	(1.8)	34	.9	(2.7)	36.4	(1.7)	11.1	(1.6)	39.2	(2.0)
Prince Edward Island	15.1‡	(3.5)	36.4	(3.8)	37.4	(4.8)	34.9	(3.1)	35	.5	(5.2)	36.8	(3.0)	12.0‡	(3.2)	41.6	(4.1)
Nova Scotia	15.9	(1.8)	34.8	(1.9)	31.5	(2.3)	35.0	(1.5)	38	.4	(2.6)	36.6	(1.5)	14.2	(2.0)	40.0	(1.7)
New Brunswick	10.3	(1.3)	33.5	(2.2)	29.8	(2.2)	34.8	(1.8)	45	.0	(1.9)	35.3	(1.4)	14.8	(1.5)	36.8	(1.9)
Quebec	14.4	(1.0)	34.7	(1.5)	28.7	(1.2)	36.8	(0.9)	39	.1	(1.4)	37.5	(0.8)	17.8	(1.2)	38.5	(1.1)
Ontario	10.7	(0.7)	36.7*	(0.9)	32.3	(1.6)	39.4	(1.0)	40	.7	(1.4)	40.3	(0.6)	16.3	(1.1)	43.2*	(0.8)
Manitoba	12.2	(1.1)	33.8	(1.6)	31.5	(1.9)	35.5	(0.9)	40	.1	(1.5)	36.3	(0.8)	16.2	(1.6)	38.7	(1.4)
Saskatchewan	9.5	(0.9)	32.8	(1.3)	35.2	(1.8)	33.9	(1.0)	39	.7	(1.7)	35.8	(1.1)	15.6	(1.4)	38.0	(1.5)
Alberta	10.9	(1.2)	35.5*	(2.2)	33.8	(1.8)	39.4	(1.2)	39	.3	(1.9)	40.1	(0.8)	16.1	(1.5)	44.9*	(1.7)
British Columbia	8.1	(1.0)	34.9*	(1.8)	31.9	(1.7)	37.1	(0.9)	43	.8	(2.0)	39.1	(1.1)	16.2	(1.4)	41.2	(1.4)
OECD average	12.2	(0.1)	30.8*	(0.2)	34.6	(0.2)	33.2*	(0.1)	40	.4	(0.2)	34.0	(0.1)	12.8	(0.1)	35.4*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.2ah

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

				1	like scho	ol wo	r <mark>k that</mark>	is cha	allenging.							
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly o	disagre	е		Disa	gree			Ag	ree		s	trongly	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	14.2	(0.6)	36.1*	(0.6)	34.8	(1.1)	39.1	(0.4)	38.0	(0.9)	39.9	(0.4)	13.0	(0.5)	40.6	(0.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	22.2	(2.4)	32.7	(1.9)	41.6	(2.9)	34.7	(1.9)	29.4	(2.7)	35.6	(1.6)	6.8	(1.2)	40.1	(2.6)
Prince Edward Island	23.5‡	(4.9)	35.4	(3.1)	30.9	(4.5)	37.7	(3.6)	34.2	(5.3)	37.5	(2.9)	11.4‡	(3.4)	41.3	(4.3)
Nova Scotia	20.5	(2.1)	34.6*	(1.6)	37.6	(2.6)	36.2	(1.6)	31.5	(2.3)	39.0	(1.4)	10.5	(1.4)	40.8	(2.3)
New Brunswick	14.5	(1.6)	31.3*	(1.9)	30.5	(2.5)	34.8	(1.3)	41.6	(2.3)	36.3	(1.4)	13.4	(1.4)	36.2	(1.8)
Quebec	8.5	(0.7)	32.5*	(1.4)	21.9	(1.1)	37.6	(1.1)	47.8	(1.3)	38.2	(0.9)	21.7	(1.1)	38.5	(1.2)
Ontario	14.9	(1.0)	38.3*	(0.9)	39.4	(2.3)	40.1*	(0.7)	35.3	(1.7)	42.0	(0.5)	10.4	(1.0)	42.0	(1.1)
Manitoba	17.4	(1.5)	34.7	(1.2)	35.5	(1.7)	35.8	(0.9)	35.7	(1.6)	36.9	(0.9)	11.4	(1.2)	41.3*	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	11.0	(1.1)	33.5*	(1.3)	39.6	(1.8)	36.1	(0.8)	39.5	(1.6)	36.5	(0.9)	9.9	(1.1)	39.1	(1.6)
Alberta	18.3	(2.2)	38.1	(1.4)	35.9	(2.7)	40.6	(1.2)	33.7	(2.5)	40.6	(1.0)	12.1	(1.5)	43.9	(1.9)
British Columbia	14.9	(1.5)	33.6*	(1.5)	39.9	(1.9)	39.3	(0.8)	35.9	(1.7)	40.8	(1.0)	9.3	(1.2)	41.3	(1.5)
OECD average	15.5	(0.1)	31.0*	(0.1)	36.4	(0.2)	33.6*	(0.1)	37.9	(0.2)	34.0	(0.1)	10.3	(0.1)	34.7*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.2ai

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

				l cai	n suggest	sever	al solu	itions	to pi	roble	ns.						
Canada, province, or	Str	ongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agı	ree		9	strongly	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	6.8	(0.5)	34.5*	(0.8)	25.7	(0.7)	38.1*	(0.6)		53.4	(0.9)	39.7	(0.4)	14.2	(0.6)	41.0	(0.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.4	(1.5)	30.7	(3.7)	36.6	(3.0)	34.2	(1.8)		47.1	(2.4)	35.3	(1.5)	8.9	(1.7)	38.8	(2.6)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(2.7)	36.4	(4.8)	31.5	(5.2)	37.8	(2.6)		50.7	(5.2)	36.2	(2.8)	11.2‡	(2.8)	37.6	(3.4)
Nova Scotia	6.8	(1.1)	32.9	(2.2)	27.1	(1.9)	36.1	(1.3)		49.7	(2.4)	37.4	(1.5)	16.3	(2.0)	39.4	(1.7)
New Brunswick	6.9	(1.0)	31.7	(2.3)	25.3	(1.9)	33.9	(1.5)		51.7	(2.1)	35.7	(1.2)	16.0	(1.7)	39.1	(2.2)
Quebec	6.7	(0.8)	32.0*	(1.7)	22.1	(1.0)	36.5	(1.1)		55.1	(1.5)	37.7	(0.8)	16.1	(1.1)	38.9	(1.3)
Ontario	6.5	(0.7)	35.7*	(1.4)	26.6	(1.3)	39.8	(0.8)		53.1	(1.6)	41.5	(0.6)	13.8	(0.9)	42.6	(1.0)
Manitoba	7.5	(0.9)	32.7*	(1.8)	31.9	(2.2)	34.8*	(1.3)		47.5	(1.8)	38.0	(1.0)	13.0	(1.4)	39.6	(1.4)
Saskatchewan	7.2	(1.1)	32.9*	(1.7)	26.0	(1.6)	34.4*	(1.0)		55.3	(1.8)	37.1	(1.0)	11.5	(1.1)	38.5	(1.4)
Alberta	7.7	(1.5)	39.1	(2.3)	23.8	(2.2)	39.8	(1.4)		54.0	(2.6)	40.8	(0.9)	14.5	(1.6)	42.6	(1.8)
British Columbia	6.0	(0.9)	31.4*	(2.0)	27.7	(1.7)	37.7	(1.1)		53.2	(1.8)	39.4	(0.9)	13.2	(1.5)	40.8	(1.7)
OECD average	6.9	(0.1)	28.3*	(0.2)	25.8	(0.2)	32.5*	(0.1)		53.6	(0.2)	34.4	(0.1)	13.6	(0.1)	34.5	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.2aj

Percentage and average scores of students by students' openness to intellect: CREATIVE THINKING

					l enjoy	learni	ng nev	v thing	s.								
Canada, province, or	St	trongly	disagree	2		Disa	gree				Agı	ree		S	strong	y agree	
OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	3.0	(0.2)	31.8*	(1.2)	11.1	(0.4)	36.6*	(0.8)	Ę	59.7	(0.8)	38.6	(0.4)	26.2	(0.7)	40.1*	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.0‡	(1.3)	29.6	(3.2)	12.6	(1.5)	33.6	(2.2)	(62.5	(2.7)	35.2	(1.6)	17.9	(2.0)	37.2	(2.1)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.3)	34.1	(7.1)	8.6‡	(2.6)	30.6	(3.6)	(50.4	(5.5)	37.6	(2.9)	28.6	(5.1)	35.7	(3.8)
Nova Scotia	3.2‡	(0.8)	30.7	(3.4)	14.9	(1.9)	33.8	(1.8)	ŗ	57.7	(2.7)	37.3	(1.5)	24.2	(2.3)	37.9	(1.6)
New Brunswick	4.9	(1.1)	31.2	(3.1)	15.0	(1.7)	33.4	(1.9)	ŗ	55.4	(2.3)	35.3	(1.6)	24.8	(2.0)	37.0	(1.6)
Quebec	3.5	(0.5)	30.7*	(1.9)	9.8	(0.8)	36.3	(1.3)	ŗ	55.6	(1.3)	36.5	(0.8)	31.1	(1.2)	38.8*	(0.9)
Ontario	2.5	(0.4)	31.7*	(2.1)	10.6	(0.9)	37.4*	(1.3)	(51.4	(1.7)	40.3	(0.6)	25.5	(1.4)	41.3	(0.8)
Manitoba	4.4	(0.7)	30.3*	(2.6)	12.8	(1.1)	34.1	(1.4)	ŗ	55.9	(2.1)	36.2	(0.8)	26.9	(1.7)	37.7	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	3.3	(0.6)	31.0*	(2.6)	13.1	(1.3)	33.1*	(1.2)	(64.4	(1.8)	36.6	(0.8)	19.1	(1.4)	36.9	(1.2)
Alberta	2.4‡	(0.6)	34.5	(3.5)	11.2	(1.3)	39.8	(2.2)	(51.4	(2.0)	39.4	(1.1)	25.0	(1.9)	41.6	(1.6)
British Columbia	2.6‡	(0.6)	34.0	(2.9)	11.7	(1.2)	35.1*	(1.5)	(60.9	(1.7)	38.6	(0.9)	24.8	(1.5)	40.6	(1.3)
OECD average	4.3	(0.1)	26.3*	(0.2)	13.1	(0.1)	30.2*	(0.2)	Į	59.1	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	23.5	(0.2)	35.6*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.2b

	Index	c of stu	dents' c	penne	ss to int	ellect k	oy socio	demog	raphic o	harac	teristics			
				Index	of studen	ts' ope	nness to	intellec	t					
Canada, province, or OECD average	All stuc	lents	Anglo sch syst	phone ool ems	Franco sch syste	phone ool ems	Diffe (A	rence - F)	Gi	rls	Вс	oys	Differ (G	rence - B)
	Score	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.11	(0.01)	0.10	(0.01)	0.16	(0.02)	-0.06*	(0.03)	0.13	(0.01)	0.09	(0.01)	0.04*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.11**	(0.03)	-0.11	(0.03)					-0.08	(0.05)	-0.15	(0.05)	0.06	(0.07)
Prince Edward Island	0.00	(0.07)	0.00	(0.07)					0.12	(0.10)	-0.11	(0.11)	0.23	(0.15)
Nova Scotia	0.05	(0.04)	0.04	(0.04)	0.26	(0.10)	-0.22*	(0.11)	0.05	(0.05)	0.05	(0.05)	0.00	(0.07)
New Brunswick	0.09	(0.03)	0.12	(0.04)	0.03	(0.06)	0.09	(0.07)	0.08	(0.05)	0.10	(0.05)	-0.02	(0.07)
Quebec	0.16**	(0.02)	0.05	(0.03)	0.17	(0.02)	-0.12*	(0.04)	0.18	(0.03)	0.13	(0.03)	0.05	(0.04)
Ontario	0.11	(0.02)	0.11	(0.02)	0.13	(0.03)	-0.02	(0.04)	0.12	(0.02)	0.10	(0.03)	0.02	(0.04)
Manitoba	0.08	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)	0.17	(0.08)	-0.09	(0.09)	0.09	(0.04)	0.07	(0.04)	0.01	(0.06)
Saskatchewan	0.05**	(0.03)	0.04	(0.03)	0.55	(0.18)	-0.51*	(0.18)	0.07	(0.03)	0.02	(0.05)	0.05	(0.06)
Alberta	0.13	(0.03)	0.13	(0.03)	0.44	(0.10)	-0.31*	(0.10)	0.16	(0.03)	0.10	(0.05)	0.06	(0.06)
British Columbia	0.11	(0.02)	0.11	(0.02)					0.15	(0.04)	0.08	(0.03)	0.07	(0.05)
OECD average	0.00**	(0.00)							0.01	(0.00)	-0.01	(0.00)	0.02*	(0.01)

			Inc	dex of st	udents' ope	enness t	o intellect					
Canada, province, or OECD average	No immi stuc	on- igrant lents	lmmi _i stud	grant ents	Diffe (imm stud - n immi stud	rence igrant ents on- grant ents)	Bot quar the in	tom ter of ESCS dex	Top o of th in	juarter e ESCS dex	Diffe (top q - bo qua	rence uarter ttom rter)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.09	(0.01)	0.18	(0.02)	0.09*	(0.02)	-0.06	(0.02)	0.31	(0.02)	0.37*	(0.03)
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.11	(0.03)	-0.01	(0.16)	0.09	(0.16)	-0.31	(0.07)	0.09	(0.07)	0.41*	(0.10)
Prince Edward Island	0.03	(0.07)	-0.14‡	(0.34)	-0.17	(0.35)	-0.38	(0.13)	0.14	(0.15)	0.51*	(0.21)
Nova Scotia	0.05	(0.04)	0.17	(0.12)	0.12	(0.13)	-0.10	(0.08)	0.30	(0.08)	0.40*	(0.11)
New Brunswick	0.08	(0.04)	0.27	(0.12)	0.19	(0.12)	-0.11	(0.07)	0.29	(0.07)	0.41*	(0.10)
Quebec	0.17	(0.02)	0.13	(0.04)	-0.04	(0.05)	-0.06	(0.04)	0.34	(0.04)	0.39*	(0.06)
Ontario	0.05	(0.02)	0.18	(0.03)	0.13*	(0.04)	-0.02	(0.03)	0.29	(0.04)	0.31*	(0.05)
Manitoba	0.04	(0.03)	0.22	(0.05)	0.18*	(0.06)	-0.04	(0.06)	0.17	(0.06)	0.21*	(0.08)
Saskatchewan	0.02	(0.03)	0.13	(0.05)	0.12*	(0.05)	-0.03	(0.06)	0.28	(0.05)	0.31*	(0.07)
Alberta	0.12	(0.04)	0.18	(0.05)	0.07	(0.06)	-0.07	(0.04)	0.40	(0.07)	0.47*	(0.09)
British Columbia	0.06	(0.03)	0.22	(0.04)	0.17*	(0.05)	-0.10	(0.05)	0.27	(0.05)	0.37*	(0.08)
OECD average	0.00	(0.00)	0.05	(0.02)	0.05*	(0.02)	-0.18	(0.01)	0.18	(0.01)	0.36*	(0.01)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. ** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

									Ta	ble B.2	2.2c											
				[o xabr	f stude	ints' op	enness	to inte	ellect a	nd per	formar	nce in c	creativ	e thinkir	<u>છ</u>						1
	Sc thin o stude	core in king, b of the ii ents' o intel	creativ y quar ndex of pennes llect	s to	Differe in creat thinki betwe	nce tive ng ance	Before	account	ting for £	gender a profil	nd stude e	ent socic	econor	ż	After acc	countin	g for gen	der and profile	student	socioece	onomic	
Canada, province, or OECD average	Bot qua	tom arter	duai duai	ter	stude in the student the bot quarte this inc	nts and top tom dex	Change creati thinki perform per or per or intege in the in of stude intelle	e in ve ance F ance F er) inge inge ints' ss to ss to	Explain varianu in stude performs (r² x 10	eed ance i i i c	Change relativ tricativ thinkin thinkin thinkin per on per on the in the in the in the in the in the in the in the in the in the in the in the	rine e e e e e cinal de cina de cinal de cinal	Explain varianc in stude relativ (r² x 10	o) e ed	Change i creative thinking per one (integer) unit chang in the ind of student openness intellect	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Explainec variance in studen erforman (r² x 100)		hange ir relative relative reative forman per one integer it chang the inde the inde the enness i ntellect		plained ariance student ormance * x 100)	a)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SEDi	÷	SE	% SI	ш
Canada	36.7	(0.4)	41.3	(0.4)	4.7*	(9.0)	1.5*	(0.2)	1.9	(0.5)	0.6*	(0.2)	32.9	(1.5)	1.1* (0	.2)	8.4 (0	.0 (6.	5* (0	.2) 3	4.7 (1.5	a
Newfoundland and Labrador	32.4	(1.7)	38.0	(1.4)	5.6*	(1.3)	2.3*	(0.5)	4.5	(2.0)	1.0*	(0.5)	39.4	(3.8)	1.8* (C).5)	12.8 (3	.2) 0.	0) 6:	.5) 4	2.6 (4.0	ŝ
Prince Edward Island	34.8	(3.0)	39.9	(2.6)	5.2	(3.0)	2.1	(1.1)	4.5	(4.4)	1.4	(6.0)	37.9	(7.3)	1.5 (1	[.1)	10.1 (5	.3) 1.	.1 (0	.9) 3	9.9 (6.8	
Nova Scotia	34.1	(1.3)	38.7	(1.2)	4.6*	(1.3)	1.5*	(0.4)	1.9	(1.1)	0.4	(0.4)	37.9	(3.6)	1.0* (C	.4)	9.7 (2	.0 (6.	3 (0	.4) 4	0.8 (3.5	
New Brunswick	32.7	(1.4)	37.4	(1.6)	4.7*	(1.2)	1.1^{*}	(0.4)	1.3	(1.0)	0.3	(0.4)	35.5	(3.8)	0.8 (C	.4)	11.0 (2	.8) 0.	.2 (0	.4) 3	8.8 (4.2	<u>.</u>
Quebec	35.3	(0.0)	39.1	(0.7)	3.8*	(6.0)	1.2*	(0.3)	1.2	(0.6)	0.5	(0.3)	27.1	(2.5)	0.8* (C	.3)	8.5 (1	.7) 0.	4 (0	.3) 2	9.4 (2.5	
Ontario	38.2	(0.0)	42.8	(0.6)	4.6*	(0.8)	1.5*	(0.3)	2.1	(0.8)	0.7*	(0.3)	33.5	(2.5)	1.2* (C	.3)	8.0 (1	.3) 0.	.6* (0	.3) 3	5.1 (2.5	
Manitoba	34.1	(6.0)	39.1	(0.8)	5.0*	(1.2)	1.6*	(0.4)	2.6	(1.1)	0.7*	(0.3)	38.6	(2.7)	1.4* (C	.3)	9.9 (1	.0 (6.	.7* (0	.3) 4	0.8 (2.6	
Saskatchewan	33.6	(0.6)	38.0	(0.8)	4.5*	(1.0)	1.6^{*}	(0.4)	2.2	(1.0)	•6.0	(0.4)	39.9	(2.9)	1.3* (C	.4)	8.3 (2	.0) 0.	.8* (0	.4) 4	1.5 (3.C	ŝ
Alberta	38.6	(1.0)	43.2	(1.2)	4.6*	(1.3)	1.5^{*}	(0.5)	1.8	(1.1)	0.2	(0.5)	35.8	(3.4)	1.0 (C	.5)	7.5 (2	.2) 0.	0)	.5) 3	7.8 (3.3	<u>.</u>
British Columbia	35.9	(1.0)	41.7	(0.9)	5.8*	(1.2)	2.0*	(0.4)	3.0	(1.2)	0.8*	(0.4)	34.3	(3.5)	1.6* (C	.4)	7.8 (2	.0 .0	0)	.4) 3	6.0 (3.4	Ξ
OECD average	30.8	(0.1)	35.7	(0.1)	4.9*	(0.1)	1.8*	(0.0)	2.9	(0.1)	0.7*	(0.0)	49.2	(0.4)	1.3* (0	(0)	14.4 (0	.3) 0.	.7* (0	.0) 5	0.9 (0.4	Ξ
Av. Average SF Standard error																						

Dif. Difference * Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. Note: "Relative performance" refers to the residual performance, attributable to purely "creative thinking" competencies, after accounting for performance in mathematics, reading, and science in a regression performed across students at the national or provincial level.

Table B.2.3a

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

Students' ra	atings	of ho	w ofte	n they	particip	ated ir	n creati	ve acti	vities th	nat we	re avail	able in	their s	chool		
Canada	Neve	r or alı	nost n	ever	From a a yea	about c ir to ab twice a	once or t out onc month	twice e or	From a v	about week to	once or o every o	twice lay	Not	availat)le at scl	hool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Art classes/activities (e.g., painting, drawing)	50.5	(0.7)	39.7	(0.3)	18.0	(0.5)	38.4*	(0.5)	28.1	(0.5)	38.6*	(0.3)	3.3	(0.3)	34.9*	(0.8)
Creative writing classes/ activities	47.5	(0.6)	39.3	(0.3)	21.3	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)	22.9	(0.4)	38.1*	(0.4)	8.3	(0.3)	39.2	(0.6)
Music classes/activities (e.g., chorus, band)	65.1	(0.8)	39.7	(0.2)	10.6	(0.3)	36.9*	(0.4)	19.1	(0.7)	38.7*	(0.4)	5.1	(0.4)	35.7*	(0.9)
Debate club	69.8	(0.7)	39.6	(0.2)	8.5	(0.4)	36.9*	(0.6)	7.5	(0.3)	35.1*	(0.8)	14.2	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)
Dramatics, theatre class/ activities	68.9	(0.7)	39.7	(0.3)	10.9	(0.3)	37.7*	(0.5)	15.6	(0.4)	37.9*	(0.5)	4.6	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.7)
Publications (e.g., newspaper, yearbooks, literary magazine)	73.9	(0.6)	39.7	(0.2)	9.6	(0.3)	37.9*	(0.5)	8.0	(0.3)	35.5*	(0.7)	8.5	(0.4)	37.9*	(0.6)
Science club	70.8	(0.6)	39.6	(0.2)	8.0	(0.3)	37.3*	(0.6)	8.6	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.6)	12.6	(0.4)	39.1	(0.5)
Computer programming classes/activities	62.6	(0.6)	39.5	(0.2)	9.6	(0.3)	38.0*	(0.4)	14.7	(0.5)	38.3*	(0.5)	13.1	(0.5)	34.7*	(0.4)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Never or almost never" category.

Table B.2.3aa

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

				Art	classes/a	activiti	es (e.g.	, painti	ng, drav	ving)						
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	r or alı	nost n	ever	From a yea	about (ar to ab twice a	once or t oout onc month	twice e or	From a w	about (veek to	once or t every d	twice ay	Not	availat	ole at sch	ıool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	50.5	(0.7)	39.7	(0.3)	18.0	(0.5)	38.4*	(0.5)	28.1	(0.5)	38.6*	(0.3)	3.3	(0.3)	34.9*	(0.8)
Newfoundland and Labrador	52.3	(1.9)	35.8	(1.6)	17.3	(1.5)	35.0	(1.9)	24.0	(2.0)	33.5*	(1.3)	6.4	(0.8)	33.0	(2.1)
Prince Edward Island	69.1	(2.8)	37.1	(2.7)	11.0	(2.2)	34.4	(2.6)	17.7	(2.6)	38.2	(2.4)	U‡	(0.7)	33.8	(4.9)
Nova Scotia	39.7	(1.5)	37.4	(1.1)	15.4	(1.2)	36.7	(1.5)	40.9	(1.9)	36.5	(1.2)	4.0	(0.7)	36.1	(2.8)
New Brunswick	54.1	(1.4)	35.5	(1.4)	16.9	(1.2)	37.0	(1.4)	25.7	(1.6)	35.1	(1.4)	3.4	(0.5)	28.0*	(2.0)
Quebec	42.3	(1.4)	37.6	(0.6)	13.7	(0.9)	36.7	(1.0)	38.7	(1.2)	37.6	(0.6)	5.3	(0.9)	35.1	(1.4)
Ontario	52.7	(1.1)	41.1	(0.4)	20.0	(1.0)	39.5	(0.8)	24.2	(0.8)	40.4	(0.6)	3.1	(0.3)	36.6*	(1.4)
Manitoba	51.8	(1.4)	36.7	(0.7)	15.4	(1.1)	35.0	(1.1)	29.4	(1.2)	36.6	(0.9)	3.5	(0.6)	35.4	(1.9)
Saskatchewan	47.9	(1.1)	36.9	(0.5)	19.6	(1.0)	35.1	(0.9)	28.2	(1.3)	35.8	(0.9)	4.3	(0.7)	34.1	(1.9)
Alberta	55.7	(2.0)	41.6	(0.8)	18.7	(1.4)	38.9*	(1.4)	23.9	(1.7)	39.7	(1.2)	1.7‡	(0.5)	33.8*	(3.5)
British Columbia	52.9	(1.8)	39.2	(0.7)	20.3	(0.9)	39.1	(1.1)	24.7	(1.5)	38.5	(1.1)	2.2	(0.3)	31.1*	(2.3)
OECD average	46.2	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	14.6	(0.1)	31.6*	(0.1)	26.1	(0.2)	32.6*	(0.1)	13.1	(0.1)	34.3*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.3ab

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

					Creat	ive w	riting c	lasses/a	activities							
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	er or al	most n	ever	Fro twi onco	om abo ce a ye e or tw	out once ear to al vice a m	e or bout onth	From al we	bout on ek to ev	ce or tw very day	ice a	Not	availab	le at sch	nool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	47.5	(0.6)	39.3	(0.3)	21.3	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)	22.9	(0.4)	38.1*	(0.4)	8.3	(0.3)	39.2	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	46.3	(2.2)	36.2	(1.6)	20.9	(1.5)	35.2	(1.7)	25.7	(1.9)	32.9*	(1.4)	7.1	(1.0)	34.3	(1.9)
Prince Edward Island	61.6	(2.9)	37.2	(2.5)	16.9	(2.8)	36.4	(2.2)	19.6	(2.4)	36.9	(3.2)	U‡	(0.9)	39.8	(4.4)
Nova Scotia	40.5	(1.5)	36.7	(1.1)	23.1	(1.8)	38.3	(1.4)	29.9	(1.9)	35.7	(1.3)	6.5	(0.8)	39.0	(1.8)
New Brunswick	53.2	(1.5)	35.6	(1.3)	16.5	(1.2)	36.2	(1.6)	24.4	(1.4)	34.7	(1.5)	5.9	(0.7)	34.1	(1.8)
Quebec	47.9	(1.3)	37.3	(0.7)	20.3	(0.9)	37.5	(0.8)	12.9	(0.8)	35.8	(1.0)	18.9	(1.0)	38.7*	(0.8)
Ontario	51.0	(1.1)	40.8	(0.5)	20.8	(0.7)	40.7	(0.6)	22.4	(0.8)	39.2*	(0.6)	5.8	(0.4)	40.8	(1.0)
Manitoba	42.3	(1.4)	36.2	(0.8)	19.8	(1.0)	36.5	(0.8)	31.7	(1.3)	36.5	(0.9)	6.2	(0.9)	37.9	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	44.1	(1.3)	36.2	(0.6)	20.7	(1.1)	35.5	(0.9)	28.6	(1.2)	36.3	(0.9)	6.6	(0.8)	36.8	(1.5)
Alberta	49.7	(2.3)	41.3	(0.8)	23.3	(2.0)	40.7	(1.1)	21.7	(1.2)	38.6*	(1.3)	5.3	(0.8)	42.5	(1.8)
British Columbia	37.5	(1.4)	38.6	(0.9)	23.0	(1.1)	39.7	(0.9)	36.0	(1.4)	39.1	(0.9)	3.4	(0.5)	35.5	(1.9)
OECD average	45.3	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	21.0	(0.1)	32.4 *	(0.1)	15.9	(0.1)	30.5*	(0.1)	17.8	(0.1)	36.0*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Never or almost never" category.

Table B.2.3ac

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

				M	usic class	es/act	ivities	(e.g., c	horus, b	and)						
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	er or al	most r	never	From a a yea t	about o ir to ab wice a	once or t out onc month	twice e or	From a v	about veek to	once or every d	twice lay	Not	availab	le at sch	lool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	65.1	(0.8)	39.7	(0.2)	10.6	(0.3)	36.9*	(0.4)	19.1	(0.7)	38.7*	(0.4)	5.1	(0.4)	35.7*	(0.9)
Newfoundland and Labrador	58.8	(1.8)	35.9	(1.6)	10.4	(1.4)	31.7	(2.5)	24.0	(1.8)	34.7	(1.3)	6.7	(1.8)	33.1	(2.2)
Prince Edward Island	71.7	(3.2)	37.1	(2.7)	8.5‡	(2.0)	33.5	(2.8)	17.8	(2.7)	39.0	(2.3)	2.0‡	(0.6)	35.1	(5.4)
Nova Scotia	68.3	(1.8)	37.6	(1.0)	9.0	(1.0)	33.8*	(1.7)	16.8	(1.3)	35.9	(1.7)	5.9	(0.9)	35.7	(2.4)
New Brunswick	61.3	(1.8)	35.9	(1.4)	14.1	(1.1)	34.7	(1.6)	21.5	(1.5)	35.1	(1.4)	3.1	(0.5)	31.4	(2.3)
Quebec	61.7	(1.7)	38.1	(0.7)	7.5	(0.5)	35.6	(1.4)	19.5	(1.6)	36.4	(0.9)	11.4	(1.3)	36.8	(1.0)
Ontario	66.0	(1.1)	41.0	(0.4)	13.1	(0.7)	38.9*	(0.7)	18.4	(0.9)	40.3	(0.6)	2.5	(0.4)	35.1*	(1.7)
Manitoba	60.0	(1.5)	36.8	(0.8)	9.3	(0.8)	33.3*	(1.2)	26.5	(1.3)	36.7	(0.8)	4.3	(0.9)	35.4	(2.0)
Saskatchewan	60.2	(1.2)	36.5	(0.6)	12.1	(0.8)	34.3	(1.0)	20.5	(1.2)	36.8	(1.1)	7.3	(0.9)	34.6	(1.5)
Alberta	71.9	(2.0)	41.5	(0.7)	9.5	(1.1)	35.7*	(1.8)	14.7	(1.6)	40.6	(1.4)	U	(1.6)	35.3	(3.9)
British Columbia	64.2	(1.8)	39.3	(0.7)	10.4	(0.8)	36.7*	(1.2)	22.4	(1.8)	39.4	(1.0)	3.1	(0.6)	33.4*	(2.2)
OECD average	53.1	(0.2)	34.2	(0.1)	13.0	(0.1)	30.4*	(0.1)	20.6	(0.2)	32.0*	(0.1)	13.3	(0.1)	34.1	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.3ad

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

							Debate	club								
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	r or alı	nost n	ever	From a ye	about o ar to ab twice a	once or bout onc month	twice e or	From a v	about (veek to	once or every d	twice ay	Not	availat	ole at sch	nool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	69.8	(0.7)	39.6	(0.2)	8.5	(0.4)	36.9*	(0.6)	7.5	(0.3)	35.1*	(0.8)	14.2	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	64.2	(1.8)	35.6	(1.6)	4.6	(0.8)	28.9*	(3.1)	6.2	(0.8)	27.6*	(1.9)	25.0	(1.8)	36.2	(1.5)
Prince Edward Island	78.3	(2.3)	37.5	(2.6)	6.5‡	(1.8)	30.6*	(2.7)	4.8‡	(1.4)	32.0	(4.2)	10.4	(1.3)	39.7	(2.8)
Nova Scotia	70.9	(1.9)	37.4	(1.1)	6.0	(0.9)	34.9	(2.1)	8.3	(1.2)	31.4*	(2.2)	14.8	(1.1)	38.3	(1.4)
New Brunswick	73.5	(1.3)	36.4	(1.4)	7.0	(0.8)	31.3*	(1.8)	9.9	(1.0)	31.9*	(1.7)	9.6	(0.8)	34.8	(1.6)
Quebec	60.0	(1.4)	37.6	(0.7)	9.2	(0.6)	36.6	(1.1)	5.9	(0.5)	31.3*	(1.4)	24.9	(1.2)	38.7	(0.7)
Ontario	73.5	(0.9)	41.1	(0.4)	8.3	(0.6)	37.7*	(1.0)	8.9	(0.6)	38.1*	(1.0)	9.3	(0.6)	40.6	(0.9)
Manitoba	71.0	(1.2)	36.9	(0.7)	9.6	(0.8)	34.5	(1.3)	7.3	(0.8)	32.1*	(1.6)	12.1	(0.9)	38.2	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	68.3	(1.3)	36.5	(0.6)	7.3	(0.7)	33.0*	(1.3)	8.1	(0.8)	32.1*	(1.9)	16.3	(1.2)	38.0	(1.0)
Alberta	72.8	(2.2)	41.4	(0.7)	8.7	(1.1)	37.3*	(1.9)	6.5	(0.9)	34.4*	(2.1)	11.9	(1.8)	40.6	(1.7)
British Columbia	72.2	(1.8)	39.4	(0.7)	8.8	(1.0)	37.7	(1.3)	7.3	(0.7)	35.3*	(1.9)	11.8	(1.6)	39.3	(1.1)
OECD average	56.0	(0.2)	34.0	(0.1)	12.8	(0.1)	31.0*	(0.1)	9.7	(0.1)	28.4*	(0.2)	21.5	(0.1)	35.8*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Never or almost never" category.

Table B.2.3ae

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

					Dram	atics,	theatre	class/a	ctivities							
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	er or al	most r	never	From a yea	about ar to ak twice a	once or bout onc month	twice e or	From a we	bout or ek to e	nce or tw very day	/ice a /	Not	availal	ole at sch	hool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	68.9	(0.7)	39.7	(0.3)	10.9	(0.3)	37.7*	(0.5)	15.6	(0.4)	37.9*	(0.5)	4.6	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.7)
Newfoundland and Labrador	67.7	(2.0)	36.1	(1.6)	8.1	(1.2)	32.2	(2.2)	12.0	(1.4)	32.1*	(1.5)	12.2	(2.3)	33.3	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	75.5	(2.9)	37.5	(2.7)	6.2‡	(1.7)	30.5	(3.6)	11.4‡	(2.2)	36.6	(3.6)	7.0	(0.9)	39.6	(2.4)
Nova Scotia	63.8	(2.0)	36.9	(1.1)	9.2	(1.1)	38.4	(1.9)	22.2	(1.7)	36.6	(1.5)	4.8	(0.6)	35.7	(2.3)
New Brunswick	74.2	(1.3)	36.3	(1.3)	8.5	(1.0)	34.0	(1.6)	13.0	(1.2)	33.0*	(1.5)	4.3	(0.6)	30.9*	(2.1)
Quebec	63.8	(1.6)	37.8	(0.7)	8.3	(0.7)	36.4	(1.4)	19.0	(1.2)	37.1	(0.8)	8.9	(0.9)	36.3	(1.0)
Ontario	70.8	(1.1)	41.2	(0.4)	12.0	(0.6)	38.9*	(0.7)	14.1	(0.7)	39.1*	(0.8)	3.0	(0.4)	36.1*	(1.5)
Manitoba	67.1	(1.4)	36.9	(0.7)	9.7	(0.8)	34.7	(1.5)	18.8	(1.4)	36.3	(1.0)	4.4	(1.4)	33.3	(2.3)
Saskatchewan	66.2	(1.4)	36.7	(0.6)	12.7	(1.0)	34.2	(1.2)	15.1	(1.2)	35.4	(1.3)	6.0	(0.9)	35.2	(1.7)
Alberta	72.6	(2.0)	41.6	(0.8)	12.1	(1.3)	37.8*	(1.5)	13.3	(1.2)	38.4*	(1.5)	2.1	(0.6)	38.0	(3.6)
British Columbia	69.0	(1.7)	39.4	(0.7)	11.6	(1.0)	38.6	(1.1)	15.7	(1.1)	38.5	(1.2)	3.7	(0.7)	34.3*	(2.0)
OECD average	59.8	(0.2)	34.4	(0.1)	11.1	(0.1)	30.2*	(0.1)	10.8	(0.1)	29.6*	(0.2)	18.2	(0.2)	35.0*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.3af

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

			Pub	licatio	ns (e.g., n	ewspa	per, yea	arbook	s, literary	/ maga	azine)					
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	r or alı	most n	ever	From a yea	about o ar to ab twice a	once or t out onc month	twice e or	From a w	about veek to	once or every d	twice lay	Not	availat	ole at sc	hool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	73.9	(0.6)	39.7	(0.2)	9.6	(0.3)	37.9*	(0.5)	8.0	(0.3)	35.5*	(0.7)	8.5	(0.4)	37.9*	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	67.8	(2.0)	35.9	(1.6)	7.2	(1.1)	32.8	(2.5)	5.2	(0.7)	28.9*	(2.0)	19.9	(1.9)	34.3	(1.4)
Prince Edward Island	78.3	(3.0)	37.6	(2.6)	8.0‡	(2.1)	34.8	(3.0)	7.4‡	(1.6)	32.2	(3.0)	6.3	(1.2)	38.2	(3.8)
Nova Scotia	75.2	(1.9)	37.4	(1.1)	7.3	(1.0)	36.6	(2.1)	9.5	(1.3)	32.6*	(2.1)	8.1	(0.9)	37.7	(1.8)
New Brunswick	75.0	(1.2)	36.2	(1.4)	7.7	(0.7)	34.8	(1.4)	11.5	(1.0)	32.2*	(1.8)	5.8	(0.7)	32.1*	(1.9)
Quebec	72.0	(1.2)	38.1	(0.7)	8.0	(0.5)	36.8	(1.2)	5.9	(0.6)	30.9*	(1.2)	14.2	(1.2)	37.2	(0.8)
Ontario	74.2	(1.0)	41.0	(0.4)	11.4	(0.6)	39.1*	(0.8)	8.7	(0.6)	38.3*	(0.9)	5.7	(0.5)	39.5	(1.1)
Manitoba	74.0	(1.4)	37.0	(0.7)	9.2	(0.9)	35.0	(1.3)	9.6	(0.9)	33.3*	(1.5)	7.2	(0.7)	36.6	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	70.6	(1.5)	36.8	(0.6)	9.6	(0.8)	33.8*	(1.2)	10.1	(0.9)	33.2*	(1.4)	9.7	(1.0)	37.0	(1.3)
Alberta	75.2	(1.7)	41.3	(0.7)	9.0	(1.0)	37.7*	(1.9)	7.5	(1.0)	36.2*	(2.1)	8.3	(1.1)	40.0	(1.7)
British Columbia	76.1	(1.4)	39.5	(0.7)	9.5	(0.8)	38.8	(1.3)	8.5	(0.8)	35.4*	(1.6)	5.9	(0.7)	36.8	(1.8)
OECD average	59.8	(0.2)	34.3	(0.1)	11.9	(0.1)	31.2*	(0.1)	8.5	(0.1)	27.5*	(0.2)	19.7	(0.1)	35.2*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Never or almost never" category.

Table B.2.3ag

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

						S	cience	club								
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	er or all	most n	lever	Fro twic once	m abo ce a ye e or tw	ut once ar to ab ice a m	e or oout onth	From a v	about veek to	once or every d	twice ay	Not	availal	ole at scl	nool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	70.8	(0.6)	39.6	(0.2)	8.0	(0.3)	37.3*	(0.6)	8.6	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.6)	12.6	(0.4)	39.1	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	66.4	(2.0)	35.8	(1.6)	5.8	(1.0)	30.4*	(2.9)	6.0	(0.7)	28.1*	(1.8)	21.7	(1.8)	35.9	(1.3)
Prince Edward Island	77.8	(2.4)	37.5	(2.6)	8.1‡	(1.9)	31.8	(3.4)	5.9‡	(1.1)	34.7	(3.5)	8.2	(1.4)	39.5	(3.3)
Nova Scotia	72.3	(1.8)	37.3	(1.1)	4.5	(0.7)	35.3	(2.5)	8.1	(1.2)	31.8*	(2.3)	15.2	(1.3)	38.5	(1.6)
New Brunswick	75.1	(1.2)	36.2	(1.4)	6.3	(0.7)	32.0*	(1.7)	10.2	(1.1)	31.4*	(1.6)	8.3	(0.7)	35.2	(1.6)
Quebec	68.1	(1.4)	38.0	(0.7)	5.7	(0.5)	35.3	(1.4)	6.9	(0.6)	31.7*	(1.2)	19.3	(1.1)	38.0	(0.8)
Ontario	71.2	(0.9)	40.9	(0.4)	9.7	(0.5)	38.7*	(0.9)	9.7	(0.5)	38.9*	(0.8)	9.4	(0.6)	40.7	(0.9)
Manitoba	71.9	(1.4)	37.0	(0.7)	7.5	(0.8)	33.8*	(1.2)	9.3	(0.9)	32.0*	(1.5)	11.3	(1.0)	38.1	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	67.2	(1.3)	36.6	(0.6)	7.7	(0.6)	33.3*	(1.3)	9.1	(0.8)	31.4*	(1.5)	16.0	(1.1)	38.0	(0.9)
Alberta	72.9	(2.1)	41.4	(0.7)	8.6	(1.0)	37.5*	(1.7)	7.1	(0.9)	35.6*	(1.8)	11.4	(1.8)	40.5	(1.9)
British Columbia	71.9	(1.5)	39.4	(0.7)	7.8	(0.7)	38.6	(1.3)	9.8	(0.9)	36.1*	(1.5)	10.5	(1.2)	39.0	(1.2)
OECD average	58.1	(0.2)	34.2	(0.1)	10.6	(0.1)	30.1*	(0.1)	10.9	(0.1)	29.0*	(0.1)	20.4	(0.1)	35.3*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.3ah

Percentage and average scores of students by student participation in creative activities at school: CREATIVE THINKING

				Со	mputer	progra	ammin	g class	es/activit	ties						
Canada, province, or OECD average	Neve	r or alı	most n	ever	Fro twic once	m abo e a yea or twi	ut once ar to ab ice a mo	or out onth	From a we	bout or eek to e	nce or tv every da	vice a Y	Not	availab	ole at scl	hool
	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	62.6	(0.6)	39.5	(0.2)	9.6	(0.3)	38.0*	(0.4)	14.7	(0.5)	38.3*	(0.5)	13.1	(0.5)	34.7*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	58.4	(2.3)	35.9	(1.6)	7.5	(1.1)	32.0*	(2.6)	18.9	(2.2)	33.9	(1.7)	15.1	(1.8)	32.0*	(1.5)
Prince Edward Island	72.3	(3.0)	37.5	(2.5)	7.2‡	(1.9)	34.4	(4.0)	8.9‡	(2.0)	35.1	(3.2)	11.6	(2.5)	32.4	(2.3)
Nova Scotia	68.1	(1.8)	37.9	(1.1)	5.7	(0.8)	31.3*	(2.0)	11.1	(1.0)	32.9*	(1.5)	15.0	(1.2)	33.4*	(1.3)
New Brunswick	66.7	(1.2)	36.1	(1.4)	9.4	(0.9)	35.4	(1.5)	14.4	(1.0)	33.3	(1.6)	9.5	(0.7)	30.9*	(1.2)
Quebec	65.2	(1.4)	37.9	(0.7)	6.0	(0.5)	37.5	(1.3)	8.9	(0.7)	33.5*	(1.3)	19.9	(1.2)	36.0*	(0.8)
Ontario	60.2	(1.1)	40.8	(0.4)	11.2	(0.5)	39.4*	(0.7)	16.8	(0.9)	40.4	(0.7)	11.8	(1.0)	34.1*	(0.8)
Manitoba	59.3	(1.2)	36.6	(0.7)	10.6	(0.7)	35.2	(1.1)	18.4	(0.9)	36.5	(0.8)	11.7	(0.9)	34.0*	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	63.0	(1.5)	37.0	(0.5)	8.8	(0.7)	33.9*	(1.3)	14.3	(1.2)	34.0*	(1.2)	14.0	(1.0)	33.0*	(1.1)
Alberta	62.9	(2.2)	41.1	(0.9)	10.3	(1.2)	37.8*	(1.4)	16.7	(1.7)	40.0	(1.3)	10.0	(1.1)	35.6*	(1.6)
British Columbia	63.5	(1.5)	39.6	(0.7)	11.4	(0.8)	37.5*	(1.2)	15.7	(1.0)	38.0	(1.2)	9.4	(1.1)	34.0*	(1.3)
OECD average	53.3	(0.2)	34.3	(0.1)	11.8	(0.1)	30.8*	(0.1)	16.5	(0.1)	31.3*	(0.1)	18.4	(0.1)	32.8*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.3b

Index of student participation in creative activities at school by sociodemographic characteristics

		Ind	ex of st	udent p	participa	tion in o	creative a	ctivities	at scho	ol				
Canada, province, or OECD average	All stud	lents	Anglo sch syst	phone Iool :ems	Franco sch syst	ophone lool lems	Differ (A -	ence F)	Gi	irls	В	oys	Differ (G -	ence B)
	Score	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.02	(0.01)	0.03	(0.01)	-0.02	(0.03)	0.05	(0.03)	0.05	(0.02)	-0.01	(0.02)	0.06*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.06	(0.04)	0.06	(0.04)					0.08	(0.05)	0.04	(0.06)	0.04	(0.09)
Prince Edward Island	-0.27**	(0.06)	-0.27	(0.06)					-0.09	(0.08)	-0.45	(0.08)	0.35*	(0.11)
Nova Scotia	0.14**	(0.04)	0.15	(0.04)	-0.02	(0.11)	0.17	(0.11)	0.17	(0.05)	0.12	(0.06)	0.04	(0.07)
New Brunswick	-0.05**	(0.03)	0.05	(0.04)	-0.28	(0.06)	0.32*	(0.08)	0.00	(0.05)	-0.09	(0.06)	0.09	(0.08)
Quebec	0.00	(0.03)	0.18	(0.03)	-0.02	(0.03)	0.20*	(0.04)	-0.01	(0.03)	0.01	(0.03)	-0.02	(0.04)
Ontario	0.02	(0.02)	0.02	(0.02)	0.06	(0.03)	-0.04	(0.04)	0.03	(0.02)	0.00	(0.03)	0.03	(0.04)
Manitoba	0.12**	(0.03)	0.12	(0.03)	0.24	(0.08)	-0.12	(0.09)	0.16	(0.03)	0.09	(0.04)	0.07	(0.05)
Saskatchewan	0.04	(0.03)	0.04	(0.03)	0.20	(0.15)	-0.16	(0.16)	0.10	(0.04)	-0.02	(0.04)	0.12*	(0.06)
Alberta	-0.04	(0.04)	-0.04	(0.04)	0.21	(0.10)	-0.25*	(0.12)	0.03	(0.05)	-0.12	(0.06)	0.15*	(0.07)
British Columbia	0.08	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)					0.16	(0.04)	0.00	(0.05)	0.16*	(0.06)
OECD average	-0.02**	(0.00)							-0.06	(0.00)	0.01	(0.01)	-0.07*	(0.01)

			Index of stuc	lent par	ticipation i	n creativ	e activitie	s at sch	ool			
Canada, province, or OECD average	No imm stuc	on- igrant lents	Immig stude	grant ents	Differ (immi stude - no immi stude	ence grant ents on- grant ents)	Bot quar the ind	tom ter of ESCS dex	Top q of the ine	uarter e ESCS dex	Differ (top qu - bot quar	ence Jarter tom ter)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	-0.02	(0.01)	0.06	(0.02)	0.08*	(0.02)	-0.03	(0.02)	0.07	(0.02)	0.10*	(0.03)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.04	(0.04)	0.14	(0.15)	0.11	(0.15)	0.22	(0.09)	-0.21	(0.05)	-0.44*	(0.11)
Prince Edward Island	-0.31	(0.06)	-0.10‡	(0.14)	0.20	(0.15)	-0.46	(0.12)	-0.13	(0.11)	0.33*	(0.16)
Nova Scotia	0.14	(0.04)	0.24	(0.14)	0.11	(0.14)	0.03	(0.06)	0.16	(0.08)	0.12	(0.10)
New Brunswick	-0.08	(0.03)	0.27	(0.15)	0.35*	(0.16)	0.03	(0.10)	-0.13	(0.06)	-0.16	(0.13)
Quebec	-0.01	(0.03)	0.00	(0.04)	0.01	(0.05)	0.04	(0.04)	-0.01	(0.04)	-0.05	(0.05)
Ontario	-0.04	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)	0.12*	(0.03)	-0.07	(0.03)	0.11	(0.04)	0.18*	(0.06)
Manitoba	0.10	(0.03)	0.16	(0.05)	0.06	(0.06)	0.05	(0.05)	0.18	(0.04)	0.13*	(0.06)
Saskatchewan	0.01	(0.04)	0.12	(0.06)	0.11	(0.07)	-0.05	(0.05)	0.11	(0.05)	0.16*	(0.07)
Alberta	-0.08	(0.04)	-0.02	(0.06)	0.06	(0.07)	-0.05	(0.07)	0.02	(0.08)	0.08	(0.10)
British Columbia	0.03	(0.04)	0.15	(0.04)	0.12*	(0.05)	-0.02	(0.06)	0.13	(0.05)	0.15*	(0.07)
OECD average	-0.04	(0.00)	0.11	(0.02)	0.15*	(0.02)	-0.01	(0.01)	-0.04	(0.01)	-0.03*	(0.01)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

Dif. Difference

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.

** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

	S thin of th partic act	core in hking, ł ie inde cipatio tivities	by quan by quan x of stu n in cre	ve ters ident sative ool	Differ Differ in cre thin perfor	ence ative king nance een	Before	accounti	ng for g	ender al profile	nd stude	nt socio	econor	ic	After a	ccountir	lg for ge	nder an profile	d studer	it socio	econom	i.
Canada, province, or OECD average	Bot	arter	r np	op	stud in thr quart stude the bc quart this ii	ents e top nts in ottom ndex ndex	Change creativ thinkin perform per or (intege unit cha unit cha in the in of stud participa in creat schoo schoo	r in ng ng ng ng ng ng ng ng ng s s at s s at s s at	Explair varian in stud oerform (r² x 1(ance 300) eerte	Change relativ creativ thinkin performa per on (intege unit chan unit chan in the inu of stude barticipal in creati in creati in creati	⊤∷teening deve ateening	Explain varian in studa relativ (r² x 10 (r² x 10	ed ce ance 0)	Change creativ thinkir berforma per on per on (intege unit cha unit cha in the in of stude participa in creat in creat schoo	in in ince int dex dex frion frion frion frion	Explain varianc in stude erforma (r² x 10)	a i bio i c	Change relative creative creative reforma per onu fortege nit char nit char nit char nit char nit char nit char nit char nit char ctivities school	view and a second and a second	Explaine varianc relative srforma (r² x 100	o)))))
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	R
Canada	38.2	(0.3)	37.5	(0.4)	-0.7	(0.5)	-0.5*	(0.2)	0.2	(0.2)	0.1	(0.2)	32.6	(1.5)	-0.7*	(0.2)	7.7 ((1.0)	0.0	0.2)	84.5 (1.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	35.1	(1.8)	32.4	(1.4)	-2.7	(1.7)	-1.4*	(0.6)	1.9	(1.5)	-0.2	(9.0)	39.7	(4.2)	-1.0	(0.5)	11.1 (3.5) -	0.1 (0.5) 4	12.7 (4.3)
Prince Edward Island	36.9	(3.0)	35.8	(2.2)	-1.1	(2.8)	0.0	(1.0)	0.2	(6.0)	0.8	(1.0)	35.3	(7.2)	-0.6	(1.0)	7.5 (4.4)	0.3 (1.0)	37.9 (7.0)
Nova Scotia	35.6	(1.2)	36.1	(1.4)	0.5	(1.4)	-0.5	(0.6)	0.3	(0.6)	0.4	(0.5)	39.0	(3.9)	-0.6	(0.6)	8.9	2.4)	0.2 (0.5) 4	t1.8 (3.6)
New Brunswick	34.3	(1.4)	33.8	(1.2)	-0.5	(1.3)	-0.6	(0.4)	0.4	(0.6)	0.4	(0.4)	34.8	(3.9)	-0.5	(0.4)	9.4	2.6)	0.4 (0.4)	37.7 (4.2)
Quebec	36.1	(0.9)	35.8	(0.0)	-0.3	(1.3)	-0.7	(0.5)	0.4	(0.4)	0.1	(0.4)	26.9	(2.6)	-0.6	(0.5)	8.5	1.7)	0.1 (0.4)	9.4 (2.6)
Ontario	39.5	(0.5)	38.9	(0.6)	-0.6	(0.7)	-0.3	(0.2)	0.1	(0.2)	0.2	(0.2)	33.1	(2.5)	-0.6*	(0.3)	7.1 ((1.3)	0.1 (0.2)	35.2 (2.4)
Manitoba	34.3	(0.0)	35.4	(0.8)	1.1	(1.1)	-0.2	(0.4)	0.1	(0.2)	0.2	(0.4)	38.1	(3.0)	-0.4	(0.4)	7.6	(1.5)	0.0	0.4) 4	t0.3 (2.9)
Saskatchewan	35.3	(0.6)	35.0	(1.0)	-0.2	(1.1)	-0.5	(0.5)	0.4	(0.0)	0.1	(0.4)	38.7	(3.0)	-0.7	(0.5)	7.8	. (1.9)	0.1	0.4) 4	t0.4 (3.0)
Alberta	40.7	(0.9)	38.4	(1.2)	-2.3	(1.3)	-1.2*	(0.4)	1.1	(0.8)	-0.1	(0.3)	35.8	(3.4)	-1.3*	(0.4)	7.7	(2.4)	0.2 (0.4)	37.5 (3.3)
British Columbia	37.6	(1.0)	38.1	(1.0)	0.5	(1.1)	-0.1	(0.4)	0.0	(0.1)	0.3	(0.3)	33.3	(3.4)	-0.3	(0.3)	6.0	(1.8)	0.1 (0.3)	35.0 (3.4)
OECD average	33.0	(0.1)	30.2	(0.1)	-2.8*	(0.1)	-1.3*	(0.1)	1.9	(0.1)	0.1*	(0.0)	49.0	(0.4)	-1.2*	(0.0)	14.8 (0.3)	0.1* (0.0)	0.8	0.4)
Av. Average SE Standard error Dif. Difference * Significant difference wi <i>Vote:</i> "Relative performat students at the national o	ithin Can; nce" refei rr provinc	ada, pro rs to the tial level.	wince, or residua	r OECD. I perforn	ıance, attı	ributable to	purely "creã	ative think	ing" comp	betencies,	after acco	unting for	. perform	ance in m	athematic	s, reading	, and scie	nce in a re	gression	performe	d across	
	_		:																			

Table B.2.3c

Table B.2.4a

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

Students' ratings of their agreement with statements about the degree to which creative thinking is fostered and supported in their school and class environment

Canada	9	Strong	y disagr	ee		Dis	agree			Ag	ree		S	strongl	y agre	е
Canada	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
My teachers give me enough time to come up with creative solutions on assignments.	5.3	(0.2)	35.6*	(0.7)	23.4	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)	59.2	(0.5)	39.3	(0.3)	12.1	(0.4)	38.5	(0.6)
My teachers value students' creativity.	5.2	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.8)	19.7	(0.5)	38.7	(0.4)	59.8	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	15.3	(0.4)	39.7	(0.5)
The activities we do in my classes help me think about new ways to solve problems.	5.8	(0.2)	36.3*	(0.7)	25.9	(0.5)	39.2	(0.3)	56.4	(0.6)	39.4	(0.3)	11.9	(0.5)	39.1	(0.6)
My mathematics assignments require me to come up with different solutions for a problem.	6.4	(0.2)	37.7	(0.7)	26.2	(0.5)	39.6	(0.4)	55.5	(0.6)	38.9	(0.3)	11.9	(0.4)	39.1	(0.6)
My teachers encourage me to come up with original answers.	5.6	(0.2)	36.0*	(0.6)	19.7	(0.5)	38.6	(0.4)	58.2	(0.6)	39.4	(0.2)	16.5	(0.4)	40.1	(0.6)
At school, I am given a chance to express my ideas.	5.0	(0.2)	35.8*	(0.6)	18.0	(0.5)	38.3*	(0.4)	60.8	(0.7)	39.4	(0.3)	16.2	(0.5)	39.7	(0.6)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.4aa

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

	My to	eacher	s give r	ne eno	ugh tim	e to co	ome up	with c	reative	solutio	ons on	assign	ments.			
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagree	e		Disa	gree			Agı	ree		S	trongly	agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.3	(0.2)	35.6*	(0.7)	23.4	(0.5)	39.3	(0.4)	59.2	(0.5)	39.3	(0.3)	12.1	(0.4)	38.5	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	6.1	(0.9)	30.9*	(2.3)	24.7	(2.1)	34.3	(1.8)	58.7	(2.1)	35.6	(1.5)	10.5	(1.1)	37.1	(1.6)
Prince Edward Island	8.4‡	(2.4)	30.4	(4.4)	26.1	(3.4)	37.3	(3.1)	55.3	(3.6)	37.1	(2.5)	10.2‡	(1.9)	39.8	(3.4)
Nova Scotia	6.3	(1.0)	32.4*	(2.0)	26.7	(1.7)	38.6	(1.3)	58.2	(2.0)	37.2	(1.1)	8.8	(1.0)	34.8	(2.0)
New Brunswick	5.3	(0.8)	31.5*	(2.1)	26.4	(1.7)	35.6	(1.3)	55.8	(1.6)	36.1	(1.3)	12.5	(1.2)	34.8	(1.8)
Quebec	5.9	(0.5)	34.1*	(1.5)	25.4	(1.0)	38.2	(0.9)	54.3	(1.2)	37.6	(0.7)	14.5	(0.7)	35.8	(1.3)
Ontario	5.1	(0.4)	36.7*	(1.3)	22.9	(0.9)	41.0	(0.6)	59.7	(1.0)	40.6	(0.5)	12.3	(0.7)	40.6	(0.8)
Manitoba	4.6	(0.6)	32.5	(2.4)	21.3	(1.1)	36.9	(0.9)	62.1	(1.2)	36.8	(0.8)	12.0	(1.0)	36.1	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	4.7	(0.6)	31.7*	(1.9)	20.3	(1.1)	35.7	(0.9)	63.0	(1.3)	36.5	(0.7)	12.0	(1.0)	36.4	(1.2)
Alberta	5.4	(0.8)	39.7	(2.1)	20.4	(1.6)	40.1	(1.1)	64.0	(1.7)	40.8	(0.7)	10.2	(1.1)	40.8	(1.7)
British Columbia	4.4	(0.4)	34.5*	(1.9)	25.2	(1.6)	38.9	(0.9)	59.9	(1.6)	39.0	(0.9)	10.5	(0.8)	38.8	(1.3)
OECD average	8.0	(0.1)	29.9*	(0.2)	29.2	(0.2)	34.7*	(0.1)	54.7	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	8.1	(0.1)	32.1*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.4ab

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

				I	My teache	ers val	ue stu	dents	' crea	ativity.							
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agı	ree			Strong	ly agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.2	(0.3)	35.7*	(0.8)	19.7	(0.5)	38.7	(0.4)		59.8	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	15.3	(0.4)	39.7	(0.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	5.3	(1.0)	32.9	(2.6)	17.2	(1.5)	33.0	(2.0)		63.8	(1.9)	35.1	(1.5)	13.7	(1.2)	36.8	(2.0)
Prince Edward Island	5.7‡	(1.9)	27.1*	(4.8)	22.3	(3.6)	37.0	(3.2)		54.6	(3.9)	37.5	(2.5)	17.4	(3.2)	38.3	(3.0)
Nova Scotia	6.2	(1.1)	33.4*	(2.3)	19.0	(1.6)	37.3	(1.6)		61.5	(2.2)	37.5	(1.1)	13.3	(1.4)	35.0	(1.7)
New Brunswick	7.0	(0.9)	34.0	(1.7)	21.2	(1.4)	35.2	(1.3)		58.5	(1.7)	35.6	(1.4)	13.3	(1.3)	35.7	(1.8)
Quebec	7.9	(0.6)	35.5	(1.5)	28.7	(1.0)	38.1	(0.8)		50.0	(1.1)	37.4	(0.7)	13.4	(0.7)	36.0	(1.3)
Ontario	4.6	(0.5)	36.7*	(1.3)	17.8	(0.9)	40.4	(0.7)		61.9	(1.1)	40.6	(0.4)	15.7	(0.7)	41.5	(0.7)
Manitoba	4.6	(0.6)	32.9*	(1.8)	14.9	(0.9)	35.1	(1.2)		61.1	(1.4)	36.8	(0.7)	19.4	(1.4)	37.6	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	4.1	(0.5)	30.5*	(2.0)	13.0	(0.8)	34.1	(1.1)		68.1	(1.3)	36.4	(0.7)	14.8	(1.0)	37.5	(1.1)
Alberta	3.9	(0.8)	37.5	(2.9)	16.1	(1.4)	40.8	(1.5)		62.8	(1.7)	39.9	(0.9)	17.2	(1.6)	42.3*	(1.2)
British Columbia	3.6	(0.5)	35.4	(2.0)	17.1	(1.2)	36.9	(1.2)		64.0	(1.2)	39.3	(0.8)	15.3	(0.8)	39.3	(1.0)
OECD average	6.6	(0.1)	30.5*	(0.2)	22.5	(0.1)	33.6	(0.1)		59.2	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	11.7	(0.1)	34.1*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.4ac

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

	The	activi	ties we	e <mark>do in</mark>	my class	ses he	lp me t	hink at	out new	ways	to solv	e prob	lems.			
Canada, province,	Sti	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Agr	ee		S	trongly	, agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.8	(0.2)	36.3*	(0.7)	25.9	(0.5)	39.2	(0.3)	56.4	(0.6)	39.4	(0.3)	11.9	(0.5)	39.1	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	8.6	(1.0)	32.9	(2.3)	31.6	(2.2)	35.2	(1.7)	51.2	(2.3)	35.3	(1.4)	8.6	(1.0)	36.0	(2.0)
Prince Edward Island	5.7‡	(1.8)	31.4	(4.1)	31.7	(4.1)	38.9	(2.8)	53.1	(4.4)	36.7	(2.4)	9.6‡	(2.5)	39.4	(4.0)
Nova Scotia	5.2	(0.9)	33.3	(2.1)	31.1	(2.1)	38.6	(1.5)	54.3	(1.9)	36.4	(1.2)	9.5	(1.2)	36.2	(2.1)
New Brunswick	7.6	(0.9)	34.4	(2.0)	26.7	(1.6)	35.8	(1.2)	54.1	(1.8)	35.0	(1.4)	11.6	(1.2)	35.6	(1.6)
Quebec	7.9	(0.7)	34.9*	(1.4)	27.4	(0.9)	37.9	(0.8)	52.9	(1.1)	37.6	(0.6)	11.8	(0.8)	37.0	(1.3)
Ontario	5.4	(0.4)	37.2*	(1.2)	25.1	(0.9)	40.8	(0.5)	56.4	(0.9)	41.0	(0.5)	13.2	(1.0)	39.9	(1.0)
Manitoba	5.8	(0.7)	33.1*	(1.9)	21.9	(1.1)	36.7	(1.0)	60.3	(1.4)	37.1	(0.6)	12.0	(1.1)	35.7	(1.3)
Saskatchewan	5.0	(0.7)	31.1*	(1.9)	21.7	(1.1)	35.8	(0.8)	62.7	(1.4)	36.8	(0.7)	10.6	(1.0)	35.9	(1.3)
Alberta	4.8	(0.7)	41.9	(2.8)	23.9	(1.8)	40.2	(1.2)	60.5	(1.8)	40.5	(0.9)	10.8	(1.3)	43.0	(1.6)
British Columbia	4.3	(0.4)	35.6*	(2.0)	28.2	(1.6)	38.5	(1.0)	56.3	(1.4)	39.8	(0.8)	11.2	(0.7)	39.3	(1.2)
OECD average	7.7	(0.1)	31.7*	(0.2)	29.3	(0.1)	34.1*	(0.1)	54.4	(0.2)	33.6	(0.1)	8.7	(0.1)	32.9*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.4ad

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

Γ	Vly mat	thema	tics as	signmer	nts requi	re me	to con	ne up v	vith diffe	erent s	olutio	ns for	a problem.			
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree		9	Strongly	, agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	6.4	(0.2)	37.7	(0.7)	26.2	(0.5)	39.6	(0.4)	55.5	(0.6)	38.9	(0.3)	11.9	(0.4)	39.1	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	9.2	(1.1)	35.0	(2.0)	30.9	(1.8)	35.2	(1.9)	52.1	(1.8)	35.4	(1.3)	7.9	(0.9)	35.0	(2.4)
Prince Edward Island	11.0‡	(2.8)	34.2	(4.0)	29.0	(4.0)	35.3	(3.1)	52.5	(4.7)	38.0	(2.5)	7.5‡	(1.3)	37.8	(3.7)
Nova Scotia	6.3	(1.0)	35.2	(2.4)	26.4	(1.9)	38.3	(1.5)	55.5	(1.9)	36.4	(1.1)	11.8	(1.2)	37.5	(2.3)
New Brunswick	7.1	(0.9)	36.2	(1.9)	26.0	(1.7)	37.0	(1.7)	54.5	(1.5)	34.9	(1.3)	12.4	(1.2)	33.5	(1.9)
Quebec	7.5	(0.6)	37.1	(1.4)	23.8	(1.0)	38.0	(0.8)	55.1	(1.1)	37.2	(0.6)	13.6	(0.9)	36.8	(1.3)
Ontario	6.6	(0.5)	38.0*	(1.1)	26.6	(0.9)	41.4	(0.6)	54.7	(1.0)	40.5	(0.4)	12.2	(0.6)	40.0	(0.8)
Manitoba	7.4	(0.7)	35.3	(1.6)	24.5	(1.3)	37.1	(0.9)	55.5	(1.4)	36.6	(0.7)	12.7	(1.0)	36.3	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	5.1	(0.6)	33.2	(1.6)	22.4	(1.1)	37.1	(0.8)	62.2	(1.3)	36.3	(0.8)	10.3	(0.9)	36.8	(1.2)
Alberta	5.7	(0.7)	40.9	(1.7)	28.4	(1.9)	40.7	(1.0)	54.7	(1.9)	40.0	(0.9)	11.2	(1.3)	43.3*	(1.5)
British Columbia	4.2	(0.6)	38.4	(1.9)	27.8	(1.4)	38.9	(1.0)	58.0	(1.5)	39.1	(0.9)	10.0	(0.7)	39.2	(1.0)
OECD average	8.3	(0.1)	32.1*	(0.2)	29.0	(0.1)	34.4*	(0.1)	53.5	(0.2)	33.5	(0.1)	9.2	(0.1)	33.0*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.4ae

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

			My t	eacher	s encoura	age m	e to co	me up	with	ı origi	nal an	swers	•					
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Ag	ree				Strong	ly agree	:
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.6	(0.2)	36.0*	(0.6)	19.7	(0.5)	38.6	(0.4)		58.2	(0.6)	39.4	(0.2)	1	6.5	(0.4)	40.1	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	5.4	(0.9)	32.3	(3.2)	17.4	(1.7)	33.4	(2.2)		59.9	(2.3)	36.4	(1.4)	1	7.2	(1.6)	36.5	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	7.5‡	(1.9)	35.4	(4.8)	23.9	(3.3)	34.9	(3.1)		53.2	(3.7)	36.7	(2.4)	1	5.3	(3.1)	40.1	(2.6)
Nova Scotia	5.3	(0.9)	32.8*	(2.1)	21.2	(1.7)	39.5*	(1.3)		58.9	(1.8)	36.7	(1.2)	1	4.6	(1.2)	37.3	(1.8)
New Brunswick	6.4	(1.0)	34.3	(2.3)	21.4	(1.4)	35.7	(1.5)		57.0	(1.8)	35.4	(1.3)	1	5.2	(1.4)	36.3	(1.8)
Quebec	9.8	(0.7)	36.6	(1.1)	28.2	(1.1)	38.1	(0.9)		48.0	(1.2)	37.6	(0.7)	1	4.1	(0.8)	36.6	(1.3)
Ontario	4.8	(0.4)	35.9*	(1.3)	16.3	(0.8)	40.3	(0.7)		59.9	(1.0)	40.9	(0.4)	1	9.0	(0.9)	41.6	(0.9)
Manitoba	4.9	(0.5)	34.2	(2.0)	16.8	(1.1)	36.5	(0.9)		60.9	(1.4)	36.6	(0.8)	1	7.3	(1.1)	38.5	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	3.6	(0.5)	29.8*	(2.0)	16.9	(1.0)	35.2	(0.9)		63.6	(1.4)	36.5	(0.7)	1	6.0	(1.1)	37.8	(1.1)
Alberta	3.6	(0.6)	37.8	(2.9)	16.8	(1.2)	38.8	(1.3)		63.8	(1.7)	40.3	(0.8)	1	5.9	(1.4)	43.4*	(1.2)
British Columbia	3.8	(0.4)	35.8	(2.1)	18.9	(1.4)	38.4	(1.1)		62.5	(1.3)	39.4	(0.7)	1	4.8	(1.0)	39.2	(1.0)
OECD average	8.3	(0.1)	32.2*	(0.2)	28.0	(0.1)	34.5*	(0.1)		52.9	(0.2)	33.4	(0.1)	1	0.8	(0.1)	33.0*	(0.2)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.4af

Percentage and average scores of students by pedagogies encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

				At scho	ol, I am g	given a	a chano	e to e	xpre	ss my	ideas						
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agı	ee			Strong	ly agree	!
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.0	(0.2)	35.8*	(0.6)	18.0	(0.5)	38.3*	(0.4)		60.8	(0.7)	39.4	(0.3)	16.2	(0.5)	39.7	(0.6)
Newfoundland and Labrador	7.2	(0.9)	31.2*	(2.6)	21.8	(1.8)	35.0	(1.7)		58.1	(1.7)	35.9	(1.5)	12.9	(1.5)	35.4	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	9.2‡	(2.5)	31.6	(4.3)	21.2	(3.3)	35.9	(3.0)		56.0	(3.7)	37.1	(2.2)	13.5	(2.2)	40.2	(3.3)
Nova Scotia	7.4	(1.0)	34.3	(2.1)	21.8	(1.7)	36.4	(1.4)		58.4	(2.0)	37.5	(1.0)	12.5	(1.2)	37.5	(2.1)
New Brunswick	6.4	(0.9)	34.3	(2.2)	21.1	(1.3)	36.3	(1.5)		55.6	(1.6)	35.6	(1.3)	16.9	(1.5)	35.6	(1.7)
Quebec	7.6	(0.7)	35.1	(1.4)	22.7	(1.0)	36.7	(0.9)		52.4	(1.2)	37.7	(0.6)	17.3	(0.9)	36.7	(1.2)
Ontario	4.1	(0.4)	36.9*	(1.3)	16.9	(0.9)	40.5	(0.6)		62.4	(1.3)	40.7	(0.5)	16.6	(0.7)	41.3	(0.7)
Manitoba	5.2	(0.6)	32.7	(2.0)	15.4	(1.1)	35.8	(1.2)		61.7	(1.5)	36.6	(0.8)	17.7	(1.1)	38.4	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	4.5	(0.5)	32.2*	(1.9)	16.2	(1.1)	35.8	(1.0)		64.6	(1.4)	36.4	(0.6)	14.7	(1.1)	37.3	(1.2)
Alberta	3.4	(0.6)	41.3	(2.4)	15.0	(1.5)	38.8	(1.1)		65.4	(1.8)	40.5	(0.9)	16.2	(1.8)	43.1*	(1.4)
British Columbia	4.0	(0.4)	33.8*	(2.3)	16.2	(1.2)	37.6*	(1.0)		65.2	(1.2)	39.7	(0.7)	14.6	(0.9)	38.9	(1.1)
OECD average	7.4	(0.1)	31.2*	(0.2)	22.4	(0.1)	33.8	(0.1)		57.3	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	12.9	(0.1)	33.6	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.4b

Index of pedagogies encouraging creative thinking by sociodemographic characteristics

			Inde	ex of pe	dagogies	encoura	aging cre	ative th	ninking					
Canada, province, or OECD average	All stud	dents	Anglo sch syst	phone ool ems	Franco sch syste	phone ool ems	Diffe (A	rence - F)	G	irls	В	oys	Differ (G -	ence B)
	Score	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.23	(0.01)	0.26	(0.01)	0.11	(0.02)	0.15*	(0.03)	0.20	(0.01)	0.26	(0.02)	-0.06*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.10**	(0.03)	0.10	(0.03)					0.10	(0.05)	0.11	(0.05)	-0.01	(0.08)
Prince Edward Island	0.07**	(0.07)	0.07	(0.07)					0.14	(0.10)	0.00	(0.10)	0.14	(0.13)
Nova Scotia	0.12**	(0.04)	0.12	(0.04)	0.12	(0.06)	0.01	(0.06)	0.10	(0.04)	0.15	(0.06)	-0.05	(0.07)
New Brunswick	0.17	(0.04)	0.14	(0.04)	0.24	(0.06)	-0.10	(0.07)	0.12	(0.05)	0.23	(0.05)	-0.11	(0.07)
Quebec	0.10**	(0.02)	0.09	(0.04)	0.10	(0.03)	0.00	(0.05)	0.07	(0.03)	0.12	(0.04)	-0.05	(0.04)
Ontario	0.28**	(0.02)	0.28	(0.02)	0.29	(0.03)	0.00	(0.04)	0.24	(0.02)	0.33	(0.03)	-0.09*	(0.04)
Manitoba	0.31**	(0.03)	0.31	(0.03)	0.35	(0.08)	-0.03	(0.09)	0.29	(0.03)	0.34	(0.04)	-0.05	(0.05)
Saskatchewan	0.31**	(0.03)	0.31	(0.03)	0.37	(0.17)	-0.06	(0.18)	0.36	(0.04)	0.26	(0.04)	0.10	(0.06)
Alberta	0.29	(0.04)	0.28	(0.04)	0.52	(0.10)	-0.23*	(0.11)	0.27	(0.05)	0.31	(0.06)	-0.04	(0.08)
British Columbia	0.24	(0.03)	0.24	(0.03)					0.20	(0.04)	0.28	(0.03)	-0.08	(0.04)
OECD average	0.01**	(0.00)							-0.02	(0.00)	0.04	(0.00)	-0.06*	(0.01)

			Index o	f pedag	ogies encour	aging c	eative thin	king				
Canada, province, or OECD average	No imm stuc	on- igrant lents	Immiį studo	grant ents	Diffe (imm stud - n immi stud	rence igrant ents on- grant ents)	Bot quar the in	tom ter of ESCS dex	Top q of the ine	uarter e ESCS dex	Diffe (top q - bo qua	rence uarter ttom rter)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.18	(0.01)	0.32	(0.02)	0.14*	(0.03)	0.21	(0.03)	0.32	(0.02)	0.11*	(0.03)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.11	(0.03)	0.21	(0.14)	0.10	(0.14)	0.10	(0.08)	0.15	(0.08)	0.05	(0.11)
Prince Edward Island	0.07	(0.07)	0.40‡	(0.29)	0.33	(0.29)	-0.28	(0.13)	0.25	(0.18)	0.53*	(0.23)
Nova Scotia	0.10	(0.04)	0.37	(0.16)	0.27	(0.17)	0.08	(0.08)	0.18	(0.06)	0.10	(0.10)
New Brunswick	0.14	(0.04)	0.43	(0.11)	0.29*	(0.12)	0.19	(0.07)	0.25	(0.09)	0.06	(0.11)
Quebec	0.10	(0.03)	0.08	(0.05)	-0.03	(0.06)	0.01	(0.05)	0.19	(0.04)	0.18*	(0.06)
Ontario	0.23	(0.03)	0.35	(0.03)	0.12*	(0.04)	0.31	(0.05)	0.36	(0.04)	0.05	(0.07)
Manitoba	0.23	(0.03)	0.55	(0.06)	0.31*	(0.06)	0.33	(0.06)	0.30	(0.04)	-0.03	(0.07)
Saskatchewan	0.25	(0.03)	0.47	(0.05)	0.22*	(0.06)	0.23	(0.05)	0.34	(0.05)	0.10	(0.08)
Alberta	0.20	(0.05)	0.42	(0.06)	0.21*	(0.07)	0.22	(0.06)	0.38	(0.07)	0.16	(0.08)
British Columbia	0.20	(0.03)	0.32	(0.04)	0.12*	(0.05)	0.18	(0.06)	0.32	(0.04)	0.14	(0.08)
OECD average	0.00	(0.00)	0.04	(0.02)	0.04*	(0.02)	-0.01	(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)	0.02*	(0.01)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

Dif. Difference

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.

** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

			Index	of pec	lagogi	es encc	uragin	g creat	ive thi	nking	and stuc	dents'	perfor	mance	in creat	ive th	inking					L.
	Sc thinki the in encc	core in (ing, by dex of ouragin think	creativ quarte pedago g creat ting	e ers of ogies ive	Differe in crea think perform betwe	ence ative ing ance	Before	account	ing for E	gender a profil	ind stude e	nt socio	econon	2ic	After acc	ountin	g for ger	profile	student	socioeco	nomic	1
Canada, province, or OECD average	Bott quai	tom	quai	jp Tter	stude in the studen the bo quarte this in	top top tts in ttom dex	Chang creati thinki perform per ou perange the inde pedago creati thinki	e in ve na ance unit vof gies ging ve ng	Explaii variar in stud perform (r² x 1(ent ent 000) 1	Change relative creative thinkini performal per one per one change i the index pedagogi pedagogi encouragi encouragi thinking	e in a se e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Explain varianc relativ erforma (r² x 10	e ed 0) e r t 0) e r t	Change ir creative thinking performan per one integer) ur change in the index (pedagogie encouragir creative thinking	د	xplaine variance rformar r² x 100) pertoco	nange in reative reative formanc formanc eger) un ange in nange in tindex o dagogie: couragin couragin finking	e perrin.< Cerrin. <d< th=""><th>plained ariance student elative forman 2 x 100)</th><th>e t e</th></d<>	plained ariance student elative forman 2 x 100)	e t e
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SEC	jf.	SE	%	SE
Canada	38.1	(0.3)	39.8	(0.4)	1.7*	(0.4)	0.5*	(0.2)	0.3	(0.2)	0.3 (0.2) 3	32.1 (1.5)	0.5* (0	.2)	7.2 (0) (6.(.3 (0	.2) 34	.0 (1	(9
Newfoundland and Labrador	34.0	(1.6)	36.5	(1.5)	2.5	(1.3)	1.1*	(0.5)	1.1	(1.1)	0.4 (0.6)	37.7 ((3.8)	1.0 (0	1.5)	9.5 (2	2.7) 0	.4 (0	.6) 40	.7 (4.	<u>o</u>
Prince Edward Island	35.8	(2.9)	38.3	(2.6)	2.4	(2.5)	1.6	(6.0)	2.9	(3.1)	0.7	0.8)	35.5 ((0.7	1.1 (0	(6)	8.8 (2	1.8) (0	.4 (0	.8) 38	.5 (6	(9.
Nova Scotia	37.1	(1.3)	36.6	(1.5)	-0.4	(1.4)	-0.2	(0.5)	0.1	(0.3)	-0.3 (0.4) 🤅	38.2 (3.8)	-0.2 (0	.5)	8.5 (2	2.5) -(0) (0	.4) 41	.0 (3.	(9.
New Brunswick	35.1	(1.3)	35.6	(1.6)	0.5	(1.3)	0.0	(0.4)	0.1	(0.2)	0.0	0.4) 🔅	34.8 (3.8)	0.0 (0	.4)	9.8 (2	2.7) 0	0) (0	.4) 37	.9 (4.	.2)
Quebec	36.9	(0.0)	37.2	(6.0)	0.3	(1.3)	-0.1	(0.5)	0.1	(0.2)	0.0	0.5) 2	27.0 (2.6)	-0.2 (0	.4)	1) 6.7	l.6) -0	0.1 (0	.5) 29	.3 (2	(9.
Ontario	39.7	(0.5)	41.3	(0.6)	1.6^{*}	(0.7)	0.5	(0.3)	0.3	(0.3)	0.2 (0.2) 🤅	32.5 (2.5)	0.5* (0	.3)	6.3 (1	1.2) 0	0.2 (0	.2) 34	.2 (2.	.5)
Manitoba	35.0	(1.0)	37.7	(0.8)	2.6*	(1.1)	0.6	(0.4)	0.4	(0.5)	0.4 (0.3) 🤅	37.7 (2.8)	0.6 (0	(4)	8.0 (1	1.5) (.4 (0	.3) 39	.9	
Saskatchewan	34.4	(0.7)	37.2	(0.0)	2.8*	(6.0)	0.9*	(0.4)	0.8	(0.7)	0.6 (0.3) 🤅	38.5 (3.1)	0.8* (0	.4)	7.5 (2	2.1) (0.5 (0	.3) 40	.3 (3.	.2)
Alberta	40.3	(1.0)	42.7	(1.0)	2.4*	(1.1)	0.9*	(0.4)	0.7	(0.6)	0.4 (0.4)	35.4 (3.3)	0.7 (0	.4)	7.3 (2	2.2) (0,4 (0	.4) 37	.2 (3.	.3)
British Columbia	37.4	(0.9)	39.4	(0.0)	2.0	(1.0)	0.7	(0.4)	0.4	(0.4)	0.3 (0.4)	32.9 (3.6)	0.6 (0	.4)	6.1 (1	1.7) 0	0.4 (0	.4) 34	.8	(9
OECD average	33.2	(0.1)	33.3	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	0.1*	(0.0)	0.3	(0.0)	0.1* (7 (0.0	48.6 (0.4)	0.2* (0	.0) 1.	3.1 (C	.3) (0, 2* (0	.0) 50	.5 0	<u>.</u>
All Allowed																						

Av. Average SE Standard error Dif. Difference

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. Note: "Relative performance" refers to the residual performance, attributable to purely "creative thinking" competencies, after accounting for performance in mathematics, reading, and science in a regression performed across students at the national or provincial level.

Table B.2.4c

Table B.2.5a

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

Students' ratings of their agreement with statements about the degree to which creative thinking is fostered and supported by their peer and family environments

Course da	St	rongly	disagro	ee		Disa	gree			Ag	ree		S	trong	y agree	9
Canada	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
My friends are open to new ideas.	2.5	(0.2)	31.5*	(1.1)	9.8	(0.4)	37.7*	(0.5)	66.8	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	20.8	(0.5)	40.0*	(0.4)
My friends and I give one another feedback about our ideas.	2.3	(0.2)	33.3*	(1.2)	10.1	(0.4)	36.6*	(0.8)	64.8	(0.6)	39.0	(0.2)	22.8	(0.5)	40.5*	(0.4)
My friends and I encourage each other to come up with new ideas.	2.7	(0.2)	33.8*	(1.1)	14.9	(0.5)	38.4	(0.5)	61.6	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	20.8	(0.4)	40.3*	(0.4)
My family encourages me to try new things.	2.5	(0.2)	33.9*	(1.1)	9.4	(0.3)	36.6*	(0.7)	57.4	(0.6)	38.8	(0.3)	30.7	(0.6)	40.4*	(0.3)
At home, I am encouraged to use my imagination.	3.6	(0.2)	35.5*	(0.8)	16.2	(0.4)	38.6	(0.5)	55.5	(0.7)	38.7	(0.3)	24.7	(0.6)	40.5*	(0.4)
Discussions I have at home help me come up with new ideas.	5.5	(0.3)	36.9*	(0.7)	17.1	(0.5)	38.8	(0.6)	54.1	(0.6)	38.7	(0.3)	23.4	(0.5)	40.4*	(0.4)

SE Standard error Av. Average

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.5aa

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

					My frie	nds ar	e open	to ne	w ideas.							
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	ly agree	2
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	2.5	(0.2)	31.5*	(1.1)	9.8	(0.4)	37.7*	(0.5)	66.8	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	20.8	(0.5)	40.0*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	3.7‡	(0.9)	29.8	(3.1)	13.5	(1.2)	32.4	(2.1)	66.4	(1.9)	35.4	(1.4)	16.4	(1.5)	36.5	(1.9)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.7)	33.0	(5.2)	9.3‡	(2.6)	32.2	(5.0)	63.7	(3.9)	37.6	(2.3)	22.6	(4.2)	37.5	(3.0)
Nova Scotia	3.0‡	(0.7)	31.9	(2.9)	11.8	(1.2)	36.0	(1.4)	67.1	(2.0)	37.0	(1.1)	18.1	(1.5)	38.0	(1.7)
New Brunswick	3.2	(0.5)	29.7*	(2.4)	10.4	(1.1)	33.7	(1.8)	61.6	(1.9)	35.3	(1.3)	24.8	(1.6)	37.0	(1.4)
Quebec	3.3	(0.4)	30.1*	(2.2)	9.3	(0.6)	36.6	(1.1)	62.4	(1.1)	37.2	(0.7)	24.9	(1.1)	38.8	(0.9)
Ontario	2.2	(0.3)	34.3*	(1.8)	9.5	(0.6)	39.8	(0.9)	67.2	(1.1)	40.7	(0.4)	21.0	(0.9)	41.4	(0.6)
Manitoba	2.5	(0.3)	29.2*	(2.0)	11.4	(1.0)	35.6	(1.2)	67.3	(1.4)	36.4	(0.7)	18.8	(1.1)	37.2	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	2.5	(0.4)	30.3*	(2.2)	10.1	(0.9)	34.6	(1.2)	70.9	(1.1)	36.3	(0.6)	16.5	(1.0)	37.1	(1.1)
Alberta	2.0‡	(0.5)	30.3*	(4.3)	8.7	(1.4)	37.1	(1.9)	71.0	(1.9)	40.5	(0.8)	18.3	(1.3)	42.5	(1.3)
British Columbia	2.2	(0.4)	30.6*	(2.5)	11.2	(1.0)	38.4	(1.2)	68.0	(1.2)	39.3	(0.8)	18.6	(1.2)	38.8	(1.0)
OECD average	3.7	(0.1)	26.8*	(0.2)	11.7	(0.1)	32.3*	(0.1)	67.4	(0.2)	33.8	(0.1)	17.2	(0.1)	34.5*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.5ab

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

			My	friends	and I give	one a	nothe	r feedl	back abou	i <mark>t our</mark> i	deas.					
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	y agree	!
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	2.3	(0.2)	33.3*	(1.2)	10.1	(0.4)	36.6*	(0.8)	64.8	(0.6)	39.0	(0.2)	22.8	(0.5)	40.5*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	3.5‡	(0.9)	27.2*	(3.9)	12.5	(1.4)	32.8	(2.2)	63.7	(2.5)	35.4	(1.5)	20.2	(1.8)	37.3	(1.8)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.3)	32.3	(7.8)	11.6‡	(2.6)	34.6	(4.4)	67.8	(3.7)	36.3	(2.3)	18.2	(3.7)	38.7	(3.0)
Nova Scotia	3.3	(0.7)	31.8*	(2.9)	9.7	(1.0)	34.2	(1.5)	66.4	(1.7)	37.1	(1.2)	20.5	(1.3)	37.7	(1.5)
New Brunswick	2.9	(0.5)	30.3*	(2.4)	10.9	(1.2)	33.3	(1.9)	63.5	(1.9)	35.5	(1.3)	22.7	(1.5)	37.3	(1.4)
Quebec	2.5	(0.4)	31.5*	(2.1)	9.7	(0.6)	35.1	(1.3)	59.8	(1.1)	37.4	(0.6)	28.0	(1.1)	38.6	(0.9)
Ontario	2.3	(0.3)	36.7*	(1.8)	9.9	(0.7)	38.0*	(1.2)	65.5	(1.0)	40.4	(0.4)	22.4	(0.8)	42.1*	(0.6)
Manitoba	2.2	(0.4)	28.0*	(2.3)	12.0	(0.9)	34.2*	(1.2)	64.7	(1.4)	36.7	(0.7)	21.1	(1.4)	38.3	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	2.8	(0.4)	30.2*	(2.1)	11.7	(0.8)	35.4	(1.3)	67.5	(1.3)	36.0	(0.6)	18.1	(1.2)	38.6*	(1.0)
Alberta	1.6‡	(0.5)	31.9	(4.9)	8.7	(1.2)	38.3	(1.9)	68.0	(1.6)	40.2	(0.8)	21.6	(1.4)	43.0*	(1.3)
British Columbia	2.1‡	(0.4)	32.8*	(2.5)	11.4	(1.0)	36.4*	(1.4)	66.9	(1.4)	39.3	(0.8)	19.6	(1.1)	39.2	(1.1)
OECD average	3.4	(0.1)	27.7*	(0.2)	12.0	(0.1)	31.0*	(0.1)	64.3	(0.2)	33.7	(0.1)	20.3	(0.1)	35.3*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

* Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.5ac

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

		ſ	My frie	nds and I	encou	rage ea	ach oth	er to c	come up w	ith ne	w ide	as.				
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	е		Disa	gree			Agi	ree			Strong	ly agree	
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	2.7	(0.2)	33.8*	(1.1)	14.9	(0.5)	38.4	(0.5)	61.6	(0.6)	39.1	(0.3)	20.8	(0.4)	40.3*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	3.8	(0.8)	29.6	(3.1)	16.9	(1.6)	34.9	(2.0)	60.4	(2.1)	35.2	(1.5)	18.8	(1.7)	37.6	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.4)	32.8	(6.4)	15.8	(3.0)	36.9	(3.6)	66.1	(3.4)	37.0	(2.5)	15.1	(2.9)	36.6	(2.8)
Nova Scotia	3.4	(0.7)	34.0	(2.8)	17.4	(1.6)	36.4	(1.7)	60.5	(2.0)	37.1	(1.1)	18.7	(1.5)	37.3	(1.6)
New Brunswick	3.2	(0.4)	30.9	(2.4)	12.9	(1.1)	35.1	(1.5)	61.4	(1.5)	35.4	(1.3)	22.5	(1.5)	36.0	(1.6)
Quebec	3.4	(0.5)	32.3*	(1.9)	14.5	(0.8)	36.8	(1.0)	57.2	(1.3)	37.4	(0.6)	24.9	(1.0)	38.2	(1.0)
Ontario	2.5	(0.3)	36.3*	(1.8)	14.2	(0.8)	39.4	(0.7)	61.8	(1.0)	40.6	(0.5)	21.5	(0.8)	41.9*	(0.6)
Manitoba	2.9	(0.6)	29.8*	(2.2)	18.0	(0.9)	36.5	(0.9)	59.7	(1.4)	36.6	(0.8)	19.4	(1.3)	37.4	(1.1)
Saskatchewan	2.9	(0.5)	30.8*	(1.9)	16.7	(1.0)	36.9	(0.9)	64.1	(1.4)	36.2	(0.7)	16.3	(1.2)	37.2	(1.1)
Alberta	2.2‡	(0.5)	35.2	(3.8)	14.2	(1.3)	40.9	(1.2)	65.3	(1.8)	40.2	(0.9)	18.2	(1.0)	43.3*	(1.3)
British Columbia	2.3	(0.4)	32.7*	(2.8)	16.1	(1.5)	38.1	(1.1)	64.1	(1.6)	39.6	(0.8)	17.5	(0.9)	39.6	(1.0)
OECD average	3.7	(0.1)	28.9*	(0.2)	17.1	(0.1)	33.0*	(0.1)	61.1	(0.2)	33.6	(0.1)	18.1	(0.1)	34.8*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.5ad

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

				М	y family en	coura	ges me	to try	new thi	ngs.						
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	ly agree	•
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	2.5	(0.2)	33.9*	(1.1)	9.4	(0.3)	36.6*	(0.7)	57.4	(0.6)	38.8	(0.3)	30.7	(0.6)	40.4*	(0.3)
Newfoundland and Labrador	3.6‡	(1.1)	27.8*	(3.5)	8.6	(1.1)	34.3	(1.8)	61.7	(2.2)	34.8	(1.4)	26.1	(1.9)	37.1	(1.7)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.8)	32.5	(5.8)	8.2‡	(1.9)	31.7	(4.3)	50.3	(3.9)	37.8	(2.6)	37.1	(3.6)	37.9	(2.5)
Nova Scotia	2.5‡	(0.7)	30.2*	(3.1)	8.2	(0.9)	34.8	(1.9)	57.0	(1.9)	36.4	(1.3)	32.4	(1.7)	38.2	(1.2)
New Brunswick	3.7	(0.7)	32.5	(2.7)	9.7	(1.1)	33.7	(1.7)	56.2	(1.6)	35.0	(1.1)	30.4	(1.6)	37.0	(1.6)
Quebec	3.0	(0.4)	32.6*	(2.3)	10.5	(0.6)	35.4	(1.1)	51.3	(1.0)	37.3	(0.7)	35.2	(1.0)	38.3	(0.7)
Ontario	2.3	(0.3)	36.7*	(1.6)	9.0	(0.5)	37.4*	(1.0)	57.8	(1.3)	40.3	(0.4)	30.9	(1.1)	42.1*	(0.6)
Manitoba	2.5	(0.5)	30.0*	(2.8)	9.1	(0.9)	34.9	(1.3)	58.2	. (1.6)	36.1	(0.7)	30.3	(1.4)	38.5*	(1.0)
Saskatchewan	2.7	(0.4)	28.5*	(2.2)	11.1	(0.8)	34.3	(1.5)	59.6	(1.3)	36.0	(0.8)	26.6	(1.4)	37.9*	(0.8)
Alberta	2.6‡	(0.6)	36.4	(3.8)	8.4	(0.9)	39.1	(2.0)	61.0) (1.9)	39.8	(0.9)	28.0	(2.0)	43.1*	(0.9)
British Columbia	1.8‡	(0.4)	30.4*	(3.2)	9.7	(0.9)	36.5	(1.5)	61.3	(1.3)	39.1	(0.7)	27.2	(1.5)	39.6	(0.9)
OECD average	3.8	(0.1)	29.6*	(0.2)	13.1	(0.1)	32.4*	(0.1)	57.6	6 (0.1)	33.4	(0.1)	25.4	(0.1)	35.1*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

 $\ensuremath{^*}$ Significant difference compared to the average score in the "Agree" category.

Table B.2.5ae

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

				At hon	ne, I am e	encou	raged t	o use	my imag	ina	tion.						
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree				Agr	ee			Strong	ly agree	2
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE		%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	3.6	(0.2)	35.5*	(0.8)	16.2	(0.4)	38.6	(0.5)	55	.5	(0.7)	38.7	(0.3)	24.	(0.6)	40.5*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	5.9	(1.1)	30.2	(3.0)	17.7	(1.7)	32.9	(2.3)	55	.5	(2.0)	35.9	(1.4)	20.) (1.9)	37.2	(1.5)
Prince Edward Island	U‡	(1.8)	33.8	(5.2)	17.1	(3.1)	34.1	(2.9)	54	.2	(4.3)	37.4	(2.6)	23.	(3.2)	38.6	(2.5)
Nova Scotia	5.2	(0.9)	33.5	(2.4)	15.8	(1.6)	35.9	(1.8)	56	.6	(1.9)	37.0	(1.0)	22.	(1.5)	38.6	(1.4)
New Brunswick	4.5	(0.8)	33.0	(2.7)	12.8	(1.2)	35.1	(1.7)	56	.1	(1.7)	34.9	(1.3)	26.	6 (1.5)	36.6	(1.7)
Quebec	4.7	(0.6)	34.5	(1.7)	15.8	(0.8)	36.8	(1.0)	50	.7	(1.1)	36.9	(0.8)	28.) (1.1)	38.4	(0.8)
Ontario	3.2	(0.4)	37.4*	(1.5)	15.7	(0.7)	40.1	(0.9)	56	.6	(1.3)	40.4	(0.5)	24.	5 (1.0)	41.7*	(0.6)
Manitoba	4.4	(0.6)	33.4	(2.2)	16.8	(1.2)	35.9	(1.1)	55	.5	(1.5)	36.0	(0.8)	23.	3 (1.2)	38.3*	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	3.3	(0.6)	32.1	(2.1)	15.9	(1.1)	35.7	(1.2)	60	.2	(1.5)	36.0	(0.7)	20.	5 (1.3)	37.8	(1.0)
Alberta	3.4	(0.7)	36.2	(2.7)	16.3	(1.3)	40.8	(1.3)	57	.1	(1.9)	39.4	(0.9)	23.	2 (1.9)	43.7*	(1.1)
British Columbia	2.4	(0.5)	36.1	(3.1)	18.9	(1.1)	38.5	(1.0)	57	.2	(1.3)	39.1	(0.8)	21.	5 (1.2)	40.0	(1.0)
OECD average	4.8	(0.1)	30.5*	(0.2)	18.7	(0.1)	33.1*	(0.1)	54	.9	(0.2)	33.4	(0.1)	21.	6 (0.1)	34.9*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

U Too unreliable to be published.

Table B.2.5af

Percentage and average scores of students by peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking: CREATIVE THINKING

			Disc	ussions	I have at	home	help r	ne con	ne up wi	h new	ideas					
Canada, province,	St	rongly	disagre	e		Disa	gree			Ag	ree			Strong	ly agree	!
or OECD average	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE	%	SE	Av.	SE
Canada	5.5	(0.3)	36.9*	(0.7)	17.1	(0.5)	38.8	(0.6)	54. 1	(0.6)	38.7	(0.3)	23.4	(0.5)	40.4*	(0.4)
Newfoundland and Labrador	8.4	(1.4)	32.0	(2.1)	18.0	(1.7)	34.8	(1.9)	54.3	(2.0)	35.2	(1.6)	19.3	(1.7)	37.2	(1.6)
Prince Edward Island	7.0‡	(1.9)	32.2	(3.5)	20.1	(3.5)	36.5	(3.3)	49.0	(4.0)	38.3	(2.4)	23.9	(3.6)	37.3	(2.9)
Nova Scotia	6.7	(1.0)	33.9	(2.3)	16.8	(1.5)	38.0	(1.4)	55.7	' (1.9)	36.6	(1.0)	20.7	(1.6)	38.9	(1.5)
New Brunswick	5.8	(1.0)	35.3	(2.4)	13.4	(1.0)	35.4	(1.6)	54.7	' (1.4)	34.8	(1.3)	26.1	(1.3)	36.5	(1.5)
Quebec	6.3	(0.6)	35.8	(1.3)	16.7	(0.8)	36.8	(0.9)	49.1	. (1.2)	36.9	(0.7)	27.9	(1.2)	38.8	(1.0)
Ontario	4.9	(0.4)	38.1	(1.1)	16.7	(0.8)	40.6	(0.8)	54.6	6 (1.1)	40.3	(0.5)	23.8	(0.8)	41.8*	(0.7)
Manitoba	7.2	(0.8)	33.5	(1.6)	17.7	(1.1)	36.6	(0.9)	53.7	(1.3)	36.1	(0.9)	21.4	(1.1)	38.3*	(0.9)
Saskatchewan	5.4	(0.7)	33.5	(1.5)	17.5	(1.1)	36.1	(1.1)	58.6	6 (1.4)	35.7	(0.8)	18.5	(1.1)	37.6	(1.0)
Alberta	5.5	(0.7)	41.3	(1.9)	18.2	(1.5)	39.9	(1.6)	54.8	3 (2.0)	39.8	(0.8)	21.5	(1.8)	42.2*	(1.3)
British Columbia	4.7	(0.5)	34.8*	(1.9)	17.2	(1.2)	38.6	(1.0)	58.6	6 (1.4)	38.9	(0.8)	19.5	(1.4)	40.6*	(0.9)
OECD average	6.9	(0.1)	31.5*	(0.2)	19.9	(0.1)	33.6*	(0.1)	53.2	. (0.2)	33.4	(0.1)	20.1	(0.1)	34.7*	(0.1)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

[‡] There are fewer than 30 observations.

Table B.2.5b

Index of peer and family environments encouraging creative thinking by sociodemographic characteristics

		Index	k of peer	and fai	mily envi	ronmen	ts encou	raging c	reative	thinkir	ng			
Canada, province, or OECD average	All stu	dents	Anglo sch syst	phone Iool Iems	Franco sch syst	ophone ool ems	Differ (A	rence - F)	G	irls	Во	oys	Differ (G -	ence · B)
	Score	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.15	(0.01)	0.13	(0.01)	0.24	(0.02)	-0.12*	(0.03)	0.25	(0.02)	0.05	(0.01)	0.20*	(0.02)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.01**	(0.04)	0.01	(0.04)					0.19	(0.06)	-0.18	(0.05)	0.36*	(0.08)
Prince Edward Island	0.10	(0.08)	0.10	(0.08)					0.32	(0.13)	-0.13	(0.09)	0.45*	(0.16)
Nova Scotia	0.08**	(0.03)	0.07	(0.03)	0.32	(0.07)	-0.24*	(0.08)	0.18	(0.05)	-0.02	(0.05)	0.20*	(0.07)
New Brunswick	0.19	(0.03)	0.15	(0.04)	0.29	(0.05)	-0.14*	(0.06)	0.29	(0.04)	0.09	(0.05)	0.21*	(0.06)
Quebec	0.23**	(0.02)	0.11	(0.03)	0.25	(0.03)	-0.13*	(0.04)	0.38	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)	0.30*	(0.04)
Ontario	0.17	(0.02)	0.17	(0.02)	0.21	(0.03)	-0.04	(0.04)	0.26	(0.02)	0.08	(0.02)	0.18*	(0.03)
Manitoba	0.10**	(0.03)	0.09	(0.03)	0.18	(0.08)	-0.09	(0.08)	0.18	(0.04)	0.00	(0.04)	0.18*	(0.05)
Saskatchewan	0.05**	(0.03)	0.05	(0.03)	0.20	(0.15)	-0.15	(0.16)	0.11	(0.04)	-0.01	(0.04)	0.11*	(0.05)
Alberta	0.13	(0.04)	0.13	(0.04)	0.32	(0.09)	-0.20*	(0.09)	0.19	(0.05)	0.06	(0.05)	0.13*	(0.06)
British Columbia	0.08**	(0.03)	0.08	(0.03)					0.17	(0.03)	-0.01	(0.04)	0.18*	(0.05)
OECD average	0.01**	(0.00)							0.10	(0.00)	-0.09	(0.00)	0.19*	(0.01)

		In	dex of peer a	and fan	nily environm	ents en	couraging cre	eative tl	ninking			
Canada, province, or OECD average	N imm stuo	on- igrant dents	lmm stuc	igrant lents	Differ (immi stud - n immi stud	rence igrant ents on- grant ents)	Bot quar the l inc	tom ter of ESCS lex	Top q of th in	uarter e ESCS dex	Differ (tc qua - bot quar	rence op rter tom rter)
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE
Canada	0.15	(0.02)	0.18	(0.01)	0.04	(0.02)	-0.01	(0.02)	0.34	(0.02)	0.34*	(0.03)
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.01	(0.04)	0.00	(0.12)	-0.01	(0.13)	-0.21	(0.07)	0.33	(0.07)	0.54*	(0.10)
Prince Edward Island	0.13	(0.09)	0.06‡	(0.22)	-0.07	(0.25)	-0.29	(0.14)	0.20	(0.15)	0.49*	(0.21)
Nova Scotia	0.06	(0.04)	0.39	(0.13)	0.33*	(0.14)	-0.11	(0.06)	0.34	(0.06)	0.45*	(0.09)
New Brunswick	0.17	(0.03)	0.32	(0.13)	0.14	(0.13)	-0.07	(0.06)	0.44	(0.06)	0.50*	(0.10)
Quebec	0.26	(0.03)	0.19	(0.04)	-0.07	(0.05)	0.06	(0.05)	0.43	(0.05)	0.37*	(0.07)
Ontario	0.14	(0.03)	0.21	(0.02)	0.06	(0.03)	0.05	(0.04)	0.35	(0.04)	0.30*	(0.05)
Manitoba	0.07	(0.04)	0.20	(0.05)	0.13*	(0.06)	-0.14	(0.07)	0.32	(0.05)	0.46*	(0.08)
Saskatchewan	0.02	(0.03)	0.17	(0.06)	0.16*	(0.07)	-0.09	(0.06)	0.21	(0.05)	0.30*	(0.08)
Alberta	0.12	(0.06)	0.15	(0.05)	0.04	(0.07)	-0.03	(0.09)	0.28	(0.07)	0.31*	(0.11)
British Columbia	0.06	(0.03)	0.11	(0.04)	0.05	(0.05)	-0.06	(0.05)	0.20	(0.04)	0.26*	(0.06)
OECD average	0.01	(0.00)	-0.06	(0.01)	-0.08*	(0.01)	-0.18	(0.01)	0.17	(0.01)	0.35*	(0.01)

SE Standard error

Av. Average

Dif. Difference

-- Not available.

‡ There are fewer than 30 observations.

* Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD.

** Significant difference compared to Canada.

Note: Because Newfoundland and Labrador and Prince Edward Island did not oversample students by language, and no francophone students in British Columbia completed the creative thinking portion of the student questionnaire, results for only English-language schools are available for these provinces.

	S	Inc ore in c	dex of reative	peer a	und far Differen	nily er Ice	Before	nents el accounti	ncoura ing for g	iging c	creative and stude	thinki ant socio	ing and	d perfo nic	ormance After a	in cre account	ative th ing for ge	ninking ender an	d studer	nt socioe	conomic	
	thinki the i fami enco	ing, by (index of ily envir uragin{ thinki	quarters peer ar onment g creativ ing	s of ts /e	in creat thinkir erforma betwee studen	ive Jg en en fs			ω Δ	profi	a a			2			ρ 2	profile				
Canada, province, or OECD average	Bott quar		lop qua		in the t quarter student the bott quarter this ind this ind	and sin of lex	Chang creati thinki perform per on per on change i index of index of and fan environm encoura creati thinkii	e in ve mg ance n nunit ner peer nily ve ging ging	Explair varian in stud perform (r² x 1(ned ent ance 00)	Change relative creati perform per or per or per or change i index of and fan environm environm thinkii thinkii	e in ve ng ng nity nity neuts ging ng ng ng	Explai variar in stud relati n cratform (r² x 1)	red ent ance 00)	Change creativ thinkir performé per or integer change ir index of index of environm encourag creativ thinkir	i in Je ance ance in tunit peer peer ging ging	Explain varian in studé performé (r² x 10	ed ance (i p e er ir cl (i p	Change relativu creativu erforma per orma per orma nage in nage in n in n in n in n in in n in in in in	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Explaine variancı in studeı relative (r² x 100 (r² x 100	
	Av.	SE	Av.	SE	Dif.	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE	Dif.	SE	%	SE
Canada	37.5	(0.4)	40.7 (0.4)	3.2*	(0.4)	1.2*	(0.1)	1.3	(0.3)	0.7*	(0.1)	32.6	(1.4)	0.8*	(0.2)	7.6	(6.0)	0.5*	(0.1)	34.3 (1.5)
Newfoundland and Labrador	32.0	(1.7)	37.8 ((1.5)	5.8*	(1.3)	2.0*	(0.5)	3.4	(1.6)	1.1*	(0.4)	39.2	(3.9)	1.2*	(0.5)	10.8	(2.9)	0.7	(0.4)	41.8 (4.0)
Prince Edward Island	35.1	(2.7)	37.8 ((2.6)	2.6	(2.4)	1.3	(6.0)	1.8	(2.2)	0.7	(0.8)	35.9	(6.8)	0.7	(0.8)	8.1	(4.3)	0.3	(0.8)	38.3 (6.5)
Nova Scotia	35.3	(1.3)	38.9 ((1.3)	3.5*	(1.2)	1.2*	(0.5)	1.2	(6.0)	0.5	(0.4)	38.9	(3.6)	0.6	(0.5)	8.3	(2.4)	0.3	(0.4)	41.4 (3.4)
New Brunswick	35.0	(1.4)	37.2 ((1.7)	2.2	(1.2)	*6.0	(0.4)	0.8	(0.7)	0.3	(0.4)	35.0	(3.7)	0.3	(0.4)	9.8	(2.6)	-0.1	(0.4)	38.1 (4.0)
Quebec	35.8	(0.8)	38.6 ((6.0)	2.9*	(1.0)	1.1^{*}	(0.4)	1.2	(0.8)	0.6	(0.4)	27.3	(2.7)	0.6	(0.4)	8.4	(1.7)	0.4	(0.4)	29.4 (2.6)
Ontario	39.2	(0.6)	42.1 ((0.5)	3.0*	(0.8)	1.2*	(0.3)	1.4	(0.6)	0.7*	(0.3)	32.9	(2.4)	0.9*	(0.3)	6.9	(1.2)	0.5	(0.3)	34.5 (2.4)
Manitoba	34.6	(0.8)	38.3 ((6.0)	3.7*	(6.0)	1.3*	(0.4)	1.6	(0.8)	0.8*	(0.3)	38.4	(2.6)	0.8*	(0.3)	8.3	(1.7)	.06*	(0.3)	40.4 (2.6)
Saskatchewan	35.1	(0.8)	38.3 ((0.8)	3.2*	(1.0)	1.2*	(0.4)	1.3	(0.8)	0.7	(0.4)	38.7	(3.0)	0.8*	(0.4)	7.4	(2.0)	0.6	(0.4)	40.3 (3.0)
Alberta	39.4	(1.3)	43.1 ((1.1)	3.7*	(1.2)	1.7*	(0.5)	2.3	(1.1)	1.1^{*}	(0.5)	36.5	(3.3)	1.3^{*}	(0.5)	8.1	(2.3)	6.0	(0.5)	38.1 (3.3)
British Columbia	37.2	(0.9)	40.3 ((6.0)	3.1*	(6.0)	1.0*	(0.4)	0.8	(0.5)	0.5	(0.3)	33.6	(3.5)	0.6	(0.3)	6.2	(1.8)	0.3	(0.3)	35.2 (3.5)
OECD average	31.9	(0.1)	35.4 ((0.1)	3.6*	(0.1)	1.3*	(0.0)	1.5	(0.1)	0.5*	(0.0)	48.4	(0.4)	0.7*	(0.0)	13.2	(0.3)	0.3*	(0.0)	50.1 (0.4)
Av. Average																						

SE Standard error Dif. Difference * Significant difference within Canada, province, or OECD. *Note:* "Relative performance" refers to the residual performance, attributable to purely "creative thinking" competencies, after accounting for performance in mathematics, reading, and science in a regression performed across students at the national or provincial level.

Table B.2.5c